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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Protruding ear is the most common congenital deformity of the head and neck, with an autosomal dominant inheritance and no predilection for sex. Protruding ear or prominent ear occurs when there is concha excess or hypertrophy, erasure of the antihelix, a scapho-conchal angle greater than 90°, or a combination of these factors, occurring uni- or bilaterally. The objective is to present a conservative approach to correct protruding ear, with a combination of techniques.

Methods: The otoplasty surgical technique involved an anterior approach for resection of the auricular concha, which was associated with weakening of the antihelix, and partial incisions of the cartilage were performed through anterior access and of Mustardé sutures, through posterior access for better definition of the antihelix without fixation of the concha to the mastoid. Two hundred patients with a mean age of 17 years underwent operations between January 1987 and January 2015, 60% of whom were female. Results: Of the 200 patients, only 24 patients needed discrete surgical revisions. Conclusion: The surgical procedure is simple, easily reproducible, provides good results, and is associated with a high degree of satisfaction and a low rate of complications/morbidities.
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INTRODUCTION

Protruding ear is the most common congenital deformity of the head and neck, with a 5% incidence in Caucasians\(^1\). This disorder is transmitted by an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern, generally occurs between the 12\(^{th}\) and the 16\(^{th}\) week of gestation, and has no apparent sex predilection. Diagnosis is performed at birth in 61\% of patients\(^2\).

In 1903, Morestin\(^3\) described the posterior access method, which became the standard of that time, and popularized conchal cartilage hypertrophy as the cause of pinna prominence.

William Henry Luckett\(^4\), in 1910, introduced the important concept of restoration of the antihelix.

In 1952, Becker\(^5\) introduced the concept of conical antihelix, which involved combining the incision and suture of the cartilage in an attempt to soften the external contour. This technique was refined by Converse et al.\(^6\) in 1955 and Converse & Wood-Smith\(^7\) in 1963.

Gibson & Davis\(^8\), in 1958, demonstrated that the cartilage can bend away on the opposite side, when one side is partially sectioned.

Stenström\(^9\), in 1963, using this principle, proposed a technique to provide a more natural form to the antihelix through multiple superficial abrasions on the anterior surface of the auricular cartilage, to form a new convexity of the antihelix.

Mustardé\(^10,11\), in 1963, introduced his suture technique, which created the antihelix through permanent sutures between the concha and the scapha, providing a soft format to the antihelix.

In 1967, Kayne\(^12\) created the first of several combined techniques, which combined the previous Stenström abrasion with the posterior Mustardé suture.

Furnas\(^13\), in 1968, introduced a technique to correct prominent ears with the use of sutures between the concha and the mastoid. In 1969, this technique was modified by Spira et al.\(^14\).

In 1990, Elliot\(^15\) proposed a procedure to reduce the concha when the posterior suture (Furnas) alone was insufficient for correcting the position of the ear. To do this, an anterior incision is used at the edge of the concha, the incised cartilage edges are sutured, and the excess skin in the region is not resected. He was the first to describe the combined access.

Spina and Stahl, in 1983, used only cartilage resection to correct protruding ears, and the excess skin in the anterior region was not sectioned\(^16\).

In 1997, Hell et al.\(^17\) described cartilage resection by a posterior access technique.

Advances in otoplasty have made it possible not only to fix the ears posteriorly but also to improve their shape, reduce their size, and render them more symmetrical.
OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to introduce an approach for the correction of protruding ears, using a combination of techniques.

METHODS

A surgical variation was used to perform otoplasty that included an anterior approach to resect the auricular concha, which was associated with weakening of the antihelix. Our method also involved anterior access with partial incisions, and Mustardé sutures were accomplished by posterior access to better define the antihelix, without fixation of the concha to the mastoid.

All the patients who were analyzed were operated upon by the same surgeon with the described technique. The patients who were included had prominent ears (Tanzer Classification V of congenital ear deformities). Two hundred patients, with a mean age of 17 years, were operated upon bilaterally, between January 1987 and January 2015. Of the included patients, 60% were females.

Surgical technique

The antihelix was marked with methylene blue, which showed the crus that needed to be weakened. The hypertrophy of the concha was removed through anterior access. A skin spindle approximately 0.5 cm wide and 4-5 cm wide was marked in the vicinity of the posterior auricular sulcus.

The ear was infiltrated with standard epinephrine solution (1:200,000), in the region of the retroauricular spindle and in the anterior region of the concha.

A spindle resection of the skin was performed with wide detachment in the proximity of the posterior auricular sulcus (Figure 1A). An incision and resection of the skin spindle (3-4 mm) was performed in the anterior region of the concha, and the excess conchal cartilage was also resected in the spindle in its innermost region (Figure 1B). The anterior incision was sutured with a 6-0 nylon monofilament (Figure 1C). In addition, in the anterior region, the weak antihelix cartilage was held with the cutting edge of a 30 × 07 mm needle, with the use of at least three partial incisions. The sutures were applied with the bevel of the needle, parallel to the antihelix without piercing the cartilage, in order to weaken the cartilage and facilitate the Mustardé sutures and the anticipated curvature of the antihelix (Figure 1D).

