Total nasal reconstruction: use of the “sandwich technique” during residency

Reconstrução nasal total: uso da “técnicas em sanduíche” durante a residência

ABSTRACT

Introduction: One of the most common sites of skin cancer is the nose, and because of its distinct three-dimensional structure, reconstruction of the nasal tip support is challenging for plastic surgeons. Methods: This article presents an alternative option for total nasal reconstruction using the bilateral frontal flap and the block bilateral auricular cartilage graft. We present an account of the use of the “sandwich technique”, consisting of two frontal flaps interspersed by auricular cartilage. While the first flap gives rise to the new roof of the nasal fossa, the cartilage configures the three-dimensional shape and provides support for the new nasal structure. The second flap is then responsible for the outer coverage. Results: In this case, both the minimal morbidity of the donor area and excellent perfusion of the autonomized flaps were verified, leading to a largely satisfactory result. Conclusions: Although total nasal reconstruction is an infrequent procedure in the career of a plastic surgeon, the technique described here is a viable option for these cases.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the most common sites of skin cancer is the nose, and because of its distinct three-dimensional structure, reconstruction of the nasal tip poses a challenge for plastic surgeons\(^1\)^. In this context, the alar and quadrangular cartilages are critical structures responsible for the projection and maintenance of the shape and function of the lower third of the nose\(^3\)^. Although several techniques exist for the partial reconstruction of these cartilages, only a few authors have described total reconstruction techniques\(^3\).

Basic principles for the successful reconstruction of the nasal skin cover should include confirmation of free surgical margins, precise determination of the size and location of the defect to be treated, and evaluation of other pre-existing defects\(^5\). Other important factors include achieving a color and texture that conform to the original, with a thick tissue structure capable of maintaining its shape and support in spite of gravity, tension and scarring, and a thin, flexible coverage that does not affect the passage of air\(^6\).

In situations of partial and total alar reconstruction, two graft fragments are usually used to simulate the original form of the pre-existing cartilage\(^4\). The graft materials can be classified as autogenous, allogeneic, and homogenous, according to their origin\(^1\). Autogenous grafts are preferred for the support of both the dorsum and the nasal tip\(^2\), and some of their advantages include: greater durability, immediate availability, flexibility within the nose, and absence of an immune response\(^1\). However, they are associated with the risk of donor area morbidity and potential graft resorption\(^1\).

With regard to tissue coverage, full thickness skin grafts are historically used to replace the nasal cover. The most common donor sites include the pre- and postauricular, supraclavicular, and nasolabial regions\(^5\). When flaps are indicated, the most common donor site is the frontal region due to its excellent vascularization\(^7\).

The frontal flap is recommended in situations of defect reconstruction involving the alar and columella areas, or for defects of the nasal tip\(^6\). The safety of this type of flap has been well established through anatomical studies\(^9\)^,\(^10\), and its advantages include: the ability to promote stable structuring, good coloration, adequate texture, and easy reproducibility\(^8\)^,\(^11\).

It is essential for the flap to cover the cartilages accurately. A small flap can make the cartilaginous structure collapse, while a large flap can promote excessive scar retraction\(^12\). In situations involving nasal coverage with large grafts, it is important to keep in mind that a high esthetic standard is less likely to be achieved, given the variability of the properties in the region to be reconstructed\(^11\). However, patients seem to be less critical of the esthetic results than are professionals, with reported satisfaction rates of around 79%\(^7\).

The innovative technique developed in 1995 by Max Pereira uses conchal and tragal auricular cartilage...
for the reconstruction of the alar cartilages. Sufficient restoration of the size, shape, and thickness of the original cartilage was cited as a major advantage. In this technique, an auricular graft is used on each side, removed en bloc by an anterior or posterior approach, one for each alar cartilage. Moreover, sutures are not required to model the shape of the new cartilage.

The use of this technique is practiced in adults, although there are reported cases of its applicability in the treatment of fetal malformations. While some authors strive to perform reconstructive nasal surgery only in children aged 8 years and older, an exo-rhinoplasty approach with good esthetic and functional outcomes has already been successfully applied in a 23-day-old child.

