Assessment of immediate symmetrization in breast reconstruction
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The surgical treatment of breast cancer frequently results in mutilation. Breast reconstruction in mastectomized women aims to create a new esthetically acceptable breast symmetrical to the contralateral breast. The objective of this study was to assess the feasibility of symmetrization of the contralateral breast simultaneously with breast reconstruction, discuss possible complications, and perform a brief review of the literature. Methods: A retrospective study was conducted in the Department of Plastic Surgery of Hospital Daher from October 2013 to February 2015. Breast reconstruction outcomes immediately after mastectomy for breast cancer were assessed, and all patients undergoing symmetrization of the contralateral breast in the same surgical stage using the same surgical technique were selected for inclusion and statistical analysis. Results: The study comprised 42 patients within the established criteria, totaling 21 reconstructions with simultaneous symmetrization (Group 1) and 21 symmetrization procedures in two stages (Group 2). The mean age was 53.86 years in Group 1 and 52.62 years in the control group. The groups were comparable in all variables. Data regarding postoperative complications were analyzed. Some of the studied patients did not complete all stages of reconstruction. The group that underwent immediate symmetrization attained more symmetry. The patients aged 45 years and with lower body mass index attained more symmetry in Group 1. Conclusion: The implementation of symmetrization procedures at the same stage of unilateral breast reconstruction is associated with low complication rates and revision surgeries. In selected cases, immediate symmetrization may be preferable to the procedure in another surgical stage.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women¹. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), more than 1,050,000 new cases occur per year worldwide. In Western countries, one in eight women will experience the disease during their lifetime². The surgical treatment of breast cancer frequently results in mutilation.

Breast reconstruction is proposed as a part of the treatment of patients with breast cancer. The motivation and the desire of the patients are the main indications for reconstructions to be performed, thus reducing the deformities that develop after mastectomy. Besides its oncological safety, its psychological benefits have become an integral part of the treatment of breast cancer³⁻⁵.

The surgical treatment of breast cancer has evolved considerably from the era of Halstead radical mastectomy⁶. Further, one of the most current manifestations of this evolution can be observed today in the so-called skin-sparing adenomastectomies, in which attempts are made to preserve the skin of the breast as much as possible to improve the quality of the reconstruction, while preserving the nipple areola complex (NAC) in some cases.

The goal of breast reconstruction in women undergoing mastectomy is to create a new esthetically acceptable breast, besides attaining symmetry with the contralateral breast⁷. The reconstruction of the breast alone does not provide a harmonic result in most cases however perfect it is. Creating symmetry from different conditions of each side in a single surgery is quite rare. Even in cases of bilateral mastectomies, additional procedures are frequently necessary to achieve such outcomes.

Late reconstructions were traditionally the most popular method, while waiting for the adjuvant treatment and complete resolution of the neoplasm⁸. However, immediate reconstructions are now the current trend, which offer psychological benefits and improve esthetics without delaying the adjuvant treatment or worsening the prognosis of the patient⁸.
The decision to operate the contralateral breast is complex and multifactorial. Symmetrization is part of a planning and proper execution of the unilateral reconstruction. The need for symmetrization of the contralateral breast depends on the pre-mastectomy characteristics, surgeon’s preference, post-reconstruction characteristics, type of mastectomy, and reconstruction method used.

Globally, it is accepted that the procedures on the contralateral breast should only occur in a second surgical stage. Recently, there have been some groups performing symmetrization of the contralateral breast in the same mastectomy and reconstruction stage.

The potential advantages of this single-stage approach include: reduction in the number of surgeries, duration of treatment, and costs and avoidance of a prolonged period of asymmetry, especially if the secondary procedure is delayed by adjuvant therapy. The concerns that are often raised on the simultaneous reconstruction and contralateral symmetrization are that the additional surgery and surgical stage can increase complications or need for blood transfusions, and changes may occur in the shape of the breast during healing and may lead to new asymmetries.

**OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this study is to investigate the importance and feasibility of symmetrization of the contralateral breast simultaneously with breast reconstruction, discuss possible complications, and conduct a brief literature review.

**METHODS**

This was a retrospective study conducted in the Department of Plastic Surgery of Hospital Daher in Brasilia, DF, from October 2013 to February 2015. The outcomes of the breast reconstructions immediately after mastectomy for breast cancer performed during this period were evaluated, and all patients undergoing symmetrization of the contralateral breast at the same surgical stage were selected for inclusion in the study. The control group included the same number of patients; however, they underwent symmetrization in a second surgical stage. Therefore, it is an intentional non-random sample.