Next, Mustardé (three or four) sutures were held with 5-0 nylon monofilament to recompose the anatomy of the antihelix, without fixing it to the mastoid (Figure 1E). Skin syntheses were held with an intradermal suture of 5-0 nylon monofilament, without tension in the suture (Figure 1F).

RESULTS

The operative results were effective in almost all cases, with marked improvement in the shape of the ear. Scars were minimal and were disguised in the anterior curvature of the concha, and the majority of patients were satisfied with the procedure (Figures 2 and 3).

There was one case of a small hematoma, which was drained in the first postoperative day, without consequences. Surgical revisions were performed in five cases of unilateral recurrence in the upper portion of the helix, which were corrected with re-suture of the Mustardé sutures, and in eight patients who exhibited asymmetry. The complications and revisions can be observed in Figure 4 and in Table 1. There were no cases of hypertrophic scars or keloid or surgical re-approaches in all of the complications mentioned in Figure 4 and in Table 1.

The number of suture extrusions was in accordance with the literature (3% to 6%).

Throughout the study period, the surgical technique presented similar results. The figures that accompany the text illustrate the described technique (Figure 1A-F).

DISCUSSION

Protruding ear is the most common ear deformity. This deformity can be noticed at birth and usually
becomes more pronounced with time\textsuperscript{1}, and its incidence is approximately 5\% in Caucasians\textsuperscript{3}. Although not entailing functional alterations, ear deformities can cause major psychosocial disorders\textsuperscript{17}. Protruding ears is determined by one or a set of anatomical changes; thus, appropriate surgical planning should individually consider the deformities of each part of the ear\textsuperscript{17}.

Two angle measurements are changed in protruding ears: the cephalo-auricle and the scapho- conchal angles. The cephalo-auricle angle represents the distance between the ear and the skull; normally, it measures between 20° and 30° and is considered borderline up to 45° or a distance of between 1.8 and 2 cm. The scapho-conchal angle is measured between the antihelix and concha and should be close to 90°\textsuperscript{18}.

The main goal of otoplasty in correcting protruding ear is to restore the anatomy and remove the stigma of patients with this deformity. The surgical techniques seek a natural result, symmetry, minimal complications, low recurrence, and rapid recovery.

The smaller detachment and resection of skin in the posterior auricular region, in addition to the absence of fixation points to the mastoid, are important factors for the low rate of complications such as hematomas in the postoperative period, decreasing pain, and achieving postoperative comfort. It is worth highlighting that unlike Elliott, who performed an anterior incision at the edge of the concha, the weakening of the antihelix cartilage was achieved with the use of at least three partial incisions in the anterior region, after which the incised cartilage edges were sutured, and the excess skin in this region was not resected.

The immediate complications that may occur in the first postoperative week are: hematoma, infection, pain, and local discomfort. The most common complication is hematoma, which requires immediate drainage. Unlike our study, the report by Aki et al.\textsuperscript{19} identified a higher incidence of infection rates (5.1\%), hematomas (4.1\%), and skin necroses (2.6\%).

Complications after the second postoperative week may be caused by local trauma.

Inadequate protruding ear correction, with contour distortion and/or hypercorrection, are more common

Table 1. Surgical revisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>N\textsuperscript{o}</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetry</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suture Extrusion</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keloid/Hypertrophy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoesthesia/Paresthesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
unwanted results of otoplasty and were observed at an incidence of 11%, similar to the results reported by Aki et al.19.

Combined otoplasty is a simple approach for the correction of protruding ears and displays a high percentage of satisfaction with a low complication rate. This procedure does not require extensive posterior detachment and avoids injury to the neurovascular system of the ear.

The study by Goulart et al.17, unlike ours, reported posterior detachment of the ear in the subperichondrial plane, until good exposure of the auricular cartilage and detachment of the mastoid region was achieved. Goulart et al.17 performed the posterior auricular muscle-associated cartilage incision at four points, defining the antihelix with 2-4 Mustardé sutures.

The combination of techniques is an interesting approach to that can be used in any type of surgery, especially in procedures for correcting larger anatomical details, such as those of the ear. We believe that different ear deformities must be corrected with various techniques, thus leading to greater naturalness and harmony18.

Goulart et al.17 concluded in their study that the best treatment for protruding ears is obtained with the association of several techniques. This combined approach presented natural results and low rates of complication, and both the surgical team and patients were satisfied.

CONCLUSION

The procedure presented in this study was effective.

Resection of a small band (half-moon) in the anterior portion of the concha favors the natural curvature of the antihelix.

The surgical procedure is simple, easily reproducible, provides good results, is associated with a high degree of patient satisfaction, and has a low rate of complications/morbidities.
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