The most important postoperative complication includes nasal tip necrosis, the reported rates of which vary between 0 and 12%. Although cartilage tends to appear excessively projected in the immediate or short-term postoperative context, a layer of scars is gradually formed between the new cartilages and the flap, ultimately conferring a natural look to the final result.

Finally, as a general guideline, it is suggested that the surgeon should exercise restraint in repairing only the defect in question, and not the entire facial subunit, thereby enabling the maximum preservation of inherent tissue.

**OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this article is to present one of the options for total nasal reconstruction using an auricular cartilage graft, according to the technique described by Max Pereira: the double-sided bilateral frontal flap.

**METHODS**

**Clinical case**

A 79-year-old male patient, with a history of basal cell carcinoma (BCC) excision in the nasal dorsum and reconstruction with primary suture 4 years ago, was received at our plastic surgery service (Hospital São Lucas, Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul - Porto Alegre, RS), with a recurrence after 1 year of undergoing new excision of the lesion and rotation of the interpolated nasogenic bilateral flap, which was not autonomized.

This patient was attended in our plastic surgery service in 2015, due to a recurrent lesion on the dorsum and nasal tip with 1 year of evolution. Upon physical examination, a scaly ulcerated lesion on the dorsum and nasal tip compromising the nasal alae and columella was observed. According to the tomographic report, there was deep invasion up to the nasal septum, but without bone invasion. Functionally, he presented with retraction of the nasal orifices and bilateral internal valve dysfunction associated with respiratory distress (Figure 1).

**Surgical technique**

A total block excision of the neoplasia was conducted, with 0.5-cm surgical margins, followed by partial excision of the septal cartilage next to the mucosa and excision of the alar cartilages maintaining its proximal third (Figure 2). Due to the previous history of recurrence, a freezing biopsy was requested during the surgical procedure, which demonstrated tumor-free surgical margins.

The use of two frontal flaps associated with auricular cartilage grafting for three-stage alar reconstruction was planned. The patient agreed to the procedure proposed by the team and signed an informed consent form for the scientific use of his photographs. The operative phases were as follows:

- Preparation of the first flap (left) according to the usual technique: rotation was performed on itself, bifurcating the flap and keeping the distal third skin. Later, this distal portion will cover the roof of the nasal passages bilaterally. Suture was performed between the medial skin portion of the bipartite flap and the mucosa on either side of the septum, as well as between the lateral skin portion of the bipartite flap and the inner part of the...
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remaining nasal alae. The central part of the pedicle of the flap was de-epidermized (dotted area) in order to have contact with the exposed dorsum bone and cartilage. The proximal part of the flap retained the skin to constitute the free bridge of the frontal flap (Figures 2 and 3).

The new cartilaginous support structure was positioned and fixed with non-absorbable sutures to the nasal septum and each remaining nasal ala (Figure 5).

Preparation of the second frontal flap (right): This flap had the shape of the tip, dorsum, columella, and nasal ala in the distal part and was rotated to maintain the skin on the exterior of the cover. It was then placed on the cartilaginous structure, constituting a “sandwich” format. When closing, and to avoid creating tension in the skin of the forehead, it was decided to leave the most distal part of the periosteum exposed (Figure 6).

RESULTS

One month after surgery, the frontal flaps were autonomized. The patient suffered periosteal necrosis of

---

**Figure 2.** Result after total excision of the neoplasia. Note the excision of part of the nasal septum and nasal ala. The nasal bone is free of neoplasia. Marking of the bilateral frontal flap is seen.

**Figure 3.** A: Preparation of the left frontal flap and its detachment; B: Rotation of the flap over itself and the creation of the nostrils.

**Figure 4.** A: Preoperative design of the technique; B: Part of the rotated and sutured cartilage. The resemblance to normal alar cartilages is striking.

