Treatment of orbital floor fracture with conchal cartilage

Introduction: The reconstruction of defects in the orbital floor after fractures poses a challenge to the plastic surgeon because besides the patient’s aesthetic and reconstructive expectations, possible functional complications such as diplopia and facial paresthesia must be treated. This study aimed at reporting a series of cases in which conchal auricular cartilage was used for volumetric orbital and structural replacement of the floor. Methods: Twenty-four patients, with surgery performed by the author, between 2013 and 2016, for pure (blow-out) or impure (conjugated to orbital margin injuries, such as zygoma and maxilla) orbital floor fractures, were evaluated. The repair technique involved autologous conchal cartilage graft in all cases. Patients were classified for the presence of preoperative complaints, including paresthesia and diplopia, and symptoms such as enophthalmia, as well as postoperative outcomes. Results: The existence of concomitant lesions, such as zygomat complex and maxillary fracture, as well as fractures with greater discontinuity in the orbital floor, may influence the success of reconstruction. Few patients exhibited postoperative complaints and only two (9.2%) required a new surgical approach. Conclusion: Autologous conchal auricular cartilage is a suitable material for reconstruction of defects in the post-fracture orbital floor, possessing various advantages, including ease of attainment, low morbidity, inconspicuous scar, and excellent adaptation to the shape of the orbital floor and consequent volumetric replacement.
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Deficient treatment may lead to complications such as restriction of ocular movement by entrapment of intraorbital fat, or extraocular muscles in fragments of fracture, or reconstruction material, diplopia, orbital dystopia, unsightly appearance, and enophthalmia, as demonstrated by Gart & Gosain⁶.

Reconstruction of the orbital floor can be performed using various types of materials, namely, autologous, such as septal cartilage used by Kraus et al.⁷,⁸, or conchal, used by Ozyazgan et al.⁹ and Castellani et al.¹⁰, and homologs and alloplastics such as the Silastic screen used in the work of Aboh et al.¹¹. There is no definite consensus with respect to the most suitable material for the reconstruction of these fractures.

The adopted surgical access route also varies among surgeons, with the most commonly used options, being the subpalpebral, subciliary, and transconjunctival pathways¹²,¹³. The author used the technique of conchal auricular cartilage for volumetric and structural replacement of the orbital floor, as recommended by Castellani et al.¹⁰.

This study reports an analysis of 24 patients with surgical correction of orbital floor fractures that were...
associated or not associated with other lesions and were treated with autologous conchal cartilage grafts.

OBJECTIVE

To report a series of cases of orbital floor fracture treated with conchal auricular cartilage for volumetric orbital replacement and restructuring of the orbital floor.

METHODS

Twenty-four patients with surgical correction of orbital floor fractures that were associated or not associated with other lesions were evaluated between March 2013 and April 2016 at Hospital Felício Rocho, Belo Horizonte, MG.

Preoperative complaints of paresthesia of the ipsilateral infraorbital territory, diplopia, and impossibility of ocular movement due to muscular incarceration were assessed. The preoperative signs evaluated were blepharohematoma, enophthalmia or hypophthalmia, loss of zygomatic eminence projection, and disocclusion.

The causes and types of fractures were evaluated. Data were obtained from the medical records to determine sex, age, time between fracture and surgical correction, and access route of the surgical approach. The principles of Helsinki were respected.

Patients were submitted to anamnesis and physical examination during the preoperative consultation and were informed about the surgical technique, location, size and evolution of the scar, most common complications, and also the importance and necessity of postoperative care. All patients signed the Term of Free and Informed Consent.

The photographic record was completed before and after the surgical procedure. Antisepsis, antibiotic prophylaxis, hemostasis, asepsis, and minimal manipulation of the graft were rigidly observed and accomplished.

In patients with lesions exclusively in the orbital floor, a palpebral (subpalpebral or subciliar) incision was made; in patients with fractures additional to the orbital floor this was performed last.

In patients with simultaneous lesions such as naso-orbito-ethmoid (NOE) fractures, concomitant incisions, namely, cranial coronal and oral upper vestibular of Caldwell Luc incisions were made. In the treatment of zygomatic arch fractures, Gilles' temporal incisions or direct access through exposition of the coronal incision were performed. Since these fractures are outside the scope of this study, they were not extensively addressed.

The patients first returned after 7 and 14 days, and later, 1, 3, and 6 months following the procedure. All patients had a minimum follow-up period of 6 months.