**Surgical Technique**

The surgical techniques used in the two groups, both for immediate and late symmetrizations, were the same. The patients who underwent partial mastectomy and were reconstructed using mammoplasty (with or without the placement of a prosthesis) were submitted to the same surgery for the contralateral breast.

For the patients who underwent total mastectomy, the reconstruction included the placement of a prosthesis and temporary expansion or construction of a latissimus dorsi muscle flap. In this group, placement of a submuscular prosthesis with or without adjustments of the skin (mastopexy) was performed in the contralateral breast.

**Data collected**

The evaluated population characteristics were as follows: age, body mass index (BMI), breast size, presence of comorbidities, such as diabetes, hypertension, and hypothyroidism, and smoking status. The analyzed variables were as follows: hematoma, seroma, minor infection (defined as cases of erythema in a patient who has used antibiotics and with regression of presentation), major infection (infection that led to the loss of the implant), capsular contracture, and necrosis (flap and/or NAC). Data, such as the number of post-operative consultations, number of surgeries per patient, and need for complementary treatments, such as chemotherapy and radiation therapy, were also evaluated.

**Statistical Analysis**

The continuous variables with a normal distribution were analyzed using the Student’s t-test for independent samples and presented as means ± standard deviations (SDs). The continuous variables without a normal distribution were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney test and presented as medians and interquartile ranges. The categorical variables were analyzed using the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate, and were presented as absolute numbers and percentages. p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

The present study followed the principles of the Helsinki Declaration, adopted by the 18th World Medical Assembly, Helsinki, Finland in June 1964 and corrected by the 29th Medical Assembly, Tokyo, Japan in October 1975, the 35th World Medical Assembly Venice, Italy in October 1983, and the 41st World Medical Assembly, Hong Kong in September 1989.

**RESULTS**

During the study period, 42 patients were selected within the established criteria, totaling 21 reconstructions with simultaneous symmetrization (Group 1) and 21 symmetrizations in two stages (Group 2).
The mean age was 53.86 years for Group 1 and 52.62 years for the control group. The groups were comparable in all variables. The demographic data are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 shows the data that prove the homogeneity of the groups by means of statistical assessments. The unilaterality of the cases was predominant and had a statistical significance ($p = 0.035$) but without a clinical relevance.

The data regarding the post-operative complications are listed in Table 2. No statistically significant differences were found between the groups for the rates of minor infections, hematoma, seroma, and necrosis. Group 1 presented with higher rates of major infections but without a statistical significance, and Group 2 presented with higher rates of capsular contractures with a statistical significance ($p = 0.035$).

There was a predominance of reconstructions with the use of prostheses, totaling 23 cases, followed by the use of expanders in 15 cases. There were no statistically significant differences regarding the type of reconstruction between the groups.

Of all the patients studied, some did not complete all stages of reconstruction. Those who completed the stages were distributed as shown in Table 3; Group 2 had a smaller number than Group 1. The number of surgeries of the patients who completed the reconstruction stages and needed corrections in the contralateral breast was lower in Group 1, in which simultaneous symmetrization was performed (Table 4).

Table 5 indicates that the group that underwent immediate symmetrization attained significantly more symmetry ($p = 0.044$).

The patients up to 45 years old attained more symmetry in Group 1 with a statistical significance for this evaluation (Table 6).

The patients with a BMI $< 22$ kg/m$^2$ attained more symmetry in Group 1 (immediate symmetrization) than in Group 2 (delayed symmetrization), also with a statistical significance (Table 7).

Post-operative radiotherapy was performed in 11 patients who underwent simultaneous symmetrization (Group 1) and nine patients who underwent symmetrization in two stages (Group 2).

