Establishment of a protocol for storage of refrigerated autologous skin

Estabelecimento de protocolo para armazenamento de pele autógena refrigerada

Introduction: Autologous skin grafts are used for treatment of burn patients. These grafts can be stored and preserved, as long as the storage process is performed with strict quality control to reduce the risk of infection. Methods: A retrospective cohort study was conducted in the Burn Unit of the Hospital das Clínicas de São Paulo from February 2015 to July 2016. During this period, a protocol was established to store refrigerated skin, with control of collection, preservation, and packaging, and recording of all processes. To ensure quality, graft biopsies were collected for pre- and post-storage microbiology testing and a cross-sectional study for contamination was performed. Results: Critical deficiencies included inadequate packaging, lack of processing records, lack of biopsies for microbiology testing, and failure to discard specimens. Most of the samples were contaminated before and after storage (84.2%). Only two samples were sterile before storage but became contaminated after storage, with growth of Gram-positive skin bacteria. Conclusion: A promising method for the storage of refrigerated skin was established, but requires minor adjustments in quality control.
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During the same period, Matthew described a method for storing refrigerated skin wrapped in netting or gauze and soaked in saline solution to prevent dehydration. These were placed in hermetically sealed vials and stored at 3-6°C. It was found that the optimal storage time was between 2 and 8 weeks and that some samples showed contamination by normal skin flora. After verifying viability of refrigerated skin for up to 2-3 weeks, the current concern is the risk of contamination. Titley et al. carried out a qualitative and quantitative bacteriological study on 102 refrigerated autologous skin graft specimens. Culture was performed on harvesting, during use, and after 21 days of storage. The authors found that storage conditions influenced the growth of microorganisms and that the count per gram of skin (organisms/g) might interfere with graft integration. The association between graft attachment and number of organisms/g at the time of harvesting was relatively weak (r = -24); however, most of these grafts (96.4%) had a contamination rate of <10^6 organisms/g. After three weeks of storage, about 40% of the grafts showed contamination with >10^6 organism/g. The authors attributed this finding to storage in a refrigerator with fluctuating and non-standardized temperatures.

INTRODUCTION

The treatment of burn patients is based on the use of autologous skin grafts, in which the surgeon removes skin from the patient’s own donor site and covers the wound during the same surgery. However, sometimes the lesion bed is not ready for grafting, or the surgeon removes excess skin that could be used to treat other wounds in future or possible areas of graft integration failure. Thus, secure skin storage and preservation until needed for a new surgical procedure would be useful.

The storage of refrigerated autologous skin grafts was first evaluated by Wentscher in 1903, using a storage period of 14 days at 8°C. Other studies were conducted in 1912 by Carrel, who examined skin preservation at normothermic and hypothermic temperatures, and found that skin could be maintained in hypothermia for up to two weeks.

Clinical use of refrigerated skin was first reported during the Second World War. Burn wounds underwent multiple surgeries under general anesthesia. To reduce the surgical time, surgeons took several autologous skin grafts at once and kept them refrigerated until the raw areas were prepared to receive the graft.

During the same period, Matthew described a method for storing refrigerated skin wrapped in netting or gauze and soaked in saline solution to prevent dehydration. These were placed in hermetically sealed vials and stored at 3-6°C. It was found that the optimal storage time was between 2 and 8 weeks and that some samples showed contamination by normal skin flora. After verifying viability of refrigerated skin for up to 2-3 weeks, the current concern is the risk of contamination. Titley et al. carried out a qualitative and quantitative bacteriological study on 102 refrigerated autologous skin graft specimens. Culture was performed on harvesting, during use, and after 21 days of storage.

