Periareolar zigzag incision as treatment for gynecomastia

Incisão periareolar em zigue-zague para tratamento de ginecomastias
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Gynecomastia is a benign hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the male mammary gland, and is considered the most frequent benign condition of the male breast. The objective is to evaluate aesthetic results and satisfaction of patients undergoing a new approach using a periareolar zigzag incision for the treatment of gynecomastia. Methods: We present 13 cases of male gynecomastia treated with a periareolar zigzag incision technique. Results: All patients were satisfied with the scar hidden in the transitional, naturally irregular periareolar skin of the nipple-areolar complex. No complications were observed in this patient series. Conclusion: This approach is an excellent, easy-to-perform surgical alternative for the treatment of gynecomastia, providing a satisfactory cosmetic result without the presence of a stigmatizing scar.
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INTRODUCTION

Gynecomastia is considered to be a benign hyperplasia and hypertrophy of the male breast. Galeno was the first to use the term gynecomastia, which was translated from Greek meaning “woman-like breast” (gyneco = woman, mastia = breast). It is the most common benign condition of the male breast.

In most cases, gynecomastia is a bilateral condition; however, it can be unilateral in 20% of cases. Generally, the breast shape is rounded, with variable volume, typically subareolar, and it can sometimes be painful, often concentric. Except for neonatal, pubertal, and senile gynecomastia, considered physiologically normal, increased male breast volume is considered pathological. Gynecomastia is caused by alterations in the relationship between hormonal estrogen and androgen, whether physiological, idiopathic (most cases), or secondary to endocrine abnormalities or drug intake, including steroids. The incidence among adolescents aged 14 to 15 years is as high as 65%. In adults, the prevalence is as high as 32%, and following andropause, as high as 40 to 60%.

Treatment is indicated when gynecomastia causes pain and/or emotional distress, particularly embarrassment and shame. Treatment options are medical and surgical, with the latter indicated when gynecomastia is idiopathic and does not regress for 2 years.

Glandular tissue resection by the direct, periareolar, or transareolar approach, with or without liposuction, is one of the most common surgical alternatives. The goal of surgical treatment is abnormal breast tissue resection and normal male breast contour restoration, with minimal scarring, without residual deformity, and without vascular compromise (i.e., necrosis) of the papillary-areolar complex (CAP).

In 1973, Simon et al. published a classification scale that is used most commonly owing to its clinical surgical specificity and utility. This paper presents an alternative approach for the treatment of Simon grades I and IIA gynecomastia, primarily through an irregular zigzag incision immediately below the periareolar region.

OBJECTIVE

This study aimed to describe the technique, analyze the surgical results, and measure the satisfaction of patients who underwent a new approach with periareolar zigzag incision for the treatment of gynecomastia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

From January 2008 to February 2013, 13 patients with idiopathic gynecomastia, Simon grades I and IIB, with more than 2 years of evolution underwent surgery in the Plastic Surgery Department of the Hospital de Clínicas of the Federal University of Parana. The patients underwent endocrinological evaluation in the same hospital. All patients underwent surgical correction by using the technique presented in this study. For patients who did not undergo endocrinological evaluation, those with non-idiopathic cause and Simon's grade IIB and III gynecomastia were excluded.

A modified technique of periareolar zigzag incision was used, as described in the surgical technique.

At the 6 month follow-up, the patients were evaluated, and a satisfaction questionnaire was administered with the following items: naturality, appearance of the scar (score, 1-10), and satisfaction with the results.

The study was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of GRAF PLASTIC SURGERY - EPP INSTITUTION.

Surgical technique

Preoperative markings are made with the patient in a standing position. The semicircular, geometrically zigzagged periareolar incision is marked below the area, taking care not to extend the markings to the skin surrounding the nipple-areolar complex. A smaller geometric zigzag is associated with better scar camouflage. It is important to mark the ends of the zigzag incision at the 3 and 9 o'clock positions, with the terminus of the zigzag at each end finishing at the inside, toward the nipple-areolar complex, to avoid inadvertent damage to the skin surrounding the areola during resection. In this way, possible extensions of the incision due to accidental excessive tissue removal will be restricted to the skin of the areola, which can be more easily camouflaged.

Tumescent infiltration with bupivacaine and epinephrine is performed, and the incision is made, going completely through the dermis and subcutaneous tissue. Excess breast tissue is dissected while maintaining...
Figure 1. Markings. It is important to mark the ends of the zigzag incision at the 3 and at 9 o’clock positions, with the terminus of the zigzag at each end finishing at the inside, toward the nipple-areolar complex, to avoid inadvertent damage to the skin surrounding the areola during resection.

Figure 2. A: Preoperative markings; B: Preoperative markings (close-up).

Figure 3. Patient satisfaction questionnaire.

Figure 4. A: Preoperative; B: Three months post-operative; C: Three months post-operative (close-up).

Figure 5. A: Pre-operative; B: Three months post-operative.

Figure 6. A: Preoperative (gynecomastia grade I); B: Three months post-operative; C: Three months post-operative (close-up).

approximately 1-cm tissue thickness below the nipple-areolar complex. After adequate hemostasis, the incision is closed in three layers. The deepest layer of sutures brings together the subcutaneous tissue. Subsequently, the dermis and finally the epidermis are closed. The peaks and troughs of the zigzag line on each side are closed with Gillies sutures using mononylon 5-0.

In both breasts of all patients, we performed liposuction with a 3.5-mm cannula through an incision in the inframammary fold at the level of the anterior axillary line.

No post-surgical drains are used in this procedure. Compression dressings are applied for 7 days.

RESULTS

Complications, including infection, vascular compromise, and necrosis of the papillary-areolar complex, delayed healing, hypertrophic scarring, pigmentation changes, or other undesirable complications, were notably absent in this patient series.

All patients were satisfied with the cosmetic result. (Figures 3, 4, 5 and 6).

DISCUSSION

In addition to the choice of surgical approach, discussion of some basic principles related to healing is important. In certain situations, unsightly scars can still develop despite efforts to optimize wound healing.

Wound healing is a dynamic process, which undergoes many transformations before reaching a steady state at approximately 1 year after tissue injury. The ideal scar should be narrow and level with the surrounding skin, have good color matching, and be within or in parallel to the skin tension lines. These features make a scar less visible. Therefore, techniques that break up make or the scar line more irregular provide greater camouflage and cosmetic acceptability.

The quality of the incision should be performed with the aim to hide the evidence of surgery. The best possible quality of the incision helps the patient to more easily accept the surgical outcome.
The results of the present study are similar with literature data on the indices of satisfactory complications. Dornelas et al.\textsuperscript{13}, in a review of 10-year gynecomastia treatment in 284 men, showed that 0.74\% of patients with gynecomastia grade I or II had hypertrophic scars. Bruises and seroma developed in 2\% and 3.87\% of the patients, respectively. Medeiros\textsuperscript{14}, when comparing the type of gynecomastia treatment based on Simon's classification, demonstrated that better results were obtained using periareolar incision when gynecomastia did not require skin resection.

So far, techniques described for the semicircular zigzag incision have been frequently described, but it was mainly used for augmentation mammoplasty\textsuperscript{15}. Application of this modified incision to patients with gynecomastia has allowed greater patient satisfaction, with nearly imperceptible scars.

The aim of this technique was to achieve an irregular, more discreet, natural-looking, non-stigmatizing scar in male breast surgery.

CONCLUSION

The described incision is an excellent alternative for the treatment of gynecomastia because it provides a satisfactory cosmetic result, scar camouflage in the transitional, naturally irregular periareolar skin of the nipple-areola complex.
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