Radical versus conservative surgery for craniofacial fibrous dysplasia: stratification of surgical strategies

Cirurgia radical versus cirurgia conservadora no tratamento da displasia fibrosa craniofacial: estratificação da abordagem cirúrgica

ABSTRACT

Background: To date, there is no consensus regarding the best surgical approach (conservative or radical) for craniofacial fibrous dysplasia. This study presented the experience of a single institution in the surgical treatment of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia. Method: This was a retrospective analysis of patients with craniofacial fibrous dysplasia who underwent surgery between 1997 and 2012. Surgical treatment was individualized according to patient age, the involved anatomical site (zones I-IV), aesthetic and/or functional impairment, and the preferences of the patients and surgical team. The surgical results were classified on the basis of the Whitaker system. Results: Ten, 1, 1, and 1 patients with zone I, zone II, zone I/II, and zone I/IV involvement, respectively, were included in the study. In total, conservative surgeries and 9 radical surgeries were performed for the treatment of primary bone tumors. There was 1 surgical complication, and 6 recurrences were identified during the postoperative follow-up period. The global average of surgical outcomes, according to the Whitaker scale, was 1.69 ± 0.94. Conclusions: According to the experience and surgical results presented in this study, the surgical approach for craniofacial fibrous dysplasia should be individualized.
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INTRODUCTION

Fibrous dysplasia is a benign bone tumor in which the normal bone structure is replaced by abnormal fibrous bone tissue. This disease is responsible for approximately 2–3% of all tumors derived from bone tissue, and the disease can involve one (monostotic form; represents approximately 70–80% of all cases) or multiple bones (polyostotic form), including the craniofacial skeleton (10–25% of all cases of monostotic fibrous dysplasia and 50–90% of all cases of polyostotic fibrous dysplasia).

Craniofacial fibrous dysplasia typically appears in childhood, and it is characterized by slow and painless tumor growth causing aesthetic impairment (craniofacial asymmetry) and functional deficits, such as obstruction of the upper airway, dentition disorders, dental and vision occlusion, orbital dystopia, and exophthalmos, depending on the growth of the tumor and the structures involved.

The main goals in the treatment of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia are to correct or prevent functional deficits and restore the aesthetics of the craniofacial contour. Although surgical intervention is considered the primary therapeutic option, there are disagreements concerning the optimal surgical strategy. In addition, therapeutic algorithms can differ. Some craniofacial surgery centers favor large bone resections with immediate bone reconstruction (radical surgical approach), whereas others favor thinning, curettage, and/or bone modeling (conservative surgical approach). Moreover, different studies have reported divergent findings regarding the need for prophylactic orbital decompression and surgical treatment in children.

In 2007, our group reported a 2-stage surgical treatment for a form of hereditary familiar fibrous dysplasia known as cherubism. However, to our knowledge, there is little national literature that specifically addresses the differences in the surgical treatment of nonhereditary craniofacial fibrous dysplasia, although the demographic, clinical, histopathological, radiographic, and tomographic aspects have been previously characterized.

Thus, this study aimed to present the experience of a Brazilian institution of craniofacial plastic surgery concerning the surgical approach for nonhereditary craniofacial fibrous dysplasia.

METHOD

We performed an observational retrospective study of patients with nonhereditary craniofacial fibrous dysplasia who underwent treatment in the Institute of Craniofacial Plastic Surgery of the SOBRAPAR Hospital between 1997 and 2012. The medical records of all patients with craniofacial fibrous dysplasia were reviewed after approval by the Committee of Ethics and Human Research of the SOBRAPAR Hospital. Only patients with clinical, radiologic, and histologic diagnoses of fibrous bone dysplasia who were treated surgically by the same group of plastic surgeons with similar training and philosophies and who were not lost in the postoperative follow-up were included. Demographic, clinical, and surgical variables were ascertained through medical records, photographs, and clinical consultations with all included patients.

Patients were classified according to the level of involvement of the craniofacial skeleton by fibrous dysplasia, as described by Chen & Noordhoff, as follows: zone I (fronto-orbital, zygomatic, and upper jaw regions); zone II (scalp); zone III (skull base, including the petrous temporal bone, the pterygoid and mastoid regions, and the sphenoid bone); and zone IV (dentoalveolar maxillary and mandibular regions) (Figure 1).