The authors found that storage conditions influenced the growth of microorganisms and that the count per gram of skin (organisms/g) might interfere with graft integration. The association between graft attachment and number of organisms/g at the time of harvesting was relatively weak (r = -24); however, most of these grafts (96.4%) had a contamination rate of <10^6 organisms/g. After three weeks of storage, about 40% of the grafts showed contamination with >10^6 organism/g. The authors attributed this finding to storage in a refrigerator with fluctuating and non-standardized temperatures.
Thus, refrigerated skin storage must be performed with strict quality control to reduce infection risk. The process of harvesting, packing, and storage must follow the precepts of good practice using aseptic technique, properly standardized material, a refrigerator for exclusive use with standardized and monitored temperature, and oversight of all procedures by a multidisciplinary team. Since the 1950s, the Burn Unit of the Hospital das Clínicas of the University of São Paulo has been storing refrigerated tissue for autologous transplantation. However, this was done with little control or concern for packaging, absence of standardization of the storage processes, missing data records, and without evaluation for contamination.

With the introduction of hospital accreditation and quality control systems, the nursing team of the Burn Unit and the Tissue Bank, assisted by medical technicians and physicians, felt the need to establish new standardized and controlled protocols for the preservation and storage of refrigerated autologous skin.

**OBJECTIVE**

The objective of this study was to evaluate a model for the storage of refrigerated autologous skin using the results of microbiological cultures to ensure quality control.

**METHODS**

This was a retrospective cohort study performed at the Burn Unit of the Hospital das Clínicas de São Paulo from February 2015 to July 2016. This study followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was exempt from review by the Research Ethics Committee, since it was a management study focused on improving an existing process, to be used in validating a method for autologous skin storage.

Place of study: Burn Unit and Tissue Bank of the Central Institute of the Hospital das Clínicas de São Paulo.

**Phase 1 - Description of the standard model for refrigerated partial-thickness skin storage for autologous use**

**1A - Skin harvesting phase**

Patients with second- and third-degree burns admitted to the Burn Unit of the Hospital das Clínicas underwent skin grafting using standard criteria, without involvement of the research group in assessing treatment indications. Harvesting of skin grafts was performed in a surgical center under general or spinal anesthesia, and was always performed by a plastic surgeon experienced in sterile technique.

The donor sites were disinfected with 2% chlorhexidine for 5 minutes and antisepsis was performed with 0.5% alcoholic chlorhexidine. Partial skin harvesting was performed with an Integra® brand dermatome with thickness ranging from 12-18 μm. When more graft skin was harvested than needed, the plastic surgeon immediately informed the nurse, who started the storage process.

**1B - Processing phase**

When advised of the need for storage, the nurse provided a packaging kit (preparation described below), Ringer’s Lactate (100 ml), and a bottle of sodium thioglycolate for microbiological culture. Initially, the physician took a small sample for biopsy and placed the tissue in a bottle of sodium thioglycolate using sterile technique for a pre-storage control culture.

The primary bottle with the skin sample was then deposited in sterile packaging and completely covered with Ringer’s Lactate solution, then sealed. The first package was then inserted into a second container in such a way that the first package was no longer accessible. The nurse then sealed the second package with a Ron Micromecânica RSR - 2000 model sealer.

A label with patient identification, refrigerated tissue type, processing date, and expiration date was placed on the package, which was resealed. Thus, the final package had a double seal with proper identification and was ready for storage.

**1C - Storage phase**

After packing, the graft was stored in a Consul Compacto 120 refrigerator at 4°C±2°C, located in the surgical center of the Burn Unit. The skin was kept in a refrigerator until use or for a maximum of 14 days. At the time of use or disposal, a new tissue biopsy sample was collected for post-storage microbiological analysis.

**1D - Packaging preparation**

An important step in the establishment of the protocol was carried out when the packaging was designated for skin conditioning. For this choice, the
norms defined in the current resolution were followed. These defined the necessary packaging specifications as well as their physicochemical, cytotoxic, and pyrogenic properties. Prior to use, the primary and secondary packages were sterilized in ethylene oxide (Figure 1).

The storage refrigerator was monitored with a digital Incoterm® maximum-minimum thermometer and checked three times a day, with data recorded on a Temperature Control Record Sheet. The refrigerator was cleaned every two weeks with water and neutral detergent, and the stored material was held in another special test sample cooler for 2 to 3 hours until the temperature stabilized in the clean refrigerator. During this temporary storage, the tissue was kept in double-sealed packaging, with no samples for analysis in the refrigerator.