During this period, patients were reassessed and a postoperative photographic record was collected.

After placing the patient on the surgical table and performing appropriate asepsis and antisepsis, marking was performed, taking into consideration the size of the graft to be used and the area where it was to be inserted. All patients received general anesthesia with orotracheal intubation.

Demarcation was established with methylene blue at the limits of the auricular concha, followed by incision, and posterior and anterior subperichondrial detachment of the concha (Figure 1). All anatomical auricular units of the helix, scapula, and anti-helix were preserved during the subperichondrial detachment and resection of this cartilage.

After antisepsis and placement of surgical drapes, patients received the respective incisions needed to treat their fractures.

In the cases of anterior access, the incision was made 1 mm below the transition between the concha and the ipsilateral anti-helix (Figure 2).

The graft was carefully removed to preserve the integrity of the concha.

Cartilage for the graft was always harvested from the ear on the same side of the orbital defect.

Conchal cartilage graft of the ear, obtained through anterior or posterior incision, was used in all patients.

Next, the eyelid cutaneous incision was performed. In the case of the subciliary incision, about 4 mm of orbicularis muscle corresponding to the tarsal portion was preserved, with the muscle being incised just below this portion (Figure 3). In the case of the subpalpebral incision located immediately above the inferior margin.
of the orbit, in the transition from the palpebral skin to the malar skin, the orbicularis muscle was sectioned in its orbital portion.

In both cases, the medial and posterior orbital lamellae were preserved, and only the anterior lamella (skin and orbicularis muscle) was incised.

After incision, the anterior lamella was succeeded by the orbital septum, which was preserved. The periosteal incision was then made on the inferior orbital margin and the subperiosteal detachment of the orbit, with complete exposure of the foci of the fracture floor.

The orbital content eventually herniated to the maxillary sinus was recovered and cephaladly rebounded by the retractors. The orbital detachment was ample for posterior positioning of the conchal cartilage.

The next step consisted of the grafting of conchal cartilage in the orbital floor, as shown in figure 4. All the foci of concomitant fractures, if any, were anatomically reduced. The reduction of any herniated orbital contents, such as orbital fat, extraocular musculature, and papyraceous bones of the floor, was performed. The fracture focus of the orbital floor was obliterated by the auricular concha after adequately adapted to the curvature of the orbit. After the grafting, the closure was completed by planes of access to the orbit, carefully closing the periosteum to avoid extrusion of the concha.

**RESULTS**

Twenty-four patients received surgical correction of orbital floor fractures, associated or not with other lesions. Patients included 6 women and 18 men, with ages ranging between 6 and 87 years. The average age was 40.05 years.

Two patients exhibited pure blow-out fractures without lesion at the orbital margins. Multiple fractures were identified in the other 22 patients, varying between simple NOE fractures, complex NOE fractures, Le Fort II fractures or orbitozygomatic complex fractures (Chart 1).

Paresthesia of the ipsilateral infraorbital territory (67%) and diplopia (21%) were the most common preoperative complaints. The impossibility of ocular movement due to muscular incarceration occurred in a single patient.

The most common preoperative signs were blepharohematoma (79%), enophthalmia or hypophthalmia (55%), loss of zygomatic eminence projection (38%), and dislocation (16%). The latter two were observed in patients with concomitant fractures in the orbitozygomatic complex or in the facial abutments.
Chart 1. Epidemiological demonstration of the study patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Concomitant lesions</th>
<th>Date of surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Orbitozygomatic complex fracture</td>
<td>03/03/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>NOE fracture</td>
<td>03/26/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Le-Fort II fracture</td>
<td>04/29/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Treatment of NOE fracture sequelae</td>
<td>04/30/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NOE fracture</td>
<td>05/03/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Left orbital Blow-out</td>
<td>05/07/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Osteoplasty for treatment of orbital fracture sequelae</td>
<td>08/13/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Maxilla and orbitozygomatic complex fracture</td>
<td>11/08/2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Fronto-naso-orbito-ethmoid fracture</td>
<td>03/12/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NOE fracture</td>
<td>03/26/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>NOE and zygomatic orbit complex fractures</td>
<td>03/31/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Le-Fort II fracture</td>
<td>05/23/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Orbitozygomatic complex fracture</td>
<td>06/30/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Fronto-naso-orbito-ethmoid fracture</td>
<td>06/29/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Orbitozygomatic complex fracture</td>
<td>08/01/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Left orbit Blow-out</td>
<td>06/25/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>NOE and complex maxillary fractures</td>
<td>10/06/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NOE fracture</td>
<td>04/01/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Orbitozygomatic complex fracture</td>
<td>07/01/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Orbitozygomatic complex and zygomatic arch fracture</td>
<td>07/20/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Orbitozygomatic complex and zygomatic arch fracture</td>
<td>08/27/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Orbitozygomatic complex, NOE, and zygomatic arch fracture</td>
<td>09/23/2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Orbitozygomatic complex and zygomatic arch fracture</td>
<td>01/07/2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Orbitozygomatic complex and zygomatic arch fracture</td>
<td>04/11/2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOE: Nasoorbitoethmoid.

