Breast reconstruction with reverse mini abdominoplasty

Reconstrução de mama com miniabdominoplastia reversa
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mastectomy is very traumatic for women, and breast reconstruction is an essential procedure to improve their psychosocial well-being and quality of life. Most plastic surgeons do not perform breast reconstruction. This practice requires advanced training with a long learning curve. Breast reconstruction using excess flaps in reverse abdominoplasty can be a practical option and of great applicability in carefully selected patients. Methods: From August 2014 to June 2016, 12 patients underwent breast reconstruction using the reverse mini abdominoplasty technique. Symmetrization was performed in six patients who underwent unilateral reconstruction, with one case reconstructed bilaterally. The implants were placed in the supra-muscular plane and in a submuscular position in seven and five patients, respectively. Results: There was one case each of seroma, epitheliolysis, and partial superficial necrosis of the superficial flap, all with favorable evolution. Only one patient underwent implant extrusion. Conclusion: Breast reconstruction using excess flaps in reverse mini abdominoplasty associated with implant placement is a good option for breast reconstruction in selected patients with a low complication rate. Patients with flaccidity and lipodystrophy in the upper abdomen are the ideal candidates for the use of this technique.
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INTRODUCTION

Mastectomy is a highly traumatic procedure for women. Breast reconstruction is a priority in the treatment among women, which could improve their well-being and quality of life. There are many techniques that can be used with and without implants. The ultimate goal is the best option for each case.

The earliest reports of breast reconstruction date from the late 19th century. The use of breast reconstruction for post-mastectomy patients was delayed for a long period. Halstead (1989) believed that the reconstruction interfered in the local control of cancers.

Louis Ombredanne (1906) was the first to describe pectoral muscle flaps for immediate reconstruction of the breast, along with thoracoabdominal skin flaps for patients who had been submitted to radical mastectomy due to cancer.

Distant skin flaps (tubular), which were used initially, were abandoned owing to the necessity of several surgical periods, high rates of complications, and scarring sequelae.

Breast implants have changed the outcomes of breast reconstruction, since they are widely used and are the basis of late treatment. As a consequence, Radovan used tissue expanders in breast reconstruction in 1982, and Becker (1984) developed definitive expanders.

The latissimus dorsi muscle flap, first published in 1939 by Hutchins, is one of the best methods currently used and has been popularized by Olivari (1974); this should be associated with the implant owing to insufficient tissue volumes, as described by Schneider (1977). It is currently one of the most versatile and reliable flaps available for use in reconstructive surgery. It also recommends that total breast reconstructions should be performed in a single surgical period, including restoration of the nipple-areolar complex and contralateral symmetrization.

Robbins first described transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous (TRAM) flaps in 1979, which were modified by Hartrampf et al. and Gandolfo in 1982. Together with latissimus dorsi flaps, these are employed frequently in breast reconstruction. The advantage includes providing enough tissue to cover large defects. The disadvantages are generated owing to the weakness in the abdominal wall and the risk of producing hernias.

The development of free microvascular flaps described by Holmstrom (1979) showed abundant materials for reconstruction; the use of these flaps involves the same area of TRAM flaps, is based on the
abdominal perforators and branches of the inferior epigastric muscles, and can involve the rectus abdominis muscles\textsuperscript{16-18}. In 1989, Grotting et al.\textsuperscript{19} published a study that demonstrated the advantages of this technique, such as improved blood supply, reduced risk of necrosis, and improved functional loss of the abdominal wall.

Other therapeutic possibilities are available, such as the use of inferior epigastric perforator flaps ( DIEP and SIEA)\textsuperscript{20-23}, free gluteal artery perforator flaps (SGAP and IGAP)\textsuperscript{24,25}, dermofat flaps\textsuperscript{26-31}, and local fasciocutaneous flaps.

Very large reconstructions can present unsatisfactory aesthetic results, as reported by some authors\textsuperscript{32}.

Currently, there is a tendency of using more conservative mastectomy techniques, facilitating the reconstruction with more favorable aesthetic results\textsuperscript{4}.

Although these have been used for many surgical opportunities\textsuperscript{33,34}, reverse abdominoplasty flaps\textsuperscript{35} have not been presented as additional tissue thickness of subcutaneous tissue for breast reconstruction, with the purpose of protection of silicone prostheses. In 1992, Berrino et al.\textsuperscript{36} compared different reconstructive techniques for type II deformities, one of them being a flap obtained from reverse abdominoplasty, but without presenting major findings or going into details over the technique.

In 2009, Deos et al.\textsuperscript{37} reinvestigated reverse abdominoplasty\textsuperscript{35} and implemented new concepts, correcting the disadvantages of the original technique, with strategic planning from the marking, fixing of the flap, and maintenance of a stable scar; they consequently called it “tensioned reverse abdominoplasty.”

The excess flap from reverse abdominoplasty\textsuperscript{38} can be a practical option and highly applicable in breast reconstruction in carefully selected patients.

**OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this study is to present the author’s experience in the reconstruction of the breasts, unilaterally and bilaterally, using the reverse mini abdominoplasty technique.

**METHODS**

From August 2014 to June 2016, 12 patients underwent breast reconstruction using the reverse mini abdominoplasty technique. The age ranged between 41 and 63 years, with a mean of 52.8 years. The surgeries were performed in the clinic of the author and the Hospital Guilherme Álvaro located in the city Santos, state of São Paulo.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: late reconstruction, unilateral or bilateral mastectomy, abdominal flaccidity, and lipodystrophy in the upper abdomen.