Phase 2 - Microbiological testing to evaluate the efficacy of the refrigerated autologous skin storage protocol

This phase performed microbiological testing to evaluate contamination of the stored material. From February 2015 to July 2016, data were collected on patients who had skin grafts stored by refrigeration at the Burn Unit.

The information obtained was recorded in a collection instrument with the following data: name, registration number, date of birth, sex, date of harvesting, transplantation, or disposal, and pre-storage and pre-transplant or post-storage culture results (14 days of preservation). Microbiological analyses were carried out for aerobic and anaerobic bacteria and fungi and the test results were verified through a computerized testing system.

2A - Inclusion and exclusion criteria for microbiological testing

The inclusion criteria for sample testing were: autologous grafts stored with all fields completed on a registration sheet used for control of autologous skin grafts in burn victims, with microbiological evaluation performed for both pre- and post-storage skin biopsies; and grafts with pre- and post-storage microbiological analyses (for anaerobic and aerobic bacteria and fungi), with conclusive final results, i.e., without bacterial growth or identification of a contaminating microorganism.

Exclusion criteria: autologous grafts in which the biopsy was only performed pre- or post-storage; or culture results that were consistently inconclusive.

2B - Analyses of the results

From the data collected, simple statistics could be used to determine the characteristics of the sample, the transplantation and material disposal rates, and the contamination rates before and after storage, for
identification of any contamination during the storage process.

**RESULTS**

Of 88 autologous skin graft specimens collected, 50 (57%) were discarded, 27 (54%) were biopsies collected for post-storage testing, 17 (34%) were collected for pre-storage testing, and 6 (12%), biopsies were not collected for testing.

Of 38 (43%) samples studied, 34 (89.5%) were contaminated; of these, 27 (71.1%) had pre-storage contamination that persisted in post-storage culture, 5 (13.1%) were only contaminated pre-storage, and 2 (5.3%) were only contaminated post-storage. The average storage period was 14 days.

No contamination was detected in pre- and post-storage testing of 4 (10.5%) samples. However, 32 (84.2%) showed contamination in pre- and post-storage testing. The main microorganisms found were: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*.

The main difficulties encountered in standardization of the storage method were:

a) sealing of secondary packaging - the lack of a portable sealer made it difficult to move the equipment to surgical centers other than the burn unit;

b) information recording - the lack of initial designation of a nurse responsible for control of stored autologous skin grafts was due to lack of records, incomplete records, and difficulty tracing information;

c) samples stored longer than 14 days - the lack of initial designation of a nurse responsible for control of the autologous skin graft storage cooler led to storage and disposal of samples beyond 14 days;

d) microbiological analysis - the lack of biopsy collection in the pre- and/or post-storage period by the medical team resulted in missing or incomplete microbiological results.

Figure 2 shows the main sources of deficiencies in biopsy collection for microbiological examination.

Thus, the infection control study of 38 refrigerated skin grafts represented 43% of the total number of patients with stored tissue. Most patients were males with a mean age of 36.5 years (Tables 1 and 2).

The prevalence of contamination was associated with four possible situations for each graft: contamination in pre- and post-storage culture, contamination only in pre-storage culture, contamination only in post-storage culture, and absence of contamination in either the pre- or post-storage culture. Figure 3 shows this distribution.

In situations with contamination in both pre- and post-storage culture, in pre-storage culture alone, or in culture without contamination, we were able to confirm that storage conditions were not the source of bacteria; however, contamination in the post-storage culture alone (2 patients, 5.3%) caused greater concern, and required more detailed analysis of the type of organisms found (Table 3).

Figures 4 and 5 list the main microorganisms found in pre- and post-storage cultures, respectively.