Surgical Interventions

All patients underwent conservative (bone thinning) or radical (complete resection of dysplastic bone and immediate bone reconstruction) surgery through an extraoral and/or intraoral approach under general anesthesia to correct functional deficits and improve craniofacial aesthetics when deformities were present and they undermined patients’ interpersonal relationships. Decisions about surgical procedures were individualized on the basis of patient age, the anatomical location of the lesion, the presence of orbital dystopia, and the preferences of the patients (or parents when
indicated) and surgeons. All patients were regularly followed up after surgery because of the risk of tumor recurrence and malignant potential. The need for new interventions after relapse was based on symptomatology and radiographic disease progression.

The results of the initial/main surgical interventions were graded according to the degree of need for additional surgery described by Whitaker as follows: category I, requires no surgical refinements; category II, requires minor surgical refinements of craniofacial contour; category III, requires considerable additional osteotomies (surgical intervention lower than the initial/major surgery); and category IV, requires a new complete craniofacial surgery, similar to the initial/major surgery.

All data were compiled in the Excel for Windows program (Microsoft Corporation, USA). For descriptive analysis, the mean was used for metric variables, and percentages were used for categorical variables.

RESULTS

Thirteen patients diagnosed with nonhereditary craniofacial fibrous dysplasia were included in this study. Seven (53.85%) patients were female, and 6 (46.15%) were male. In 5 (38.46%) patients, fibrous dysplasia was considered congenital (bone disease present from birth), and the mean age at onset of fibrous dysplasia in the remaining 8 (61.54%) patients was 8.75 years (range, 3 months to 25 years). The mean ages of patients at the first craniofacial surgery and at the time of data collection for this study were 16.92 ± 6.92 (range, 7–28 years) and 22.42 ± 8.91 years (range, 9–36 years), respectively.

All patients exhibited progressive asymmetry of their craniofacial contours, which was the main reason they sought specialized care. Ten (76.92%) patients displayed involvement of a single bone (monostotic form), whereas (23.08%) had disease in more than 1 bone (polostotic form). Ten (76.92%), 1 (7.69%), 1 (7.69%), and 1 (7.69%) patients displayed involvement of zone I, zone II, zones I and III, and zones I and IV, respectively. One (7.69%) patient had McCune-Albright syndrome (polyostotic fibrous dysplasia, precocious puberty, and abnormal skin pigmentation) and other associated congenital abnormalities (cleft palate, macrostomia, unilateral microtia, and preauricular and bilateral malar hypoplasia). Each patient’s family history was normal. No malignancy was noted in any of the histopathological analyses of this series.

A total of 18 craniofacial surgeries (1–3 surgeries/patient; 9 conservative surgeries and 9 radical surgeries) were performed for the treatment of primary bone tumors according to the severity of aesthetic and/or functional involvement (Figures 2–6). Seven (53.85%) patients exclusively underwent bone thinning (conservative approach), 4 (30.77%) patients underwent extensive bone resection associated with immediate reconstruction of the bone defect (radical intervention), and 2 (15.38%) patients underwent both (radical and conservative) interventions (Table 1).
There was only 1 (5.56%) complication (surgical site hematoma) after conservative surgery (bone thinning of the frontal region). All patients are being followed at the SOBRAPAR Hospital.

**DISCUSSION**

Fibrous dysplasia is the most common craniofacial bone tumor observed in plastic surgery. The disease mainly affects women, and approximately 1.5–5.40% of cases of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia are associated with McCune-Albright syndrome, as noted in our study.
The primary treatment for craniofacial fibrous dysplasia is surgery. One must mention that this treatment is associated with controversies, including the need for prophylactic orbital decompression, the best type of surgical approach (conservative or radical), and the use of surgical interventions in children.

The most dramatic consequence of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia is visual deficit due to compression of the optic nerve (present in 50–90% of patients), and there is a debate concerning the need for prophylactic optic nerve decompression, especially when the vision of the patients is normal. Because of the potential risk of visual impairment and optic nerve atrophy, prophylactic decompression was historically indicated for asymptomatic patients with radiologic evidence of optic nerve compression. However, a recent meta-analysis illustrated that decompression surgery in asymptomatic patients was associated with the deterioration of visual acuity. In fact, narrowing of the optic nerve by bone fibrous dysplasia alone is not related to visual loss, as 95% of patients maintain normal vision despite the extrinsic tumor compression. Moreover, most recent studies revealed that approximately 67–84% of patients with visual impairment who underwent decompression surgery displayed improved visual acuity.

