Abdominoplasty in gastric bypass patients: anthropometric profile, comorbidities, and complications

Abdominoplastia em pacientes pós-bariátricos: perfil antropométrico, comorbididades e complicações
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Introduction: Patients who undergo vertical-banded gastroplasty-Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (VBG-RYGB) have significant weight loss, and abdominoplasty (AP) is an effective corrective surgery for removing excess skin. Methods: A prospective study conducted from January 2011 to December 2016 in a public hospital evaluated patients who underwent AP after VBG-RYGB. The analyzed variables were body mass index (BMI) before VBG-RYGB, BMI before AP, weight loss, weight of the excised abdominal flap, comorbidities, and complications. Results: For this study, 107 patients who underwent AP were included. The patients’ mean age was 41 years; BMI before AP, 27.6 ± 3.7 kg/m²; and mean weight loss, 47.7 ± 17.3 kg. The maximum BMI before bariatric surgery was 45.5 ± 7.5 kg/m², and the difference between the maximum BMI before VBG-RYGB and before corrective surgery was 18.6 ± 9.3 kg/m². The comorbidities observed before VBG-RYGB were arterial hypertension (11.1%), arthropathy (4.6%), diabetes mellitus (5.6%), and metabolic syndrome (5.6%). Fourteen patients (13.1%) underwent herniorrhaphy during AP. The overall complication rate was 31.5%. Weight before VBG-RYGB, BMI before VBG-RYGB, mean weight loss, comorbidities, abdominal flap weight, and BMI of >20 kg/m² were significantly associated with postoperative complications. Conclusions: VBG-RYGB was an effective approach to reduce comorbidities in obese patients. Comorbidities, weight before VBG-RYGB, mean weight loss, amount of tissue removed from the abdomen, and BMI of >20 kg/m² significantly increased the complication rate in the gastric bypass patients who underwent AP. Furthermore, AP is fundamental for the comprehensive care of obese patients and has optimized the results achieved with VBG-RYGB.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a serious disease usually associated with increased morbidity and mortality, increased healthcare costs, reduced quality of life, and reduced life expectancy. The clinical management of obesity is challenging because most individuals with morbid obesity cannot lose or maintain the lost weight. In recent years, the surgical treatment of morbid obesity has gained popularity. The effectiveness of surgical treatment in weight loss has been confirmed by well-controlled studies, especially in the United States and Sweden. In the United States, the number of surgical procedures for weight loss increased from 28,800 in 1999 to 220,000 in 2009.

Safety in the execution of bariatric surgery, represented by low rates of early and late complications (venous thromboembolism, surgical reintervention, and prolonged hospitalization) and a mortality rate of 0.3%, together with a significant decrease in comorbidities, justify the inclusion of bariatric surgery as an essential strategy for treating morbid obesity.

Patients who undergo gastric bypass surgery usually complain of excess skin and loss of soft tissues, which affect the practice of exercises and suitability of clothes, and may lead to aesthetic, posture, and mobility problems. In addition, weight loss may result in pain due to mechanical friction, limit hygiene procedures, and cause fungal infections and intertriginous dermatitis.

Post-bariatric surgery patients who intend to undergo abdominoplasty (AP) should be carefully monitored for the risk of postoperative complications because these patients usually present with residual comorbidities, nutritional deficiencies, and psychological problems.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was to assess the anthropometric profile, and the prevalence of comorbidities and complications in patients who underwent AP.
after vertical-banded gastroplasty-Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (VBG-RYGB).

**METHOD**

This prospective study was conducted in a public referral hospital for bariatric surgery. The sample included individuals who underwent vertical-banded gastroplasty-Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery (VBG-RYGB) followed by AP from 2011 to 2016 after massive weight loss.

This study was performed in accordance with the National Health Council Resolution No. 466 of 12/12/2012. All the participants were informed about the scope of the study and signed a free and informed consent form. The authors of the present study no conflicts of interest to declare. The study was approved by the research ethics committee of the Health Department of the Federal District under Certificate for Ethics Assessment (Certificado de Apresentação para Apreciação Ética-CAAE) No. 52738216.5.0000.5553 (Opinion No. 1,504,199).

All the surgeries were performed by the same team of assistants at the Regional Hospital of Asa Norte, Brasília, Federal District, Brazil.

