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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Despite advances in conservative surgeries, mastectomy is still a commonly performed procedure. However, many patients are unable to undergo immediate reconstruction. Such patients are integrated into a growing queue for restorative surgery. With the intention of reducing this demand, surgical Task Force programs were created. The objective of this study was to analyze the results of the second National Task Force of Breast Reconstruction (NTFBR), performed at the Plastic Surgery Service of Walter Cantídio University Hospital (SCPMR-HUWC). Method: A prospective cohort study was conducted, in which 16 patients underwent breast reconstruction at SCPMR-HUWC. The patients were followed up for 6 months, and their data were tabulated and analyzed. Results: All 16 female patients underwent breast reconstruction after mastectomy. The patients’ ages ranged from 39 to 72 years. Among the early complications, seroma in the dorsal region (13%), partial necrosis of the mastectomy skin (6%), partial dehiscence of the operative wound (13%), and necrosis of the large dorsal flap (6%) were observed. None of the patients had late complications. The period of hospitalization ranged from 1 to 5 days. All patients who were in the SCPMR-HUWC queue for surgery were operated on. Conclusions: In this study, a high degree of satisfaction was verified by the operated patients, and good results were obtained with few functional complications. Thus, we conclude that the Task Force of breast reconstruction after mastectomy is a viable alternative in terms of public health.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is currently one of the most common health problems in the world. In Brazil, its incidence has been increasing gradually. Excluding skin cancer, breast cancer is the most frequent type of cancer that affects women worldwide.

Total mastectomy, especially in some developing countries and centers further away, is still widely employed for the treatment of breast cancer. This surgery and other adjuvant therapies may contribute to the development of physical and psychological complications, which can negatively influence patients’ quality of life. After mastectomy, the loss of breast alters the body image of women and yields a feeling of mutilation and loss of femininity and sensuality.

In an attempt to reduce the negative feelings related to the disease and its treatment, improve self-esteem, and address the loss of breast, many women opt for surgical reconstruction. This is a safe procedure, which does not increase the risk of recurrence, interfere with detection of the disease, or lead to delay in adjuvant therapies. There are several surgical procedures such as conservative techniques, adjacent flaps, alloplastic materials, and myocutaneous pedicle flaps.

Law 12, 802/2013 requires the Unified Health System (SUS) to provide reconstructive plastic surgery of the breast soon after mastectomy when clinical conditions permit. However, there is often no structure in public hospitals to perform such procedures. Further, there are deficiencies ranging from lack of operating rooms to the absence of qualified medical personnel and suitable material. Thus, reconstruction is for the second half. However, owing to the high demand of the SUS, many of these patients are waiting for reconstruction in rows, which often seem intermináveis.

The Brazilian Society of Plastic Surgery (SBCP) estimates that the average waiting time for reconstruction is 10 years; in 2015, only 1100 breast reconstructions were performed by the SUS.

Many civil institutions, such as the SBCP, in partnership with the NHS, often offer solutions to mitigate these situations. Among these solutions, we can mention Mutirões.
From October 24 to 29, 2016, the SBCP promoted the 2nd National Task Force of Breast Reconstruction (NTFBR), which included the participation of more than 800 professionals in the specialized area. Approximately 840 women who underwent mastectomy were operated on for free by plastic surgeons, aiming at the possibility of rebuilding mamária.

The Plastic Surgery Service of Walter Cantidio University Hospital (SCPMR-HUWC) also collaborated on this project in 2016, with heterogeneous participation of plastic surgeons and completion of 16 breast reconstructions.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to analyze the results of the 2nd NTFBR, held in October 2016 in SCPMR-HUWC, with a heterogeneous group of plastic surgeons.

METHODS

A prospective cohort study was conducted, in which 16 patients who underwent breast reconstruction in SCPMR-HUWC and were included in the second NTFBR held in October 2016, were evaluated.

The study was approved by the Ethics in Research CAAE: 69439917.0.0000.5045 and was conducted in accordance with Resolution 466/12 of the National Health Council, which approved the regulatory guidelines and standards for research involving humans.

The Task Force in question included all patients who were in the queue for breast reconstruction surgery in SCPMR-HUWC. We collected the following data: age, waiting time in the queue, type of breast reconstruction performed, length of hospital stay, and postoperative complications.

The patients were followed up for 6 months; their data were tabulated and analyzed by the investigators using the statistical software Epi-Info®, and were considered significant at \( p < 0.05 \) with a confidence interval of 95%.

RESULTS

A total of 16 female patients were subjected to post-mastectomy breast reconstruction and cardiovascular and surgical risk evaluations; all patients were found to be fit for reconstruction.

No patient was under treatment with chemotherapy (QMT) or radiotherapy (RTX). All patients were to undergo delayed reconstruction (more than 1 year after mastectomy and free from any adjuvant procedure like QMT and RTX for more than a year).

The patients’ ages ranged from 39 to 72 years, mean 49 years for the reconstruction (Figure 1).

None of the patients presented with skin disorders, radiodermatitis, pyoderma, tumors, or significant deformities in the surgical site.

