Mini-retromandibular transparotid approach for extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible

Acesso retromandibular transparotídeo reduzido para o tratamento de fraturas extracapsulares do côndilo mandibular

ABSTRACT

Background: Most of the current research has highlighted the need for establishing a surgical procedure for the treatment of extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible, in case with large deviations or when the fractures are associated with other mandibular and/or fractures of the middle third of the face. Here, we aimed to describe the surgical details and postoperative outcomes of the mini-retromandibular transparotid approach for the treatment of extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible. Method: An analysis was conducted among 14 patients with extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible who presented indications for surgical treatment and underwent the surgery between March 2011 and March 2012. Results: In this case series, the anatomical and functional outcomes achieved were satisfactory and the rate of complications was low. Conclusions: The mini-retromandibular transparotid approach offers advantages and should be included as an option for the surgical treatment of extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible.
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RESUMO

Introdução: A maioria dos trabalhos atuais enfatiza a necessidade de tratamento cruento das fraturas extracapsulares do côndilo mandibular, quando estas apresentam grandes desvios ou estão associadas a outras fraturas mandibulares e/ou terço médio da face. Este artigo tem por objetivo descrever detalhes cirúrgicos e resultados pós-operatórios do uso do acesso retromandibular transparotídeo reduzido para o tratamento das fraturas extracapsulares do côndilo mandibular. Método: Foram analisados 14 pacientes portadores de fraturas extracapsulares de côndilo mandibular, com indicação de tratamento cirúrgico, operados no período de março de 2011 a março de 2012. Resultados: Nesta série de pacientes, os resultados anatômicos e funcionais foram considerados satisfatórios e foi observado baixo índice de complicações. Conclusões: O acesso retromandibular transparotídeo reduzido oferece vantagens e deve ser incluído como opção para o tratamento cruento das fraturas extracapsulares do côndilo mandibular.
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence rate of condylar fractures of the mandible varies in the literature—between 17.5% and 52%1. Condylar fractures of the mandible are considered the most controversial mandibular fractures in terms of diagnosis and treatment2. Most condylar fractures of the mandible are due to direct trauma. However, they may be induced by the impact of the transmission of the forces to the adjacent condyles, which is a symptom that may not be recognized and therefore may not properly diagnosed1.

An increasing number of publications have reported poor outcomes of conservative treatment of extracapsular fractures; in fact, conservative treatment was found to significantly increase the risk for functional disorders4. With an increase in the number of surgical indications for the management of extracapsular fractures, discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of the different surgical approaches available currently has become important. Several approaches have already been described for the surgical treatment of extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible, such as the pre-auricular, submandibular, retromandibular, and intraoral approaches5. The approach chosen for the surgical treatment should always provide the surgeon with the proper visualization of the fracture site to allow for optimal anatomical and functional restorations of the mandible6.

Here, we aimed to describe the surgical details and postoperative outcomes of the mini-retromandibular transparotid approach for the treatment of extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible.

METHODS

An analysis was conducted that involved 14 patients with extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible who presented with indications for surgical treatment and underwent surgery by the same surgeon between March 2011 and March 2012. These patients were hospitalized in a well-known trauma center and initially examined by a general surgeon. All the patients underwent clinical examination and, subsequently, radiography and facial computed tomography.

Indication for Surgical Treatment

Although no consensus has been reached in the international literature on the indications for open treatment of condylar fractures45, a series of criteria for the indication of surgical treatment of extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible was established and is described in Table 1.

Surgical Technique

The patients underwent surgery under general anesthesia, which was induced via intubation with a nasotracheal cannula. Thereafter, local anesthesia was administered by infiltration with an anesthetic solution of bupivacaine and epinephrine (1:200,000). This was an important step in that it not only allowed for bleeding control during the dissection and ensured the patient’s comfort during the postoperative period but also served as a guide for performing a proper incision, as it was possible to search for the fracture site with the tip of the needle (Figure 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute indications</th>
<th>Fracture and dislocation to the middle cranial fossa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intra-articular foreign body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inability to perform buccal opening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exposed fracture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative indication

Unilateral or bilateral condylar fracture associated with fracture of the middle third of the face
Presence of simultaneous fracture of the anterior mandibular arch
Displaced fractures in patients with mental disorder
Displaced fractures in edentulous patients with posterior collapse leading to posterior open bite

Based on Zide and Kent1.
Once the site for surgical access was delimited, the skin was incised up to the subcutaneous tissue (Figure 2). Thereafter, tissues of the parotid gland and masseter muscle were divulsed until the fracture site (Figures 3 and 4). Langenbeck retractors were used to separate the fracture site from the posterior border of the neck of the mandibular condyle for visualization. The perfect similarity between the recesses and protrusions of the fractured bone segments enabled fracture reduction to be achieved.