**Inclusion criteria**

The inclusion criteria for AP after VBG-RYGB were weight stability for at least 6 months after achieving the weight loss goal in each case, absence of drug and alcohol abuse, absence of moderate or severe psychotic or dementia, and acknowledgment of the need for weight maintenance and postoperative follow-up by a multidisciplinary team.

**Exclusion criteria**

The exclusion criteria were smoking, gestational intention, weight instability and absence of maintenance of weight for 6 months, not signing the consent form, patients who underwent other bariatric procedures after VBG-RYGB, patients followed up for <12 months, and patients belonging to vulnerable groups (mentally ill, institutionalized, or aged <18 years).

**Anthropometric variables**

Weight was measured on a digital scale with a maximum capacity of 300 kg. Height was determined using a Personal Caprice Sanny® stadiometer. %EWL was obtained using the following formula: weight loss after AP/excess weight × 100. Excess weight was calculated by subtracting the weight at the beginning of the VBG-RYGB follow-up from the ideal weight (BMI of 25 kg/m²).

The BMI variation (∆BMI) was calculated as the difference between the maximum BMI before VBG-RYGB and the BMI at the time of AP.

**Clinical variables and comorbidities**

The diagnoses of systemic arterial hypertension, dyslipidemia, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and metabolic syndrome were based on parameters established in the respective guidelines of the Brazilian Society of Cardiology and currently described in the First Brazilian Guideline for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Metabolic Syndrome. Hepatic steatosis was diagnosed using preoperative abdominal ultrasonography.

The preoperative diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea was based on the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI). An apnea event was defined as cessation of oronasal airflow for ≥10 seconds. A hypopnea event was defined as a reduction in nasal pressure signal of ≥30% accompanied by desaturation of ≥4% for >10 seconds.

The AHI was defined as the sum of apnea and hypopnea events per hour of sleep. The diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea was based on an AHI of ≥5.0 events per hour, and the severity of obstructive sleep apnea was based on the following AHI scores: mild (5.0 to 14.9 events/hour), moderate (15.0 to 29.9 events/hour), or severe (≥30.0 events/hour).

Patients with arthropathy were defined as those who underwent surgical treatment for joint pain or received conventional anti-inflammatory drugs as treatment for joint pain.

**Number of medications for treatment of comorbidities**

After VBG-RYGB, comorbidities were considered resolved in cases in which they were controlled without medications and considered improved when they were controlled using smaller doses. The number of medications taken by the patient before VBG-RYGB and the number of drugs the patient continued taking after AP were recorded.
after the surgery were calculated. The drugs were categorized by classes as follows: antihypertensive, hypoglycemic, anti-inflammatory, cholesterol reducers, bronchodilators, multivitamins, anxiolytics, and antidepressants¹².

**Abdominoplasty**

AP involved the removal of excess skin and abdominal fat combined with an extensive detachment of the upper abdominal flap, correction of the diastasis of the rectus abdominis, and umbilical transposition. Anchor-line AP included a vertical midline resection and was usually required in patients with previous midline scars and incisional hernias, and patients with excess vertical and horizontal abdominal dermis and panniculus¹³,¹⁴.

**Postoperative complications**

The evaluated complications were hematomas, seromas, dehiscence, tissue necrosis, internal hernias, deep venous thromboembolism, and pulmonary embolism. The complications were divided into major and minor. Major complications were considered as those requiring a new surgical procedure for hematoma drainage, seroma drainage, dehiscence suture, or rehospitalization for systemic antibiotic therapy.

The epidemiological, anthropometric, clinical, and surgical variables were compared between the patients with and without postoperative complications. This strategy allowed determining the factors associated with complications in VBG-RYGB patients undergoing AP¹⁵-¹⁸.

**Postoperative care**

All the patients received non-drug thromboembolic prophylaxis, including early ambulation and lower limb compression. Cystoscopic surveillance was performed, the bladder catheter was removed on the first postoperative day, and prophylactic antibiotic therapy was initiated. Anesthetic induction was performed using 2 g of intravenous cefazolin.

Elastic compression stockings were routinely used for 3 months. The vacuum drains used in AP were removed on the seventh day regardless of the flow rate.

The patients were hospitalized until the following day and maintained a semi-Fowler position, with an indwelling urinary catheter and stimulation of the active movements of the feet and knees.

The basic guidelines were maintaining the elastic compressive stockings, increasing water intake, walking, and avoiding physical exertion. The postoperative visits were weekly in the first month and then monthly for a minimum of 12 months.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) statistical package 20.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables were described using means and standard deviations, and categorical variables were described using relative frequencies. The normality of the variables was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. All analyses were performed at a level of significance of 5%.