### Table 1. Comparison of the immediate and late symmetrization groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Immediate</th>
<th>Late</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients (n)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterality (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>53.8 ± 12.92</td>
<td>52.6 ± 11.48</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>24.26 ± 5.03</td>
<td>25.11 ± 3.84</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of surgeries performed</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.59</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.65</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of revisions</td>
<td>7.61 ± 3.65</td>
<td>8.76 ± 3.75</td>
<td>0.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>8 (38.0%)</td>
<td>7 (33.3%)</td>
<td>0.747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (%)</td>
<td>3 (7.3%)</td>
<td>5 (8.19%)</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers (%)</td>
<td>2 (9.52%)</td>
<td>1 (4.76%)</td>
<td>0.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smokers (%)</td>
<td>4 (19.04%)</td>
<td>4 (19.04%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism (%)</td>
<td>2 (9.52%)</td>
<td>1 (4.76%)</td>
<td>0.549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-surgical chemotherapy (%)</td>
<td>4 (19.0%)</td>
<td>4 (19.0%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-surgical chemotherapy (%)</td>
<td>10 (47.6%)</td>
<td>12 (57.14%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance of NAC (%)</td>
<td>9 (42.85%)</td>
<td>7 (33.33%)</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopathological examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDC (%)</td>
<td>17 (80.95%)</td>
<td>16 (76.19%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCIS (%)</td>
<td>4 (19.0%)</td>
<td>4 (19.0%)</td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILC (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>1 (4.76%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of the complications per group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Immediate (n = 21)</th>
<th>Late (n = 21)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hematoma (%)</td>
<td>1 (4.76%)</td>
<td>1 (4.76%)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seroma (%)</td>
<td>5 (23.80%)</td>
<td>4 (19.04%)</td>
<td>0.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor infection (%)</td>
<td>3 (14.28%)</td>
<td>2 (9.52%)</td>
<td>0.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major infection (%)</td>
<td>3 (14.28%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis (%)</td>
<td>3 (14.28%)</td>
<td>3 (14.28%)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracture (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>4 (19.04%)</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes a statistical significance.

Table 3. Finished reconstruction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Immediate Symmetrization</th>
<th>Late Symmetrization</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry (%)</td>
<td>13 (61.90%)</td>
<td>17 (80.95%)</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Correction in the contralateral breast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correction in the contralateral breast</th>
<th>Immediate Symmetrization</th>
<th>Late Symmetrization</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4 (19.04%)</td>
<td>9 (42.85%)</td>
<td>0.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>9 (42.85%)</td>
<td>6 (28.57%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Symmetry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes a statistical significance.

Table 6. Age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symmetry (up to 45 years)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Denotes a statistical significance.

The results of the two methods studied are shown in the photographs in Figures 1 to 4.

**DISCUSSION**

The importance of breast symmetrization is becoming increasingly evident in breast reconstruction procedures. At present, we have more options for...
reconstructions than in the past, and our technical skills have improved. The expectations of women with breast cancer have been strengthened by virtue of the fact that the plastic surgeon is frequently able to reconstruct a breast with a natural volume and contour.\textsuperscript{6,11}

The need for other surgical stages for completion of reconstruction is an important part of this process and must be previously understood and accepted by the patient. Thus, additional procedures, such as mammoplasty of the contralateral breast, reconstruction of the NAC, and corrections of irregularities and unsightly scars, are often essential to achieve a satisfactory esthetic result.\textsuperscript{12}

The intention to perform the symmetrization procedure at the stage of initial reconstruction attempts to provide immediate symmetry of the breast and avoid the need for secondary procedures while maintaining patient safety.\textsuperscript{7} Hudson & Skoll\textsuperscript{13} reported the need for surgery in a healthy breast as a disadvantage; however, they cited that other studies have shown that over 80% of patients undergoing reconstructions will require a surgery in the contralateral breast for symmetrization.

Another way of addressing immediate symmetrization would be to minimize the size of the second stage surgery, leaving only small adjustments to be made, which is our biggest goal.

In our series, the number of surgeries to correct the contralateral breast was lower in Group 1 (immediate symmetrization), and Group 1 attained significantly more symmetry than Group 2 (delayed symmetrization) (p = 0.044). Moreover, post-operative radiotherapy was performed in 11 patients who underwent simultaneous symmetrization (Group 1) and in nine patients who underwent symmetrization in two stages (Group 2) without compromising the surgical outcomes achieved in symmetry or needing additional refinement surgeries.

Smith et al.\textsuperscript{7} showed that performing symmetrization at the same stage as the initial reconstruction resulted in a reduction of 76% of patients needing additional surgeries. Only 13% of the patients needed secondary symmetrization procedures.

To this end, we compared statistically equivalent groups, and we found that the complication rate in Group 1 (immediate symmetrization) was lower than that in Group 2 (delayed symmetrization).

