ABSTRACT

Background: Any deviation from the standard posture is considered a postural alteration; although this is not necessarily considered a disease, it may substantially affect the quality of life of surgeons. The aim of this study was to evaluate backbone alignment and posture in surgeons and physicians in order to identify and quantify the positioning of body segments and investigate possible postural alterations. Methods: Thirty subjects participated in the study group (CI) and corresponded to the following inclusion criteria: physician, aged 30–60 years, with at least 2 years of surgical practice and performing 4 weekly surgeries lasting 2 h each. The comparative group (CL) included 32 clinical physicians, in the same age range as the CI group. The control group (CO) included 33 non-physicians in the same age range as the CL and CI groups, who satisfied the following exclusion criteria: chronic muscle-skeletal pain or acute intense pain, diagnosis of or sequelae from orthopedic, rheumatologic, or respiratory disease, or prosthetic use. Results: We observed a high incidence of postural changes in the CI group, including varus thrust, hyperextension, lumbar hyperlordosis, and more foot support in the heel, and other misalignments. The results indicate that the activities of surgeons may be considered as a risk for the musculoskeletal system. Because the specialty of plastic surgery is particularly surgical, the risk is even higher in these surgeons. Conclusions: Surgical activity provokes progressive postural changes in surgeons and may reduce useful time in the profession and hinder the quality of life.
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diagnóstico ou sequela de doença ortopédica, reumatológica ou respiratória; ou utilização de prótese. **Resultados:** Observou-se alta incidência de alterações posturais no grupo CI, como joelho varo, hiperextensão, hiperlordose lombar e pé com maior apoio em calcâneo, além de outros desalinhamentos. Os resultados indicam que as atividades dos cirurgiões podem ser consideradas de risco para o sistema musculoesquelético. Por ser eminentemente cirúrgica, a especialidade de cirurgia plástica é de risco ainda maior. **Conclusões:** A atividade cirúrgica provoca alterações posturais progressivas nos cirurgiões, podendo reduzir a vida útil na profissão e prejudicar a qualidade de vida.

**Descritores:** Postura. Médicos. Carga de trabalho.

**INTRODUCTION**

Postural alignment is a standard reference used internationally for normal posture and any asymmetry between the body segments is considered a postural alteration\(^1\). Man has always attempted to make the objects in his environment more suitable to his needs by minimizing the effort required to use them\(^1\). It was in this context that ergonomics originated; ergonomics studies the interaction between humans and their work environment, aiming at achieving safety, efficiency, and better quality of life\(^4\).

With physical and postural focus, Kendall et al.\(^3\) proposed the model of perfectly aligned posture. In the side view, this refers to the alignment of body segments of the anterior and posterior sides, divided by the frontal plane. In the anterior and posterior views, segment alignment is established by right and left symmetry divided by a sagittal plane (Figure 1).

The study of postural alignment is justified by the fact that mechanical stress has clinical consequences and affects connective tissue in muscles and joints. Bad body alignment during surgical procedures may alter the distribution of load and pressure in the joints, thereby contributing to joint degeneration and inappropriate muscle tension\(^6\). Added to this is the psychological stress of the surgeon during long surgeries, hypercontracting specific muscle chains, and the need to bend forward to effectuate the surgery. Rotating right or left (for right- or left-handed surgeons) to place the arms and hands in a better position also occurs in an effort to improve technical ability. Danis et al.\(^10\) have shown a strong correlation between people with alterations in stability and postural deviations.

Few studies have performed quantitative evaluation of postural alignment with attention to body segments during all visits. Therefore, we aimed at observing and evaluating alignment and postural control in physicians and surgeons in order to identify and quantify the positioning of the body segments and comparatively investigate possible posture alterations.

**METHOD**

This is a descriptive, explorative, and cross-sectional study, in which 30 subjects participated in the test group (CI), corresponding to the following inclusion criteria: a physician, aged 30–60 years, with at least 2 years of surgical practice and 4 weekly surgeries lasting 2 h each, who signed the informed consent form. The comparative group (CL) included 32 clinical physicians, in the same age range as the CI group. The control group (CO) included 33 non-physicians in the same age range as the CL and CI groups, who satisfied the following exclusion criteria: chronic musculoskeletal pain or acute intense pain, diagnosis of or sequelae from orthopedic, rheumatologic, or respiratory disease, or prosthetic use.