The groups were compared using the chi-square test for dichotomous variables, the Student t-test for continuous variables with a normal distribution, and the Mann-Whitney U-test for continuous variables with a non-normal distribution. The correlations between continuous variables were assessed using the Spearman correlation coefficient ($r_s$).

**RESULTS**

A total of 107 patients underwent operation after VBG-RYGB using videolaparoscopy (60; 55.8%) or laparotomy (47; 44.2%). The mean age was 40.89 ± 9.76 years, and most surgeries (91.6%, 98/107) were performed on women.

**Anthropometric variables**

The anthropometric profile of the VBG-RYGB patients before undergoing AP are shown in Table 1. The patients who underwent VBG-RYGB usually had morbid obesity or grade II obesity, and these two groups represented 100% of the sample (Table 2). The patients who underwent AP after VBG-RYGB usually presented overweight or normal BMI, and both groups represented 75.6% of the sample.

The difference between the maximum BMI before VBG-RYGB and before AP (ΔBMI) was 18.60 ± 9.34 kg. Moreover, 33.6% (36/107) of the patients presented a BMI variation of >20 kg/m², and 36.4% (39/107) had a weight loss of ≥50 kg.

**Clinical variables and comorbidities**

The diseases diagnosed before VBG-RYGB are shown in Table 3. The most common comorbidities were metabolic syndrome, arterial hypertension, arthropathy, depression/anxiety, and diabetes mellitus. The least common comorbidities were obstructive sleep apnea syndrome, esophagitis, and dyslipidemia.
The adopted techniques were classical (80; 74.8%) and anchor-line (27; 25.2%). Incisional hernias occurred in six patients; and umbilical hernia, in eight patients, representing 13.1% of patients undergoing AP. Herniorrhaphy was performed during AP (Figure 1).

Ninety-one patients (85.0%) underwent only one surgical procedure per stage, and 16 (14.9%) underwent combined surgeries in the same surgical procedure. The other associated surgical procedures were mastoplasty (12 patients) and brachioplasty (four patients).

The overall complication rate was 31.5% (34/107). The rate of major complications was 11.1% (12 patients), including wound dehiscence requiring resuturing (four cases), hematoma/seroma requiring reoperation (three cases), internal hernia with intestinal obstruction (three cases), and wound infection requiring treatment with intravenous antibiotics (two cases).

The rate of minor complications was 20.4% (22 patients), including seroma requiring repeated punctures (nine cases), hematoma with drainage or spontaneous resolution (five cases), dehiscence not requiring resuturing (five cases), and wound infection requiring treatment with oral antibiotics (three cases).

The mean surgical time was 170.00 ± 55.33 min. Vacuum drains were used in all the AP procedures. General anesthesia was used in 95 patients (88.8%), and epidural anesthesia was used in 12 patients (11.2%).

The mean length of hospital stay was 2.0 ± 1.2 days, and a period of hospitalization of 2 days was necessary in 98 hospitalizations (91.6%). Only nine patients (8.4%) required hospitalization for >2 days. The patients were followed up for at least 12 months. No case of deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, or death was found in our sample.

Table 1. Anthropometric profile of the post-bariatric surgery patients before abdominoplasty at the Asa Norte Regional Hospital, Brasília, Federal District, Brazil, from 2011 to 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>40.89</td>
<td>9.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum weight (kg)</td>
<td>120.79</td>
<td>24.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum BMI* (kg/m²)</td>
<td>45.52</td>
<td>7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final BMI before abdominoplasty (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight loss (kg)</td>
<td>47.70</td>
<td>17.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%EWL**</td>
<td>78.79</td>
<td>12.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* BMI: Body Mass Index. ** %EWL: Excess Weight Loss. *** Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

Table 2. Distribution of patients according to the degree of obesity (BMI before bariatric surgery and abdominoplasty) after undergoing bariatric surgery at the Regional Hospital of Asa Norte, Brasília, Federal District, Brazil, from 2011 to 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Before bariatric surgery, number of patients (%)</th>
<th>Before abdominoplasty, number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 (normal)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21 (19.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0–29.9 (overweight)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60 (56.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0–4.9 (grade I)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24 (22.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.0–39.9 (grade II)</td>
<td>22 (20.6%)</td>
<td>1 (0.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40.0 (grade III)</td>
<td>85 (79.9%)</td>
<td>1 (0.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* BMI, body mass index.