Smith et al.\textsuperscript{7} also showed that the complication rates (11%) and blood transfusion rates (9%) were low. The complication rate for the contralateral breast was extremely low (1%), although previous studies have shown a desire to perform these procedures simultaneously because the risk of blood loss causes an unacceptably high rate of blood transfusion.\textsuperscript{14-18}

We also found that the patients who presented with loss of reconstruction (implant removal owing to infection) showed no complications in the contralateral breast. Therefore, symmetrization in this specific group of patients did not alter the outcome.

A series of smaller studies are related to the subject; however, these studies did not comment on the indications, safety, or approach.\textsuperscript{19,26}

Hudson & Skoll\textsuperscript{13} reported 18 cases of simultaneous contralateral symmetrization in single-stage reconstructions using implants. There were no complications in the contralateral breast; however, the average follow-up period was less than a year, and although they mentioned surgical complications in the reconstructed breast, they did not discuss revisions.

The patients submitted to the expansion of the tissue and reconstruction with implants are even more likely to require a symmetrization procedure. Two series show that 62-66% of women undergoing expander/implant reconstructions require contralateral symmetrization, compared with 37-41% of autologous reconstruction.\textsuperscript{7}

In the groups studied herein, the reconstructions with prosthesis and expanders prevailed, which facilitates the symmetrization using the same technique. In all immediate symmetrizations, submuscular prostheses were used, except for the breast augmentation patients.

According to the literature, reductive mammoplasty is the most common symmetrization procedure in patients who had reconstruction using autologous tissues, and symmetrizations with prostheses are more common for reconstructions that used expanders or prostheses, which was also observed in this series.

We also noted that three patients were subjected to partial mastectomy and reconstructed with mammaplasty (oncoplastic surgery). In these patients, we performed contralateral mammoplasty for breast symmetrization, and these cases aroused our interest in attempting to develop a technique for the total mastectomies as well.

Hudson & Skoll\textsuperscript{13} showed that breast reduction or mastopexy in the contralateral breast, which was performed at the same stage as the symmetrization mastectomy, yielded good results and an obvious reduction in the costs when the surgery was performed in a single stage.

Stevenson & Goldstein\textsuperscript{25} performed a retrospective review of 25 patients who underwent reconstruction with a pedicled TRAM via a simultaneous symmetrization procedure compared with 14 patients who only had reconstruction with a TRAM. They found no differences in the surgical stage, blood loss, or length of hospital stay between the two groups; and no patient needed revisions.
of the contralateral side for symmetry. The average follow-up was 16 months.

Huang et al.28 also published a recent report on 22 cases using the free TRAM technique for unilateral reconstruction of the breast with the simultaneous symmetrization and observed that the esthetics was better than that in patients undergoing similar reconstructions without symmetrization.

In the only large series conducted by Chang et al.28, it was found that 50% of patients undergoing breast reconstructions require a contralateral symmetrization procedure. In their series, 14% (154 of 1120) of the patients underwent an immediate contralateral symmetrization procedure and 36% (404 of 1120) underwent a delayed contralateral procedure.

A revision of the procedures for contralateral symmetry was performed in 21% of patients, in general. The rate of revision was higher for augmentation mammoplasties and mastopexies in the immediate group than in the late-treatment group; however, there was no difference in the rates of revision for breast reduction between the two groups. A higher incidence of complications was reported in the immediate symmetrization procedure; however, the average number of procedures performed was significantly higher in patients undergoing delayed contralateral procedures than in those undergoing immediate contralateral procedures.28

Younger patients aged < 45 years and thinner patients with a BMI < 22 kg/m² attained significantly more symmetry in Group 1 (immediate symmetrization) than in Group 2 (delayed symmetrization). Clinical series have shown that up to 86% of patients undergoing unilateral reconstructions benefit from surgery in the contralateral breast to improve symmetry.29,30,31

Smith et al.7 showed that their study provides further support for these results, demonstrating a significant reduction in the number of secondary procedures (24%) and revisions of symmetry (13%) in patients undergoing autologous reconstruction and immediate contralateral symmetrization procedures.

**CONCLUSION**

It is concluded that the implementation of symmetrization procedures at the stage of unilateral breast reconstructions is associated with a low complication rate and need for revision surgeries, even if the patients undergo post-operative radiation therapy. Furthermore, it enables some degree of symmetry earlier in the breast reconstruction process. Therefore, we believe that in selected cases, immediate symmetrization may be preferable to the procedure in another surgical stage.
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