The data was collected in a surgical center and in the Hospital de Base (São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil). A digital camera (Sony Cyber-shot, 7.2 mega pixels) was used for taking the pictures, and an anamnesis protocol was designed for this study, including questions for subject identification, sample characterization, and presence or
absence of pain. Data collection was carried out individually in a single previously appointed session. Initially, every subject was informed of the aims of the study, was asked to complete the anamnesis questionnaire, and measurements of height and weight as well as were photos taken, with the subject wearing a swimsuit. The subjects were photographed from anterior, posterior, left side, and right side views, and then localization and demarcation of anatomical points were performed. Systematic observation was performed, not involving the establishment of previous criteria to guide the registration of the phenomenon to be observed for postural analysis of the subjects. To standardize data collection, a protocol was assembled to register and analyze postures and kinetic description. Anatomical points were demarcated in the regions of the head, trunk, upper limbs, and lower limbs.

In the anterior view, in standard posture, the criteria were as follows:

- hallux aligned with the first metatarsus;
- hind foot aligned with the center of the foot;
- preserved foot arches;
- straight ankles at the same distance as the medial side of the knees;
- straight tibias, no arches;
- knees not touching each other and the distance between them should be the same distance as that between the medial malleoli of the tibias;
- patellae (rotulas) at the same height and pointing forward;
- pelvis at the same height at both sides, measured by the height of the anterior superior iliac spine;
- straight trunk, with no rotations or bends;
- shoulders at same height as the clavicles;
- symmetrical clavicular creases;
- straight head and neck, no rotations or bends.

In the posterior view, the evaluation was based on an imaginary line starting at a mid-point between the heels, extending upwards between the lower limbs and passing through the medial line of the pelvis, backbone, and head and using the following criteria:

- symmetrical right and left halves, both in skeletal and muscular structure;
- back of the foot with symmetrical support, not too supported medially or laterally;
- heel vertically aligned with Achilles tendon;
- medial malleoli equal in height;
• popliteal creases and gluteal creases of equal height;
• pelvis of equal height on both sides, with posterior superior iliac spines leveled horizontally;
• straight backbone, no lateral deviations;
• symmetrical Thales triangles, on both sides;
• scapulas at the same distance from the backbone, flattened against the thorax;
• inferior angle of the scapulas leveled horizontally;
• shoulders at same height;
• straight head and neck, without lateral rotations or bends.

In the lateral view, alignment was based on a reference line that, in ideal posture, passed slightly anterior to the lateral malleolus of the fibula, slightly anterior to the center of the knee joint, slightly posterior to the hip joint, roughly through the middle of the trunk, through the shoulder joint (as long as the arms hung normally aligned with the thorax), through the cervical vertebrae, and through the earlobe12.

The variables were summarized in simple and relative (percentage) frequencies.

RESULTS

In all of the 3 groups, we observed a predominance of the male gender (100%), dominant right-handedness (95.5%), and a mean body mass index (BMI) of 24.3 ± 4.3, with 65% of the subjects having normal weight. The average age in the groups was 38.7 ± 8.4 years, mean height was 1.72 m, and mean weight was 76.53 kg.

In the anterior view (Figure 2A), individuals of the CI group showed: significantly more misalignment of the feet with abducted hind foot (left and right); high medial longitudinal arch (left and right); left genu varum and right genu valgum; misaligned anterior superior iliac spines (the right spine being higher); asymmetric thorax; shoulder joint with left medial rotation and right lateral rotation; elbows with increased right bending; and head hanging laterally to the left (Table 1).

In the posterior view (Figure 2B), individuals from the CI group showed significantly more alterations, including: misaligned heels; left varum and right valgum; misaligned back of the feet; left and right genu valgum; misaligned posterior superior iliac spines, with the right spine being higher; backbone of the thorax convex to the left; cervical backbone convex to the left; shoulders higher on the left; and head hanging laterally to the right (Table 2).