The main causes of fracture resulted from automobile accidents (16 cases), intentional physical aggression or sports’ injuries (5 cases) and ordinary falls (3 cases).

The following fractures were identified: 2 cases of pure blow-out of the orbital floor, 11 cases of floor fracture and fracture of the lateral wall of the orbit, and the other 11 cases corresponded to floor and medial orbital wall fractures.

The time between the trauma and the surgical approach to the fracture ranged between 4 and 18 days.

The access to the cartilage graft removal was retroauricular in 19 patients and anterior in 5 patients.

The access to the fracture site was transcutaneous in all cases and favored incision in 20 patients was the subciliary incision, which was made 1-2 mm below the ciliary border of the inferior palpebral, preserving the orbicularis muscle in its tarsal portion. A subpalpebral incision, located in the palpebral skin transition to the malar skin, directly above the orbital margin, was performed in 4 patients. A transconjunctival incision was not made in any patient.

The following (common) postoperative complications were observed: 11 patients exhibited blepharohematoma; 9 patients showed residual paresthesia of the infraorbital territory (with complete resolution after 6 months in all cases), and 1 patient with residual diplopia, required a new surgical approach and contralateral conchal cartilage grafting to restore the orbital content/continent ratio.

One patient showed retro-orbital hematoma with orbital compartment syndrome in the immediate postoperative period, being identified before anesthetic awakening and promptly treated with hematoma evacuation, hemostasis, and orbital decompression, without the need for a canthotomy.

There were no other postoperative or transoperative complications.

The period of hospitalization ranged between 1 and 18 days.
Two patients exhibited a palpable graft at the orbital margin and a new approach was required to improve the graft insertion in the orbit and closure of the margin periosteum, thus avoiding new extrusion. The patients evolved without intercurrences afterwards.

There was no significant complaint about the conchal graft donor sites and no case of surgical site infection.

Patients indicated high levels of satisfaction in the postoperative period, with a return to normal activities after an average period of 21 days.

The aesthetic results of the treatment of orbital floor fractures with auricular conchal cartilage were well received by the patients, with no specific complaints regarding facial scars, auricular (pre or retroauricular) or facial (hypo/enophthalmia and telecanthus) (Figures 5-10).

**Pre- and Post-Operative (Figures 5 to 10)**

The patients first returned after 7 and 14 days and later 1, 3, and 6 months, after the procedure. All patients had a minimum follow-up period of 6 months. During this period, patients were reassessed and a postoperative photographic record was collected.
DISCUSSION

The treatment of orbital floor fractures is an evolving field. It is known that, regardless of the material adopted, the reconstruction must be anatomical, avoiding extreme rigidity of the orbital floor, as achieved in reconstructions with metallic screens or thick bone. This is well documented in cases of recurrence of lesions in the same eye, in which increased pressure associated with trauma caused by rigidity of the reconstructed orbital floor, resulted in an ocular lesion and amaurosis; this is described by Costa, one of the pioneers of craniomaxillofacial surgery in Brazil, in the book by Melega et al.

Autologous materials such as bone, cartilage, fascia, and peristomeum have the advantage of reduced infection rates and low cost. By contrast, these materials have the disadvantage of increasing the surgical time and the morbidity associated with complications in the donor site. Among the autologous materials, the most commonly used in the orbital floor are cartilage and bone.

Homologous materials have advantages similar to the autologous. However, despite being easily obtained without morbidity in the donor area, they show higher rates of infection, absorption, and loss.

Alloplastic materials in turn, reduce the surgical time but increase the probability of infection, rejection, fistulas, cysts, and treatment costs. Most studies have shown fewer complications with autologous grafts than with synthetic ones.