Thus, we and other groups recommend surgical decompression of the optic canal only in patients with orbital involvement and commitment of visual acuity. In this context, Chen et al. defined the indication for decompression surgery of the optic nerve as follows: gradual and progressive visual loss and sudden visual loss (within 1 week) are considered absolute indications for immediate surgical decompression; rapid visual loss (within 2–3 weeks), no visual loss with radiographic evidence of reduction of the optic canal (because of the progressive growth of bone tumors) in children and adolescents, and no visual loss with radiographic evidence of reduction of the optic canal and with the active fibrous dysplasia in adults are relative indications. In line with other groups, we adopted only a few of these guidelines in our practice. Asymptomatic patients with computed tomographic evidence of bone around the optic nerve tumor have been regularly monitored with evaluation of visual function, whereas those who experienced visual deficits for less than 1 month undergo decompression surgery because the intervention appears to be useless in patients who experienced compromised visual acuity for more than 1 month.

In the present study, the only patient (7.69%) who underwent optic nerve decompression 2 weeks after presenting with unilateral amaurosis developed permanent unilateral visual loss. Other studies have also reported that visual deficits may persist in patients with visual impairment, even if decompression is performed within 1 month.

Table 1 – Surgical procedures performed in patients with craniofacial fibrous dysplasia (n = 13).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Age (years) at the time of first surgery</th>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Surgical resection of the primary tumor</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>Radical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Polystotic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4*</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Monostotic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zones I and III</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Polyostotic</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zones I and IV</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Polystotic</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ = Performed; − = Not performed; * = Underwent optic nerve decompression; ** = McCune-Albright syndrome.
In agreement with the present study, most authors reported that the relapse of fibrous dysplasia is more frequent in patients treated with a conservative approach (25–80%). Based upon this observation, other authors recommended radical surgery as the primary surgical treatment modality despite its significantly higher risk of intraoperative bleeding.

In this context, as the clinical presentation of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia is extensive, depending on the site involved and the tumor extension, the surgical approach should be selected carefully. We believe that, in addition to the relevance of recurrence, other aspects such as age, the affected bone site, functional and/or aesthetic impairment, the preferences of patients and family members, and the experience of the surgical team should be considered before making any decisions. In other words, treatment must be individualized for each particular clinical situation.

Historically, it has been recommended to wait for the stabilization of dysplastic bone growth after puberty before surgically treating patients with craniofacial fibrous dysplasia. However, as craniofacial involvement is devastating for both interpersonal relationships and function in children, this delay has been less acceptable. Thus, early surgical intervention is necessary and logical, as bone tumors compromise function and aesthetics in children. One must mention that within the scope of surgical indication, function is more relevant than aesthetics.

Hence, our group has treated patients with craniofacial fibrous dysplasia under the following philosophy: lesions in the anterior skull base (anterior and middle cranial fossa) are treated with radical surgical intervention, whereas lesions in the posterior skull base (posterior fossa) have been treated with conservative surgery because of the difficulty in achieving complete tumor removal/reconstruction. Lesions of the orbital, zygomatic, maxillary, and mandibular regions (zones I and IV) in patients less than 7 years of age who do not exhibit any visual impairment have been treated conservatively because osteotomy can compromise the development of dentition. As chewing and/or respiratory functions are rarely affected by zone IV impairment, radical surgery can be delayed until craniofacial growth is more established and closer to completion. Therefore, as 85% of the growth of the craniofacial skeleton is complete by 7 years of age, any radical surgery after this age will have a minor impact on facial growth. The presence of visual deficits for less than 14 days in patients with zone I involvement is an indication for radical surgery (Figure 7).

In this study, the surgical results evaluated using the Whitaker classification followed the trend of recently published results; in both studies, the outcomes of treatment (conservative and radical) were classified on average as Whitaker category I (no need for surgical revision). However, as the Whitaker classification system evaluates the surgical result at a specific time (static evaluation) and craniofacial fibrous dysplasia characteristically displays progressive growth (dynamic process), the results reported by our group and others may change as the duration of postoperative follow-up increases. Therefore, even if classified into category I, a patient should be monitored regularly for a long period because of the risks of new bone lesions, recurrence, and malignant progression.

---

**Figure 7 - Therapeutic algorithm for the surgical treatment of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia.**
CONCLUSIONS

In this retrospective study, we presented an algorithm for the treatment of craniofacial fibrous dysplasia based on 16 years of experience. According to the surgical results presented and discussed in this study, the surgical approach for these patients should be individualized in line with the predetermined criteria of preserving function and facial harmony and aesthetics.
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