**Figure 5.** Figure representing the positioning of the new alar cartilage.

- Grafting of the auricular cartilage according to the Max Pereira technique: In order to maintain the support of the new nose, a block excision of the auricular cartilage was used. First, skin marking on the anterolateral region of the concha and posterior part of the tragus was carried out, followed by transfixing the cartilages with a needle and methylene blue for labeling, incision of the skin from the outer edge of the marking, and detachment until exposure of the required cartilage was achieved. Then, incision and block excision of cartilage and skin suture plus Brown curative were performed. After this, the auricular cartilage on each side was rotated and placed close to the contralateral side and joined by non-absorbable sutures in the region corresponding to the new medial cross and new dome (Figure 4).
the frontal bone exposed at the beginning of surgery. This complication was managed with perforation of the outer table of the frontal bone with a circular drill to stimulate bleeding and granulation tissue growth (Figure 6). Two days later, the first dressing was performed, showing good perfusion of the autonomic flap, permeable nostrils, good projection of the nasal tip, and formation of granulation tissue in the bone perforations (Figure 7).

We call attention to the lack of sequelae in the cartilage donor area (Figure 8) and the pleasant aesthetic result after 6 months (Figure 9).

**DISCUSSION**

Skin cancer is a prevalent condition in Brazil, and the nasal area is very commonly affected because of its direct exposure to the sun's action. The nose has vast esthetic and functional relevance; due to its location in the central area of the face, it is a noble structure that plays a critical role in the evaluation of the overall beauty of the face. The nose is a frequent site of destructive carcinomas with stigmatizing results. For these reasons, the development of the plastic surgeon's expertise requires great knowledge and training in reconstructive nasal surgery.

Several nasal reconstruction techniques have been described, with records dating from 2000 BC showing interest in the anatomical peculiarities of the area. The restoration of the nose is based on the understanding that it is a three-dimensional structure composed of multiple layers. The relevant methods aim to diligently and meticulously repair all internal lining deficiencies, by not only filling the irregularities but also reshaping the nose, functionally (maintaining the airway) and esthetically.
The use of grafts and local flaps is well known and taught in the first year of specialist training; however, total nasal reconstruction techniques are performed with low frequency by residents due to the high degree of tactical complexity. Planning becomes difficult when it is necessary to provide indoor and outdoor coverage, as well as support the structures.

For internal nasal coverage, flaps of the nasal mucosa, buccal mucosa flaps, or skin grafts that will undergo tissue metaplasia to mimic the normal nasal mucosa may be used. For the external cover, the frontal flap is one of the most indicated. A second surgical procedure is necessary for the autonomization of the flaps, resection of the interpolated pedicles, repositioning of the altered facial units, and refinements. For this reason, the surgeon should also check with the patients regarding their commitment to a complex multi-stage procedure.

The patient’s own cartilage tissue is the ideal material for the support of structures. This tissue may be from the septum, conchal auricular cartilages, or costal cartilages. The cartilage graft is intended to support the nasal wing, as well as to shape it, attaining projection, and maintaining airway permeability. Sometimes, it is also used to recreate the septum, resulting in a better nasal structure. This eliminates the need to suture and sculpt the graft, making the procedure simpler and more likely to yield a good outcome.

In the clinical case described, an initial frontal flap was used to cover the nose, taking advantage of the distal skin of the flap to constitute the roof of the new nasal cavities. The structural support of the nose was achieved with bilateral auricular cartilage grafting according to the technique described by Max Pereira. The outer covering of the nose was addressed by a second frontal flap designed according to the shape of the skin defect. This technique, which the authors call the “sandwich technique”, is an attractive option for total nasal reconstruction and is optimally performed during the plastic surgeon’s training during residency.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Total nasal reconstruction is an infrequent procedure during the professional career of the plastic surgeon, especially during residency. This article elucidates the process of a relevant surgical option in complex cases that require detailed planning and superior anatomical knowledge. The authors present the “sandwich technique” as an attractive alternative for total nasal reconstruction.

*Corresponding author: Renato Franz Matta Ramos
Av. Ipiranga, 6690 - Jardim Botânico - Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil
Zip Code 90610-000
E-mail: renatomatta82@hotmail.com