Table 3. Distribution of patients according to the presence of comorbidities before and after bariatric surgery performed at the Regional Hospital of Asa Norte, Brasília, Federal District, Brazil, from 2011 to 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>Before bariatric surgery, number of patients (%)</th>
<th>Before abdominoplasty, number of patients (%)</th>
<th>Valor p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>61 (56.5%)</td>
<td>6 (5.6%)</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>59 (54.6%)</td>
<td>12 (11.1%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthropathy</td>
<td>42 (38.9%)</td>
<td>5 (4.6%)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>41 (38.0%)</td>
<td>6 (5.6%)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression/anxiety</td>
<td>40 (37.0%)</td>
<td>27 (25.0%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>26 (24.1%)</td>
<td>2 (1.9%)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep apnea syndrome</td>
<td>22 (20.4%)</td>
<td>2 (1.9%)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagitis</td>
<td>22 (20.4%)</td>
<td>4 (3.8%)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Chi-square test.

Most patients reported improvement or complete resolution of many of these comorbidities after surgical treatment of obesity. However, some patients had preexisting diseases before undergoing AP with depression and anxiety and hypertension being the most frequent (Table 3). In addition, 35.2% (38/107) of the patients had undergone cholecystectomy before AP.

Number of medications for treatment of comorbidities

The mean daily number of medications taken by the patients before VBG-RYGB was 4.24 ± 3.25, which decreased to 1.74 ± 1.31 after VBG-RYGB. This difference was significant (p < 0.001, 95% confidence interval [CI], 3.62-4.86).

AP: time interval after VBG-RYGB, combined surgeries, and complication rates

The mean time between VBG-RYGB and AP was 43.47 ± 29.82 months. The patients underwent AP more frequently at 25-48 months and 18-24 months after VBG-RYGB, and these time intervals represented 70.6% of the sample.
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Factors associated with complications in AP in the VBG-RYGB patients

The age-related and anthropometric factors associated with complications in AP in the VBG-RYGB patients are shown in Table 4. The factors more strongly associated with postoperative complications in these patients were age of >40 years, pre-VBG-RYGB maximum weight, pre-VBG-RYGB BMI, ΔBMI, total weight loss, and BMI variation (ΔBMI) of >20 kg/m². Pre-AP BMI and weight loss of >50 kg were not significantly higher in the VBG-RYGB patients who presented complications after AP (p < 0.08).

The factors associated with complications after AP related to comorbidities and weight of the abdominal flap removed during AP are shown in Table 5. The factors significantly associated with postoperative complications in these patients were the presence of comorbidities (dyslipidemia, diabetes, and arterial hypertension), weight of the excised flap, especially when weight was >2,000 g (Figure 2).

The incidence of diabetes and systemic arterial hypertension in isolation was not significantly higher in the patients who presented complications after abdominoplasty (p < 0.09). However, the combined presence of diabetes and arterial hypertension significantly correlated with a higher number of complications after AP.

DISCUSSION

After substantial weight loss, complaints of tissue flaccidity and cutaneous changes, especially in the breasts, abdomen, back, arms, thighs, and face, were common. In addition to the psychosocial impact of generalized excess skin, there were clinical implications, including intertrigo and functional limitations in ambulation, urination, and sexual activity.

Plastic surgery of the body contour helps promote the social and psychological reintegration of obese patients, who have prolonged suffering. Moreover, the objective of these corrective surgeries is to optimize the functional results obtained with bariatric surgery by removing excess skin.

The results of this study indicated that most of the VBG-RYGB patients who underwent AP were women with a mean age of 41 years, maximum BMI of 45 kg/m², mean maximum weight of 119 kg, and mean weight loss of 47 kg. These results agree with those of studies conducted in Brazil, Italy, Austria, France, Switzerland, and the United States.

However, other studies reported a higher mean age, especially in the United States and Spain. Furthermore, a maximum BMI of >50 kg/m² has been reported, especially in the United States.

A statistically significant association was found among discomfort, excess skin after bariatric surgery, and the presence of complications. In particular, the presence of diabetes and arterial hypertension significantly correlated with a higher number of complications after AP.