Regarding final tissue destination, only 3 of the 38 grafts were used for transplantation (7.9% of the sample), with a mean storage time of 6.5 days. All other material was discarded.
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Table 3. Bacteria found in grafts with post-storage contamination alone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Pathogenesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Propionibacterium acnes</td>
<td>Anaerobic Gram-positive</td>
<td><em>P. acnes</em> is one of the main normal skin flora. It is found predominantly in hair follicles, preferentially colonizing areas with high sebum production, and is the main organism involved in the pathogenesis of acne⁹.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>Anaerobic Gram-positive</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em> is part of the human skin microbiota, but can cause diseases ranging from simple to the most serious infections, such as pneumonia, meningitis, endocarditis, toxic shock syndrome, and septicemia, among others¹⁰.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus</td>
<td>Anaerobic Gram-positive</td>
<td>Coagulase-negative <em>Staphylococcus</em> is also found in the normal flora of the skin, but can cause infections, especially in hospitalized patients who are immunocompromised and/or those with chronic diseases¹¹.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During establishment of the protocol, it was essential to identify critical points that could injure the tissues and recipients. The main critical points were related to packaging of the material, recording of processes, disposal of materials, and collection of microbiological samples.

The evaluation of the packaging required complementary studies for mechanical concerns and toxicity of materials; however, it was difficult to make the team aware of the need to use previously standardized materials. Some of the staff stored the tissue in improper packaging and insisted that this needed to be kept in the skin storage refrigerator. However, this attitude could not be tolerated, because the risk of cross-contamination within the refrigerator greatly increased with storage of packages without appropriate certification¹².

Other critical points (failure in recording, discarding of material, and collection of cultures) were identified during the microbiological contamination prevalence study. The processes were not adequately annotated, many tissues were out of storage and were not discarded, and some microbiological cultures were not collected during pre- and post-storage.

To solve these problems, a nurse was designated as the professional responsible for the control of all activities. This made it possible to ensure improvement in the collection and storage of information, and that the entire process was performed safely.

Concern about the risk of contamination in the standardization of our model was so important that it required a complementary study on contamination prevalence in the stored material. The final sample of the study consisted of 38 refrigerated grafts, with a predominance of male patients (60.5% × 39.5%) and a mean age of 31 years, indicating the predominance of economically active individuals.
In our results, only 4 (10.5%) of grafts had no pre- or post-storage contamination. On the other hand, 32 (84.2%), pre- and/or pre- and post-storage contamination were contaminated prior to refrigeration. Thus, we can infer that antisepsis and sterilization of donor tissues were inadequate or that patients had excessive skin contamination, with inability to adequately reduce the bacterial load at the time of harvesting.

The main microorganisms found were *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *E. faecalis*, *K. pneumoniae*. and coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*, these being the most common agents in large burns. Li et al. found a similar microbial profile in 30 skin graft samples kept in refrigeration, with polymicrobial growth in 43% of the cultures.

However, the most relevant finding was that samples that were not contaminated in pre-storage testing became contaminated after storage (2 samples, 5.3%). Therefore, these cases were analyzed individually, to determine whether the standardized methodology was feasible. In both situations, the microorganisms found were Gram-positive bacteria, which are usually present in the skin normal flora.

Titley et al. also studied refrigerated storage of skin by conducting qualitative and quantitative tests for contaminating bacteria, and correlated the findings to the graft integration rate. They demonstrated that skin contaminated with only Gram-positive bacteria, even with more than 10^6 colonies, had high rates of integration with no infection in the recipient, whereas those with Gram-negative growth had complete loss of transplanted grafts. They also demonstrated that contamination of previously sterile grafts probably occurred because of the use of home refrigerators for storage.

These devices undergo large temperature fluctuations and can provide conditions for bacterial growth. This was probably the reason for 2 cases of bacterial growth in post-storage culture. The home refrigerator used in our burn unit was not able to maintain a stable temperature. Thus, we requested acquisition of scientific refrigeration equipment.

The main limitation of this study was the lack of quantitative cultures to better identify the existing bacterial load in stored material. New studies are already being planned to improve the storage model for tissue implanted in our service.

CONCLUSION

Given the results, it was possible to develop a promising method for storage of autologous refrigerated skin, but further study and standardization are required for adequate quality control.
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