In the lateral view (Figures 2C and 2D), individuals of the CI group showed significantly more postural alterations than the other groups, including: feet with heel support; left hyperextension of the knee; flexed right; pelvis with anteversion of the iliac crest; trunk with rotated scapula and right and left pelvis; lumbar backbone with increased lordosis; thoracic backbone with increase in kyphosis and winged scapula on the left; cervical backbone with increased lordosis; shoulders with right medial rotation; protruding head (Table 3).

Asymmetry in the shoulders and abnormal alignment of the scapulas, as a result of lateral bending, were prevalent in the CI group.

Postural changes grouped by misalignments were more prevalent in the CI group. Lumbar hyperlordosis and genu varum were also prevalent in this group.

DISCUSSION

The results of the current study concerning postural aspects indicate that surgeons are at risk of damage to the musculoskeletal system. These data corroborate previous studies in other professionals12-14, indicating that physical

| Table 1 – Most prevalent postures in the test, comparative, and control groups (CI, CL, and CO), in the anterior view, presented as percentages and level of significance. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Group** | **CI (n = 30)** | **CL (n = 32)** | **CO (n = 30)** | **P** |
| Aligned, % | 58 | 66 | 82 | 0.065 |
| Misaligned, % | 42 | 34 | 18 | 0.042* |
| Varum, % | 65 | 30 | 21 | 0.035* |
| Valgus, % | 25 | 15 | 12 | 0.058 |
| Hyperextension, % | 44 | 25 | 15 | 0.042* |
| Bending, % | 52 | 20 | 8 | 0.028* |

*p < 0.05 – level of significance according to the Friedman test.

| Table 2 – Most prevalent postures in the test, comparative, and control groups (CI, CL, and CO), in the posterior view, presented as percentages and level of significance. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Group** | **CI (n = 30)** | **CL (n = 32)** | **CO (n = 30)** | **P** |
| Aligned, % | 35 | 48 | 80 | 0.048* |
| Misaligned, % | 65 | 48 | 20 | 0.035* |
| Varum, % | 63 | 35 | 12 | 0.035* |
| Valgus, % | 37 | 20 | 15 | 0.035* |
| Hyperextension, % | 44 | 25 | 10 | 0.042* |
| Bending, % | 62 | 37 | 12 | 0.035* |

*p < 0.05 – level of significance according to the Friedman test.
load upon surgeons during elective procedures, depending on the length of the surgery, causes deformities in the course of time.

Generally, surgeons do not realize the position they adopt during their activity and feel tired, but few present with complaints related to posture; this finding contradicts that in some studies.15,16

The analysis of the results of the present study shows that the postures adopted by surgeons during the course of surgery force the surgeon to place their body weight on 1 leg, overloading one-half of the body and causing joint alterations in the thigh and femur in the long run, which corroborates other studies.17,18

The specialty of plastic surgery is eminently surgical and the surgeon sometimes spends more than 4 h daily in surgery, thereby increasing the possibility of acquiring serious postural defects, with consequences for physical health and professional longevity.

The small sample in the current study limited the understanding of some relationships that could possibly elucidate the postural changes and musculoskeletal lesions related to work in surgeons. It is important to highlight that several ergonomic improvements could avoid such alterations. Among the proposed methods, one with proven efficacy is Global Postural Reeducation (GPR), which considers the muscular and skeletal systems as a whole and treats individuals with static body work (isometric contraction) and dynamic body work (isotonic contraction). The aim of this treatment is to improve tone, function and muscle strength, proprioception and perception, joint alignments, coordination, balance, and walking.

The results of the current study serve as a precaution for surgeons and suggest the need for prevention of the described alterations, which may compromise quality of life and useful time in the profession.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Surgical activity causes progressive postural changes in surgeons, and may reduce useful time in the profession and damage quality of life.
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**Table 3** – Most prevalent postures in the test, comparative, and control groups (CI, CL, and CO), in the lateral view, presented as percentages and level of significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>CI (n = 30)</th>
<th>CL (n = 32)</th>
<th>CO (n = 30)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aligned, %</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.048*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical hyperlordosis, %</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar hyperlordosis, %</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic hyperlordosis, %</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heel foot, %</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05 – level of significance according to the Friedman test.
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