Table 4. Age and anthropometric factors that potentially led to the complications in the post-bariatric surgery patients who underwent abdominoplasty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Presence of complications</th>
<th>Absence of complications</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (N)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)*</td>
<td>43.09 ± 12.1</td>
<td>39.9 ± 8.4</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;40 years</td>
<td>73.5%</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>0.011***</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>[1.15-4.30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean maximum weight before bariatric surgery (kg)*</td>
<td>129.4 ± 30.6</td>
<td>116.5 ± 19.5</td>
<td>0.010***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum BMI before bariatric surgery (kg/m²)*</td>
<td>48.5 ± 9.6</td>
<td>44.1 ± 5.9</td>
<td>0.004***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI before abdominoplasty (kg/m²)*</td>
<td>28.5 ± 4.4</td>
<td>27.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ΔBMI (kg/m²)*</td>
<td>19.7 ± 7.5</td>
<td>16.9 ± 4.9</td>
<td>0.022***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight loss (kg)*</td>
<td>54.5 ± 23.1</td>
<td>44.3 ± 12.9</td>
<td>0.004***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost weight ≥50 kg</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
<td>31.0%</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>[0.98;2.94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔBMI &gt; 20 kg/m²</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>0.040***</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>[1.03;3.06]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI before abdominoplasty &gt; 30 kg/m²</td>
<td>24.32%</td>
<td>21.57%</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are presented as means and standard deviations. BMI: Body mass index. ΔBMI: BMI before bariatric surgery - BMI before abdominoplasty. *** p < 0.05.
Table 5. Other factors that potentially led to the complications in the post-bariatric surgery patients who underwent abdominoplasty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Presence of complications</th>
<th>Absence of complications</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight of the flap removed from the abdomen (g)</td>
<td>2743 ± 1601</td>
<td>1630.1 ± 846</td>
<td>&lt;0.001***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of the removed flap of ≥2,000 g*</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>0.004***</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>[2.11; 5.56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>[1.19;4.30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>[0.90;3.27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.035***</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>[2.48;4.42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>[1.19-4.30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes/hypertension**</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>0.011***</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>[1.31-3.80]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are presented as means and standard deviations. *Weight of the abdominal flap removed during abdominoplasty. **Presence of diabetes and/or hypertension. ***p < 0.05.

Figure 2. Abdominal flap of >2,000 g removed during abdominoplasty.

and female sex, that is, women were more uncomfortable with excess skin after bariatric surgery than men.

The mean BMI before AP was 27.4 kg/m², which is similar to the results of other studies in populations from Brazil, Italy, Austria, France, Switzerland, and the United States. However, the mean BMI values reported in the studies performed in the United States, Turkey, and Greece were <27.4 kg/m².

Residual obesity is a persistent problem in these patients after massive weight loss. Coon et al. reported that 45% and 20% of patients who sought abdominoplasty after VBG-RYGB had BMI values of >30 and >35 kg/m², respectively. Orpheu et al. reported that the percentage of residual obesity (BMI > 30 kg/m²) was 27.55%, which agrees with the results of our study.

A significant reduction in comorbidities was observed after VBG-RYGB, and at the time of AP only 11.5% of the patients had systemic arterial hypertension and 5.7% had diabetes mellitus. In the United States, the incidence rates of arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and sleep apnea syndrome in VBG-RYGB patients before AP were 32.5%, 15%, and 5%, respectively.

This significant improvement in comorbidity rates is a direct result of the decreased number of medications used by the patients after bariatric surgery. Our results also indicated a significant difference between the mean number of medications before and after VBG-RYGB (p < 0.001; 95% CI, 3.64-4.69).

Similarly, Lopes et al. found that the mean number of medications per patient decreased from 3.9 ± 1.67 before surgery to 1.64 ± 1.68 after surgery, corresponding to two drugs per patient (95% CI, 2.38-1.69, p = 0.71), indicating a reduction of >50% in the number of medications used after surgery.

The mean duration between bariatric surgery and AP was 43 months. This period is similar to that reported in one Brazilian study (47 months) but higher than that (32 months) reported in another Brazilian study and much higher (22 to 26 months) than that reported in studies on other countries, including Spain and the United States.

The overall complication rate after AP in the VBG-RYGB patients was 31%. This result is similar to those in the study of de Kerviler et al. and Espinosa-de-los-Monteros et al. but lower than those in other studies, wherein the rates ranged from 35% to 50% of the operated patients.