Symmetrization was performed in six patients who had unilateral reconstruction, with bilateral reconstruction in one patient.

The study followed the Declaration of Helsinki principles and used an Informed Consent Form.

**Surgical technique**

The demarcation of the reverse abdominoplasty was performed, starting in the contralateral breast and following the line of the mastectomized breast scar (Figure 1). In cases of bilateral reconstruction, the incision line bilaterally followed the scars of the mastectomies (Figure 2). In both situations, the incision lines were in the midline at the height of the xiphoid appendix. After the incision and reverse detachment of the supraumbilical abdomen, the flap was incised in the midline, biparting it into two lower base triangular flaps (Figures 3 and 4).

![Figure 1. Marking - starts in the contralateral breast, following the line of the scar of the mastectomized breast.](image)

Next, the abdominal flap was fixed using adhesion sutures, followed by five traction lines, attaching it to the muscular fascia to avoid sliding downwards (Figure 5). The triangular flap was tractioned in the cephalic direction and the medial portion attached to the edge of the upper incision of the xiphoid appendix. The superior detachment was performed in the supra- or inframuscular plane, depending on the need and existence of the pectoralis major.

When needed, the upper portion of the flap was decorticated and invaginated under the skin of the upper pole to provide better coverage (cushion) to the associated implant (Figures 6 and 7). The lower portion of the flap served to rebuild the lower pole. In unilateral cases, the contralateral flap was discarded, and the border was accommodated to the mammary sulcus. In
cases of bilateral reconstruction, both flaps were used for reconstruction.

The breast implant was placed supra- or infra-muscularly, depending on the need for greater protection and presence after the mastectomy.

The new groove was formed using fixation sutures to the ribs, usually to the fifth and sixth ribs (Figure 8).

Continuous aspiration drains were used and removed on the fourth to fifth postoperative days.

Suturing was performed using monocryl sutures (4-0) in the subcutaneous tissue and subdermis (Figure 9). When used, the “interrupted” sutures were removed on the seventh postoperative day.

RESULTS

One patient presented seroma on the 10th postoperative day, requiring three sessions of aspiration, removing 40, 30, and 10 mL, and showing good evolution.

One bilateral reconstruction patient presented extrusion of the implant on the left breast on the 45th postoperative day (in the area corresponding to the post-mastectomy radiotherapy), requiring removal of the implant. The right breast implant was also removed for
Figure 6. When necessary, the upper portion of the flap is decorticated, while invaginating it under the skin of the upper pole.

Figure 7. When necessary, the upper portion of the flap is decorticated and invaginated under the skin of the upper pole.

Figure 8. Fixing the flap to the rib for formation of the mammary groove.

Table 1. Location of the implant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subcutaneous tissue</td>
<td>7 (58.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submuscular</td>
<td>5 (41.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>7 (58.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>4 (33.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>1 (8.33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One patient had epitheliolysis, with debridement and good evolution, without the need to remove the implant.

One case of partial necrosis occurred on the contralateral areola, which progressed satisfactorily after debridement.

One patient presented hypertrophic scar, requiring revision of the scar after 8 months.

Table 3 shows the complications.

Table 3. Complications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seroma</td>
<td>1 (8.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epitheliolysis</td>
<td>1 (8.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial necrosis</td>
<td>1 (8.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophic scar</td>
<td>1 (8.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrusion</td>
<td>1 (8.33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some pre- and postoperative images are shown in Figures 10 A-B; 11 A-B; 12 A-B, and 13 A-B).
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Several procedures use local flaps of the upper abdominal region for breast reconstruction.29,36

Most plastic surgeons do not perform breast reconstruction. This practice requires an advanced training with a long learning curve. The techniques are very elaborate, and specialized training is mandatory. The ideal planning of mastectomized breast reconstruction requires planning that involves the time prior to mastectomy, during the preoperative step with the mastologist.

The development of less invasive and easily reproducible techniques is a fundamental step in addressing the demand and defense of the specialty.

A large number of patients present with flaccidity and lipodystrophy in the upper abdomen; thus, the technique of using excess flaps in reverse mini abdominoplasty, with a short learning curve, may be another option for either single or bilateral breast reconstruction. The reconstruction with this procedure promotes an important aesthetic result in the upper abdomen, reflecting on the patients’ psychological comfort, which already presents an impairment in quality of life.

This procedure prevents scars outside the area to be reconstructed, can be performed in bilateral reconstructions, and presents satisfactory aesthetic results, besides the benefit of supraumbilical abdominoplasty.

This technique has a reduced surgical period, good vascular support of the flap, and low rate of complications. In this study, there was one case each of seroma, epitheliolysis, and superficial necrosis; only one patient who underwent bilateral reconstruction required removal of implants.

This study needs continuity with a multicenter study for better observations and greater precision in the indications of the technique.

CONCLUSION

Breast reconstruction using excess flaps in reverse mini abdominoplasty associated with the placement of implants is a good option for breast reconstruction for selected cases, with a low rate of complications.

Patients with flaccidity and lipodystrophy in the upper abdomen are the ideal candidates for this technique.

DISCUSSION

Mastectomy is highly traumatic for women, and breast reconstruction is an essential procedure to improve their psychosocial well-being and quality of life.

Conservative techniques associated with breast prostheses have changed the evolution of breast reconstruction and introduced modern techniques. The diversity of breast reconstruction techniques allows for an adequate selection for each specific case, offering better results.

The majority of breast reconstruction procedures offer sufficient coverage and volume, however, breast prostheses are essential for the complementation of breast volume and shape, offering better results.
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