The following types of reconstruction were performed: one (6%) rectus muscle myocutaneous flap (TRAM) creation, nine (56%) latissimus dorsi muscle myocutaneous flap (RGD) creations, five prosthesis [three (19%) with exchange with unilateral prosthesis expanders and two (12.5%) with unilateral prosthesis (right)] implantations, and six (37.5%) symmetrizations (Figures 2 to 6).

The length of hospital stay ranged from 1 to 5 days; approximately 82% of the patients passed 4 days or less.

The complications were divided into early (those that occurred within 30 days after surgery) and late (those that occurred after 30 days). The earliest complications observed were seroma in the dorsal region (13%), partial necrosis of the mastectomy skin (6%), dehiscence of the...
operative wound (13%), and necrosis of the latissimus dorsi flap (6%) (Figures 7 and 8).

None of the risk factors (i.e., hypertension, diabetes mellitus, smoking, BMI, and age) was significantly associated with the early complications. All early complications occurred only in the patients with RGDs.
None of the 16 patients had any late complications such as implant coverage changes, capsular contraction, or muscle and skin atrophies.

**DISCUSSION**

Breast reconstruction is gaining an increasingly important role in the treatment of breast cancer because of the proven psychological and physical benefits for patients. This procedure favors faster return of these patients to social life, improves immunity, and thus offers better prognosis in the treatment of the disease.$^{13,14}$

Many reconstruction techniques have been developed over the years. The most commonly used procedures are as follows:

- Creation of myocutaneous pedicle flaps, such as latissimus dorsi muscle flaps;
- Creation of retail transverse rectus abdominis muscle flaps;
- Implantation of alloplastic materials, such as temporary or permanent tissue expanders;
- Implantation of silicone.

In this scenario, there is evidence of indications of breast reconstruction with the use of local flaps, and the alloplastic materials RGD over the TRAM, which has a higher morbidity and systemic site.$^{15}$

In the study by Cosac et al.$^{16}$, the most used technique was TRAM reconstruction (31.3%), followed by RGD (30%), and prosthesis (17.7%). However, reconstruction using exchange expander prosthesis and symmetrization were not studied. In our study, the type of reconstruction performed constituted TRAM (6%), RGD (56%), 13% and symmetrization prosthesis (37.5%) (Figure 9).

For the treatment for breast cancer, adjuvant radiation therapy is often performed after mastectomy in women diagnosed with breast cancer stages II and III. This increases local control, disease-free survival, and survival globally.$^{17-20}$

Despite the improvement of the oncological results, adjuvant radiation therapy in women with breast cancer may worsen the aesthetic results due to tissue atrophies and capsular contractures and increase the risk of loss of rebuilding mamária$^{21}$.

Seroma in the donor area of the latissimus dorsi muscle is the most common complication of the procedure, with a reported rate of 16% to 79%.$^{22-25}$

However, the significance of seroma as a major complication requiring further surgery is low. In the present study, we observed a rate of 13% seroma. Gart et al.$^{15}$ reported that 1079 patients from the database of American College of Surgeons National Surgical Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP) undergoing RGD, showed early complications like reoperations (5.7%), cutaneous infections (3.3%), necrosis (1.3%), surgical wound dehiscence (0.6%), and other complications (3.2%)$^{26}$.

Early complications were observed in this joint Task Force of the case 1 (6%) partial mastectomy skin necrosis and 2 cases (13%) partial dehiscence of the surgical wound. These complications occurred probably due to the ineffective surgical procedure resulting in patchwork, thin mastectomy, and hypoperfused skin. There was 1 case of necrosis of the flap of latissimus dorsi, but no cases of infection or other clinical complications.

In a case series of 100 cases, Perdikis et al.$^{22}$ observed a capsular contracture rate of in patients undergoing RGD and 6% in those with silicone implants. In another series of 53 cases, Venus & Prinsloo$^{26}$ observed 7.4% of capsular contracture in cases that required capsulotomy and 33% of capsular contracture in those that did not require surgery.

In the present study of 16 patients, none showed any changes in implant coverage like muscle and skin atrophy.

We note that five days were enough to clear the queue of the 16 SCMPR-HUWC patients waiting for breast reconstruction.

The vast majority of patients were discharged in less than four days, which shows that this kind of a joint Task Force does not hinder the operation of the hospital hotel structure.

The surgeries were performed on 2 consecutive weekends, and the surgical operation was also unaffected, because the SCMPR-HUWC elective surgeries are mostly performed during the week.

Because the task forces comprised of a heterogeneous group of plastic surgeons from other institutions, it encouraged an exchange of experiences and innovations in techniques, as well as established new partnerships and strengthened old ties.
Plastic surgery has an important role in the treatment of patients with breast cancer. In this work, a high degree of satisfaction in patients was observed due to the results and few complications. However, in spite of the surgeries being elective and performed by senior plastic surgeons, we had a high number of complications. This rate was consistent with that in the literature, probably in the study in question, of a fortuitous nature. Thus, we conclude that the joint Task Forces of breast reconstruction for postmastectomy cases are a viable alternative in terms of public health.
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