Reconstruction of a burned nipple-areola complex using an autonomized star flap

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Reconstruction of the nipple-areola complex is the final stage of breast reconstruction. The most common nipple reconstruction techniques use local flaps or grafts. However, these techniques in cases of burns produce undesirable outcomes due to the decreased vascularization of damaged skin. The objective of this work was to evaluate the use of the autonomized star flap in the nipple reconstruction of burned breasts. Methods: Nipples were reconstructed in eight female patients in two surgeries each. Results: There were no complications such as necrosis, dehiscence, complete loss of projection of the new nipple, or infection. The mean projection at the end of surgery was 15.25 mm; 6 months after reconstruction, it was 3 mm, showing stability. All patients were satisfied with their results. Conclusion: We conclude that autonomization leads to safe reconstruction of the nipple on burned breasts and maintains a satisfactory minimal projection of the reconstructed nipple. Keywords: Burned breast; Nipple reconstruction; Breast sequelae.
INTRODUCTION

Rebuilding the nipple-areola complex (NAC) represents the final stage of breast reconstruction. Many techniques have been described for NAC reconstruction; however, few have been described in burned breasts.

Some of the techniques used to reconstruct the nipple include a contralateral nipple graft (part of the contralateral nipple), composite grafts of auricular cartilage and skin, vaginal mucosa graft, and various local flaps. The grafting of the contralateral nipple is more favorable in terms of color and texture. However, there is not always a sufficient amount of nipple tissue for sharing with the other breast, the graft is not always integrated, projection loss is considerable, and morbidity of the donor area is increased. Grafting can also be combined with the use of prostheses and cartilage grafts to maintain the projection, but, as with any foreign body, are at a greater risk of infection and extrusion or reabsorption. For these reasons, many surgeons and patients may refuse this technique and prefer to use local tissue for reconstruction.

The main techniques used are based on designs that can elevate the local tissues, which may or may not be associated with the placement of a cartilage graft that is generally removed from the pinna. Many grafting techniques with different designs exist, such as the triangular skin flap, opposite triangle, purse-string suture, skate flap, “S” flap, and arrow flap, each of which has its special features, advantages, and disadvantages. In all cases, the aims are to reach similarity with the contralateral NAC and maintain the projection of the reconstructed nipple.

One of the most common reconstruction techniques is the star or trilobed flap. Various published studies have shown a low rate of complications and low loss of projection of 41-60% over 1 year after the surgery, primarily within the first 6 months post-surgery.

For areolar reconstruction, techniques include a split- or total-thickness skin graft (the vaginal mucosa, inner face of the thigh, or contralateral areola) and intradermal tattoo.

Based on the literature, the authors began to perform nipple reconstructions on burned breasts using the star flap. The first cases, however, presented partial or full flap necrosis (Figure 1), although this has not been reported in the literature.

Thus, due to flap necrosis, the authors proposed autonomizing them in an attempt to reduce these complications.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to evaluate the evolution of nipples of burned breasts reconstructed using autonomized star flaps.

METHODS

Eight women aged 18-34 years with second- or third-degree burns on the breasts and absence of the NAC who were treated with the autonomized star flap technique were studied between May and November 2011 (Figure 2).

The positioning of the new nipple was made symmetrically to the contralateral one; in its absence, it was placed at the apex of the breast cone. The desired projection at the end of surgery was 12-14 mm from the base measured by a caliper placed at the base of the lower portion of the reconstructed nipple. The procedures were performed under local anesthesia with 1% lidocaine.

1st Surgical procedure

Demarcation of flaps in accordance with Drawing 1 and an incision in the skin to the subcutaneous tissue without any type of detachment, achievement of hemostasis, and closure of the incisions with monofilament 5-0 nylon sutures (Figure 2).

2nd Surgical procedure – 15 days after the first surgery

Achievement of the incisions in the same locations of the first operation, followed by the removal of flaps with subcutaneous detachment, maintaining the pedicle at its base. This is followed by thinning of the flap (partial removal of subcutaneous tissue) as needed for the assembly of the nipple. Closure was performed with simple monofilament nylon 5-0 without tension.
and the donor area in two planes, with subdermal monofilament nylon 4-0 and nylon monofilament 5-0 in skin (Figure 2).

After the second surgery, the wound is dressed with several layers of cotton gauze with a hole in the middle through which the new nipple is projected to prevent its compression by clothing. After the sutures are withdrawn on day 7, a silicone nipple shield, conventionally purchased in pharmacies and used by breastfeeding women, is used.

**Evaluation of the results**

Monthly follow-up was performed in the postoperative period. The reconstructed nipples were evaluated for both projection and complications such as dehiscence, necrosis, pain, and infection. The projection was initially evaluated with a pachymeter at the end of the second surgery and 15 days and 1, 3, 6, and 18 months postoperatively.

At 6 months post-reconstruction, the patients were queried whether they were satisfied with the results, would again undergo the procedure, or would recommend the surgery to other patients.

**RESULTS**

In the eight operated patients, no complications regarding anesthesia, dehiscence, infection, pain, or necrosis were observed.