Backaus forceps (Figure 5) was used to pull the anterior arch of the mandible as a superior vector to overcome the force caused by the action of the abductor muscles of the mandible. This step assisted in the repositioning of the distal segment of the mandible and also facilitated the repositioning of the proximal fragment (usually dislocated by the action of the lateral pterygoid muscle).

Once the fracture was reduced, osteosynthesis was performed with miniplates (a 2-mm system). It is worth mentioning the order by which the screws were placed, starting with the point closest to the fracture site in the proximal segment, followed by the point nearest to the fracture site in the distal segment. Thereafter, the screws were placed in the area located as far as possible from the most proximal segment of the fracture site and, finally, the area located as far as possible from the most distal segment of the fracture (Figure 6).

After finding the perfect reduction and fixation of the fracture, the outcome of the repair of the occlusion was assessed. The patients were not immobilized unless this measure was indicated by the presence of intracapsular and contralateral fractures or other fractures of the middle third of the face. The incision was closed in two planes.

After recovery from anesthesia, the patients remained hospitalized for 24 hours and underwent radiography control to assess and confirm the fracture reduction. Antibiotic prophylaxis was administered only during anesthesia.

The patients were discharged and provided with a prescription for symptomatic drugs and guidance regarding a 40-day liquid diet. The patients were monitored weekly, and after 40 days of restricted diet, they gradually shifted to higher-density diets toward eating regular, unrestricted food without displaying any symptoms.

**RESULTS**

The mean age of the patients was 35 years. Among the 14 patients who underwent surgery, only one was female. The patients did not present with significant comorbidities, and only one patient was using anticonvulsants.

In the postoperative period, the patients evolved satisfactorily. Only two patients had purulent drainage in the fracture region, for which they were treated with antibiotic therapy in...
an outpatient setting and thereby showed complete resolution of the drainage.

The patients did not show any difficulty in the healing of the surgical wound through which the condylar fractures were accessed. Only one patient presented with paresis of the buccal branch of the facial nerve and was treated with physiotherapy, which achieved complete resolution after 2 months.

The characteristics of the patient cohort in the present study are listed in Table 1.

None of the patients required reoperation. Figures 7 and 8 present the cases of 2 patients who achieved perfect fracture reduction. Figure 9 shows that the occlusion was quite close to that prior to trauma. The scars were barely visible, as shown in Figure 10.

**DISCUSSION**

Condylar fractures of the mandible are classified as either intracapsular or extracapsular. They are also divided anato-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Cause of trauma</th>
<th>Side of the condyle fracture</th>
<th>Surgical indication</th>
<th>Lesions associated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.J.S.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation</td>
<td>TBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.T.M.</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Motorbike accident</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation and associated mandibular fracture</td>
<td>Fracture of the right mandibular body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.M.O.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation and associated mandibular fracture</td>
<td>Parasymphyseal bilateral mandibular fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D.</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation</td>
<td>TBI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.M.V.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Car accident</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation and associated mandibular fracture</td>
<td>Fracture of the right mandibular body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.M.D</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Motorbike accident</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation and associated mandibular fracture</td>
<td>Fracture of bilateral mandibular body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.R.J.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Associated mandibular fracture</td>
<td>Fracture of the right mandibular body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.C.</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.Q.P.</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation and associated mandibular fracture</td>
<td>Fracture of the right mandibular body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.M.M.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Patient using anticonvulsants</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.O.G</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Car accident</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Associated fracture of the middle third of the face</td>
<td>Fracture of the left malar, Le Fort II, and left orbital floor and ceiling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.M.</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Motorbike fall</td>
<td>Right and Left</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation and associated mandibular fracture</td>
<td>Parasymphyseal bilateral mandibular fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.R.J.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Assault</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation and associated mandibular fracture</td>
<td>Parasymphyseal bilateral mandibular fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.A.L.</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Motorbike accident</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Significant lateral deviation and associated mandibular fracture</td>
<td>Parasymphyseal bilateral mandibular fractures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F = female; M = male; TBI = traumatic brain injury.

*Figure 7 – Patient J.R.J. A, Preoperative computed tomographic image. B, Postoperative radiograph.*

*Figure 8 – Patient C.A.L. A, Preoperative computed tomographic image. B, Postoperative radiograph.*
mically into head condylar (intracapsular), neck condylar (extracapsular), and subcondylar fractures\textsuperscript{9}, according to the scheme presented in Figure 11.