The observed low rate of major complications, including thromboembolic events, flap necropsy, and low number of reoperations, may be due to the small number of combined surgeries observed in this study. Studies that reported higher complication rates usually involved a higher percentage of combined surgeries. Combined surgeries lead to longer surgical time (>6 hours), greater blood loss, and a greater need for blood transfusions, and these factors may increase the rate of postoperative complications.

The rates of dehiscence, seroma, infection, and necrosis correlated with the number of surgical procedures. The comparison of the patients subjected to one surgical procedure and those subjected to multiple procedures after bariatric surgery revealed a significant increase in the rate of postoperative complications in the latter group.

The execution of combined surgeries is usually discouraged to avoid a longer surgical time and higher...
skin damage and deterioration. However, in selected cases, after careful analysis of clinical, nutritional, emotional, and social factors, more than one plastic surgery, as was the case in 16 patients (14.8%) in this study, or other surgical procedures (e.g., herniorrhaphy) may be performed without the occurrence of serious complications. The combination of plastic surgery procedures is common in other treatment centers for gastric bypass patients; however, this strategy should be used only in selected cases6,13,30.

Another important factor that may have contributed to the lower complication rate was the low prevalence of comorbidities during AP. A study in the United States involving 449 gastric bypass patients found a complication rate of 41.8%; however, the prevalence rates of systemic arterial hypertension and diabetes mellitus in bariatric surgery patients who underwent plastic surgery were 44.2% and 22.3%, respectively13.

That same study reported that >50% of patients who sought plastic surgery had residual obesity. In our study, only 22.3% of the operated patients had grade I obesity at the time of corrective surgery.

The presence of obesity at the time of AP may have strongly affected the complication rate related to wound dehiscence16-18.

Some studies reported that the rate of smoking in AP patients was up to 48%. Smoking is known to increase the risk of wound complications by threefold10,31. The non-inclusion of smokers in the present study may have contributed to the lower complication rates.

In the present study, the primary clinical and anthropometric factors that were strongly associated with the postoperative complications in the VBG-RYGB patients were pre-VBG-RYGB maximum weight, pre-VBG-RYGB BMI, total weight loss, ΔBMI, and presence of comorbidities. In our study, comorbidities were predictors of complications. Nonetheless, some studies indicated that comorbidities were poor predictors of complications13,18.

ΔBMI, especially ΔBMI of >20 kg/m² (difference in BMI before and after bariatric surgery), was significantly associated with post-AP complications in gastric bypass patients, and these results were confirmed by other studies13,32. Furthermore, the mean weight loss was higher in the patients with complications, which agrees with the results of another study10.

Maximum BMI of >50 kg/m² increases the risk of infections by 2.6-fold higher than does the maximum BMI of <50 kg/m²13.

The total weight of the resected abdominal tissue during AP significantly affected the occurrence of postoperative complications, including seroma and wound dehiscence, especially when the abdominal flap weigh was >2,000 g. Similarly, other studies reported that the rate of postoperative complications was increased as the weight of resected tissues was increased in plastic surgeries performed after bariatric surgery2,17,25,31.

The advent of bariatric surgery has brought lasting and satisfactory results in the fight against obesity. The patient’s desire after massive weight loss is to undergo corrective procedures to improve body contouring. The careful and differentiated approach of the surgeon in each case, together with a multidisciplinary follow-up, is essential for adequately managing these patients to improve aesthetic results and prevent complications8,19.

The plastic surgeon should consider the anthropometric, clinical, and surgical factors that significantly increase the risk of postoperative complications in bariatric surgery patients. Despite significant weight loss after gastric bypass surgery, weight loss cannot completely reverse the increased risk of complications. This fact needs to be evaluated in future studies to identify strategies to reduce the complication rate in these patients and evaluate clinical protocols to better prepare these patients for new surgical procedures.

CONCLUSION

The profile of bariatric surgery patients who underwent AP was represented by women with a mean age of 41 years, maximum BMI of 46 kg/m², mean maximum weight of 120 kg, and mean weight loss of 48 kg. The mean BMI of these patients before VBG-RYGB was 27.6 kg/m², and their %EWL was 78.8%. A significant reduction in comorbidities was observed after VBG-RYGB, including the complete remission of diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, sleep apnea syndrome, and metabolic syndrome.

The mean time between VBG-RYGB and AP was 43 months. The overall complication rate in the VBG-RYGB patients after AP was 31.5%, and the factors significantly associated with complications were age of >40 years, presence of comorbidities, removed abdominal flap weight of >2,000 g, and ΔBMI of >20 kg/m².
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