The initial mean nipple projection was 15.25 ± 1.47 mm. At the end of the first month, an average 45% of the initial projection was lost, while 66% was lost at the third month. At 18 months post-reconstruction, 15-40% of the initial nipple projection remained (Figures 3-13).

![Figure 3](image-url) **Figure 3.** Evolution of the reconstructed nipple projection at 15 days and 1, 3, 6, and 18 months postoperatively.

![Figure 4](image-url) **Figure 4.** (A) Left: left breast without nipple reconstruction. Right: autonomized flaps with sutures after the first surgery. (B) Left: appearance of the nipple at the end of the second surgery. Right: appearance of the nipple after 12 months of follow-up.

![Figure 5](image-url) **Figure 5.** (A) Left: breasts without reconstruction; both breasts had their nipple reconstructed. Right: appearance of the right nipple after 12 months of follow-up. (B) The left nipple after 12 months of follow-up.

![Figure 6](image-url) **Figure 6.** Left: left breast without nipple reconstruction. Right: appearance of the left nipple after 12 months of follow-up.

![Figure 7](image-url) **Figure 7.** Left: right breast without nipple reconstruction. Right: appearance of the right nipple after 12 months of follow-up.
All patients were satisfied with the results, saying that they would undergo surgery again and would recommend the procedure to another patient.

**DISCUSSION**

The most common changes found in sequelae of breast burns are related to the shape and poor quality of skin coverage – composed of scars – with skin elasticity loss and/or surface irregularities. Thus, cicatricial retractions cause major breast shape distortions.

NAC destruction may also occur. Several surgical techniques have been used to mitigate these effects, normally by the broad release of cicatricial retractions and the skin coverage of the open areas, using split-thickness skin flaps, local or remote flaps, or even skin expanders\(^\text{17}\). NAC restoration represents the final stage of breast reconstruction and is a challenge to the plastic surgeon\(^\text{18,19}\). Retrospective analyses show that patient satisfaction in relation to breast reconstruction is directly related to the presence of the NAC\(^\text{20-22}\). Moreover, patients with sequelae of breast burns yearn for full breasts despite their marks and scars.

The main goals of NAC reconstruction are maintenance of nipple projection, coloring of the...
areola, and positional symmetry. For this reason, several techniques have emerged over the last 30 years, but there is no universal preference of surgeons who perform breast reconstructions.

The difficulties typically encountered with the use of local flaps are skin necrosis of the reconstructed nipple, infection, dehiscence, and especially long-term loss of projection. This loss is thought to be due to the deficiency of normal structures that comprise the nipple, such as smooth muscle and lactiferous ducts, and are responsible for its characteristic firmness. These tissues suffer from the retraction of the flap itself as well as with the peripheral retraction that occurs during the healing process.

Burn victims usually have an additional aggravating factor in nipple reconstructions: poor-quality neighboring tissues used in the construction of local flaps, with their low elasticity, varied thickness, thinned dermis, and poor vascularization. These characteristics lead to a higher incidence of surgical complications, especially those related to poor vascularization, specifically pain or tissue necrosis of the reconstructed nipple.

Few studies have focused on this topic. Due to the lack of information in this area, articles on nipple reconstruction in post-mastectomy breasts were also consulted. The trilobe or star flap most effectively protected against projection loss.

Despite care taken during reconstruction, particularly in relation to the vascularization of small flaps, complete or near-complete flap necrosis occurred in the first two cases (Figure 1), impeding the goals and indicating inadequate vascularization of the adjacent skin used to implement this type of procedure, which has not been reported in the literature.

The attempt to solve the problem was sought in the basic principles of plastic surgery using autonomized flaps. Using this simple feature, the occurrence of tissue necrosis was eliminated. Subsequently, the greatest challenge in nipple reconstruction was long-term attainment of the final outcome on the basis of the complex loss of projection of reconstructed nipples, possibly as a result of tension within neighboring tissues. This problem was overcome with a 20% increase in reconstructed nipple size. Nipples with 15-mm projection were obtained at the end of the surgery. Nevertheless, after 6 months, the reconstructed nipples (Figure 4) had decreased to approximately 20-30% of the initial projection.

Despite the low tissue elasticity, we encountered no difficulty raising the flaps. In all cases, however, there was a need to significantly thin the flap to retain almost the dermis only to transpose the flaps and suture without tension.

These initial results are promising, with a mean follow-up time of 1.5 years, and the nipple projection achieved at 6 months postoperatively was retained.

An assessment of the psychological aspects indicated that, regardless of the technique used for the nipple reconstruction and the results obtained, the vast majority of patients were satisfied with the results and recommended the technique to other patients, suggesting that what really matters is the presence of a nipple, even if the results are not ideal.

Although no specific test was used in this study, patient satisfaction is demonstrated by the fact that other patients requested nipple reconstruction after having talked to and seen the results of other patients who underwent the surgery.

CONCLUSION

The use of autonomized flaps for the nipple reconstruction of burned breasts displayed no complications, maintained acceptable nipple projection at 18 months, and was deemed satisfactory by postoperative patients.
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