Condylar fractures of the mandible may also be classified according to the degree of deviation as follows: not diverted, with deviation but maintaining bone continuity, and with dislocation of bone fragments (medial, lateral, or no mandibular condyle in the glenoid cavity)\textsuperscript{10}. Displacement of the fractured segments was influenced by several factors such as direction of the impact, magnitude of the trauma, and exact point on which the force was applied, along with the patient’s dental conditions and the presence of occlusion prior to trauma\textsuperscript{11}. The presence of molar teeth in contact, in the neutral occlusion, during the trauma, will cause fractures with little or no dislocation. Conversely, in cases in which there is no dental contact or the mouth is open during trauma, transmission of the force typically leads to large displacements of the fractured segments\textsuperscript{12}.

For diagnosis, the main parameter analyzed is dental occlusion. However, the objective evaluation of this occlusion does not always correlate with the discomfort reported by the patients\textsuperscript{13}. Performing a facial tomography, in coronal and axial sections, is also essential, as it provides the surgeon with information of the fracture anatomy\textsuperscript{5}.

In the literature, the indication for surgical treatment of extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible varies significantly\textsuperscript{14}. For a long time, conservative treatment had been considered to be standard owing to the technical difficulties in reducing fractured fragments, besides the possibility of nerve injury to facial nerve branches during surgery\textsuperscript{15}. In the follow-up of patients treated conservatively, functional disorders and facial growth were observed in a large number of patients\textsuperscript{9}. Therefore, surgical treatment is currently indicated for adults whose radiographic findings demonstrate the presence of displaced condyle fractures that cause clinical repercussions. Moreover, there are some other absolute and relative indications (Chart 1). Conservative treatment remains the best option for patients with nondisplaced, intracapsular, comminuted, condylar fractures, especially for children younger than 12 years\textsuperscript{16}.

The best surgical approach should be as easy as possible for the surgeon to perform, should be versatile, and should allow for good visualization of the fracture site, with a short procedural time and low rate of complications\textsuperscript{17}. The surgical approaches for the treatment of condylar fractures may be either intraoral or extraoral. The intraoral approach, first described in 1925, confers a low risk for nerve injuries and no visible scars. However, it may be difficult to perform in cases of high fractures with medial deviation. Moreover, it requires the use of the endoscope and a longer surgical time compared with other approaches\textsuperscript{18}. Several authors reported high rates of postoperative complications related to endoscopic reduction, such as incomplete fracture reduction, condylar reabsorption, and postoperative occlusal diseases\textsuperscript{19}.

Most extraoral approaches have already been described, such as preauricular, submandibular, and retromandibular approaches. These extraoral procedures present higher risks for nerve damage and consequent cutaneous visible scars as compared to intraoral approaches\textsuperscript{20}. In particular, the pre-auricular approach increases the risk for injury to the temporal and zygomatic branches of the facial temporal nerve, along with its inability to properly treat subcondylar fractures\textsuperscript{7}. Moreover, it is associated with an increased risk for
hematoma, sensory loss, and hypertrophic scars. However, these extraoral approaches are not indicated for the treatment of all types of fractures. The pre-auricular approach is indicated for high fractures, as common submandibular and retromandibular approaches do not allow for the proper visualization of high fractures.

The description of the approach used for the patients in this series differs from the classic description of the submandibular and retromandibular approaches. Besides the size of the incision, which does not exceed 20 mm, the division of deep tissues using hemostatic forceps, without making an incision but just bluntly dissecting the tissues, significantly reduces the occurrence of nerve injury and parotid complications (fistulas and Frey’s syndrome). Moreover, it contributes to low patient morbidity, without hampering the proper treatment of the condylar fracture. In addition to considering the surgical approach, it is important to underline the anatomical repair of the posterior border of the mandibular condyle, which becomes an important parameter for optimal fracture reduction.

CONCLUSIONS

In light of recently published studies, the indication for surgical treatment and rigid fixation of extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible becomes clearer in the case of large deviations or when the fracture is associated with other mandibular fractures and/or the middle third of the face. Complications due to the surgical approach implemented significantly influence the final outcome and may cause nerve injuries and/or sensory damage, unsightly scars, and ineffective reduction of the condylar fracture. Therefore, the mini-retromandibular transparotid approach offers advantages and should be included as an option for the surgical treatment of extracapsular condylar fractures of the mandible.
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