The choice of the material used in the reconstruction of the floor of the orbit was motivated by the low morbidity in the donor area and its biocompatibility. Furthermore, because of the greater morbidity, surgical time, potential non-anatomical reduction due to excess orbital floor stiffness, and absorption rates in bone grafting, reconstruction with cartilaginous graft, rather than the other possible reconstruction choices, was the preferred procedure in this study.

Among the various sources of autologous cartilage, the nasal septum, auricular concha, and costal arches were chosen in this study. The cartilage is more viable, as nutrition does not require direct contact, in opposition to the bones. The septal and conchal cartilages have similar absorption indexes.

Despite its proven viability and efficiency septal cartilage is less used. Greater morbidity of the donor area and possibility of complications such as septal perforation and contaminated airway discouraged its use here, as compared with conchal cartilage.

According to Talesh et al. and Özyazgan et al., auricular cartilage is highly recommended for reconstruction of defects in the orbital floor; this is because of its shape equivalence, ideal flexibility, satisfactory resistance to support the orbital content, decompression and agility with which it can be withdrawn, small morbidity in the donor region, and no aesthetic deformity of the donor area.

Removal of the graft should be carefully done to preserve the integrity of the concha, as this should be fully used in monoblock for better occlusion of the floor defect.

The conchal cartilage graft from the ear was used in all patients and its extraction was performed by anterior or posterior incision. Anterior incision was used only in 5 patients. This was abandoned due to the poorer esthetic effect, since although inconspicuous, it is more visible than the posterior incision.

The results obtained here with conchal cartilage graft demonstrated the reliability of the method as well as a low index of complications in the donor area and good restoration of the orbital content/continent ratio.

The absence of cases of residual enophthalmia also demonstrated the reliability of the conchal graft in maintaining the orbital content of herniations to the ipsilateral maxillary sinus. The concave shape of conchal cartilages presents better adaptability to the orbit, relative to septal cartilages, and helps the anatomical reconstruction of the orbital cavity, which exhibits a conical shape with postero-superior apex.

The proximity of the surgical sites, between the donor and recipient sites, allows the simultaneous access by two surgical teams, reducing surgical time and operative morbidity.

In addition, because the subpalpebral incision is performed directly on the orbital margin, it allows rapid and direct access to the fracture focus, although with worse aesthetic results. The subciliary incision is a transcutaneous access option, which is technically more complicated than the subpalpebral one, although, with better esthetic results than the latter.

The transconjunctival pathway has the advantage of not involving cutaneous incision; however, it is technically more complex than the previous two. Moreover, it often requires adjuvant lateral cannulation for better access and exposure, especially in fractures of orbitozygomatic complex and inferolateral orbital wall, as popularized by Tessier and previously introduced by Bourguet.

The transcutaneous, subciliary or subpalpebral access shows good aesthetic results and seems to be one of the reasons for the absence of significant postoperative complaints in any of the cases.

It is essential for the grafting of conchal cartilage on the orbital floor that all the foci of concomitant fractures, if existent, are anatomically reduced. Equally important is the reduction of any orbital contents eventually herniated such as orbital fat, extraocular musculature, and papyraceous bones of the floor.
The fracture focus of the orbital floor should be obliterated by the auricular concha, which adapts well to the orbital curvature, due to its natural curvature, preventing the recurrence of herniation of the contents to the maxillary sinus.

As in any surgical procedure, this technique requires adequate anatomical knowledge, fundamental to reduce postoperative complications and to achieve effective results, in addition to providing a better recovery.

This work aimed at demonstrating the results of the orbital floor reconstruction technique, with the goal of achieving a more adequate result in the use of conchal auricular cartilage for volumetric orbital and structural replacement of the floor.

This technique involved minimal complications in the donor area, good restoration of the orbital content/continent ratio, and excellent correction of the reconstruction of the orbit floor.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Conchal cartilages are an excellent choice in the reconstruction of the orbit floor, presenting minimal morbidity in the donor site and anatomical reconstruction of the orbital contents/continent ratio, with excellent adaptation of the concha graft to the orbital wall, allowing anatomical reduction.

This procedure involving the use of eyelid cutaneous incisions and reconstruction with auricular concha graft represents an adequate treatment\(^{12,13,24}.\)

The method demonstrated good reproducibility without great grafting technical difficulty and little or no morbidity of the donor area.

The method is safe, reproducible, with low complication rates and high satisfaction rates, thus being a good option for the reconstruction of the post-fracture orbital floor\(^{28}.\)
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