Viability of mesenchymal stem cells of adipose tissue from human liposuction

Viabilidade das células-tronco mesenquimais do tecido adiposo proveniente de lipoaspirado humano
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Lipografting is an alternative with important applicability for breast reconstruction and/or corrections of asymmetries resulting from cancer treatment. This technique consists of autologous fat transfer, whose stroma contains stem cells derived from adipose tissue that can differentiate itself throughout the mesodermal lineage. For adipose tissue preparation, Coleman-based centrifugation of syringe-aspirated material at 3000 revolutions per minute (rpm) for 3 minutes. However, studies question whether lower centrifugation speeds could be less harmful to cell viability.

Methods: An experimental study was conducted to evaluate the adipose cells of six patients; from 60mL of liposuction of each one. The sample collected was fractionated into four tubes and submitted to different protocols, decanting and centrifugation at speeds 500, 1000, and 3000rpm for 3 minutes. Afterward, the samples were processed with collagenase IA for 30 min, submitted to cell culture for 24 hours, and a cell viability analysis. The results were tabulated and analyzed by the ANOVA test using the Graphpad Prism 6.0® and SAS®.

Results: Cell viability was higher in the cell sample centrifuged at 3000rpm and lower in the decanted sample. Giemsa staining indicated maintenance of cell morphology on the samples.

Conclusion: Centrifuged cells at a speed of 3000rpm showed higher cell viability. Centrifugation was effective in compacting tissue and eliminating unwanted waste (blood and residual oil).
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INTRODUCTION

Lipografting, a surgical technique known as lipomodeling or lipofilling, is an essential alternative to breast reconstruction techniques after surgical treatment of breast cancer\(^1\). This procedure consists of the transfer of autologous fat to the breast, aiming to restore the volume and revitalize and regenerate tissues damaged by adjuvant treatments such as radiotherapy; or more recently described, for minor volume adjustments conservative surgery for the treatment of breast cancer\(^1,2\). This regenerative potential is due to stem cells derived from adipose tissue (ATSC), present in subcutaneous cellular tissue\(^1,4\). A practical way to obtain a donor area of ATSCs, followed by preparation for injection in the receiving area, was described in the 1990s by Coleman\(^3,4\). In this technique, the liposuction is obtained using 3mm diameter needles coupled to 10mL syringes. After, the content is centrifuged at 3000rpm for three minutes\(^1,3,4\). In practice, there is significant variability of methods for obtaining liposuction and separating the elements that compose it\(^5\).

ATSCs come from the same embryological lineage as bone marrow stem cells. Because of the ease in obtaining surgery, they are considered an alternative source of stem cells\(^5-8\). ATSCs can differentiate beyond mesenchymal origin cells (adipocytes, myocytes, chondrocytes, and osteocytes) in non-mesenchymal cells, such as hepatocytes and neurons, pancreatic cells, endothelial cells, and cardiomyocytes\(^7,8\).

The viability of ATSCs may be related to the form used for the separation of lipoaspirated elements\(^4,5,7\). Identifying management conditions that optimize the viability of the content used is essential to improve lipografting as an adjuvant in mammary reconstruction\(^8,9\). Despite being a widely used technique, there is still questioning about the effect on ATSCs and adipocytes’ viability after centrifugation; or after decanting of liposuction\(^10,11\).

OBJECTIVES

Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the cellular viability of isolated ASCs from decanting...
and centrifugation at different speeds (500, 1000, and 3000rpm) and collection methods: Coleman, assisted conventional liposuction (SAL), and associated with VASER (Vibration Amplification of Sound Energy at Resonance).

METHODS

An experimental clinical study was conducted with samples of liposuction of adipose tissue from patients older than 18 years, who agreed to participate in the research and signed the free and informed consent form, and who underwent liposuction procedures associated or not with lipofilling, performed in hospitals in Chapecó - Santa Catarina, between June and July 2018. The patients were randomly chosen during the proposed period for the collection of lipoaspirated material.

To verify the influence of liposuction processing by decanting or centrifugation, approximately 60mL of residual samples from each patient was evaluated, subdivided into 4 Falcon® tubes of 15mL, one subjected to decanting and each of the other three tubes subjected to centrifugation at speeds other than 500, 1000 and 3000rpm (equivalent to 43, 173 and 1560g, respectively) (centrifuge with rotor: 11133 Buzzer, Sigma®). For cell viability analysis, the ASCs were treated with 3-bromide (4,5-dimetiltiazol-2-il)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT).

In the control sample, 0.9% saline solution was added (SF 0.9%) in a 1:1 ratio and kept in decanting for 30 minutes. The other samples were centrifuged at speeds of 500, 1000, and 3000 rpm, equivalent to 43, 173, and 1560g (considering that 2g is equivalent to 100 rpm in the rotor centrifuge: 11133 Buzzer, Sigma®) for 3 minutes. Then, the centrifuged samples were washed with 0.9% SF and centrifuged again for 10 minutes at 2000rpm.

These four collagenase IA (C2674 - Sigma®) samples were added to a concentration of 0.075% at 1:1 ratio, and samples were incubated in a water bath at 37ºC for 30 minutes homogenization every 10 minutes (preparation of the antinatant was performed).

For cell viability analysis, the ASCs were treated with 3-bromide (4,5-dimetiltiazol-2-il)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT).

From the 15mL Falcon® content, 200μL were aspirated from the pellet bottom (lower portion of the tube) and 200μL from the intermediate region and sent for cultivation in the 12-well plate, in triplicate, with the addition of 2mL of the DMEM medium (Vitrocell-Embriolife®), containing 10% SFB, amphotericin and penicillin.

The samples were grown in a greenhouse without CO2. Each sample’s aliquot was removed and colored with Giemsa dye to evaluate the cells’ morphology under optical microscopy. After 24 hours of cell culture, the cells were submitted to the cell viability assay by 3-bromide (4,5-dimetiltiazole-2-il)-2,5-diphenyltetrazozole (MTT). The plates were centrifuged at 2500rpm for 15 minutes in a thermo-shaker centrifuge (Agimaxx®). The antinatant was discarded and 90 μL 0.9% at 37°C and 10 μL of MTT reagent were added. It was in a dry bath at 37°C for 60 minutes. It was centrifuged again at 2500rpm for 15 minutes and after discarding 70μL of each sample and adding 70μL dimethylsulf(DMSO). The centrifugal was incubated for 10 minutes, the centrifugal was repeated in the same conditions, and spectrophotometry of 560nm was performed.

The data of cell culture of mesenchymal cells performed by the MTT technique were statistically analyzed using the MEANS and UNIVARIATE procedures and, by analysis of variance, using the statistical software SAS® (SAS INSTITUTE, 2002). With the plate reading, a nonparametric ANOVA study was performed by the NPAR1WAY procedure, and for comparison between the means, the nonparametric test of the SAS®/Graphpad Prism 6.0® software was adopted. The results of all analyses were considered significant with p<0.05. This project was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Unochapêco, under Certificate of Presentation for Ethical Appreciation (CAAE) 73059017.4.0000.0116 and opinion number 2,576,847.

RESULTS

During the period determined for the collection of lipoaspirated, it was possible to evaluate 24 samples from six patients (five female and one male), with a median age of 43 years. The choice that the lipoaspirated were of different techniques was to verify whether there was the influence of the collection methodology (Coleman, conventional suction-assisted liposuction and VASER) according to the components’ separation of the lipoaspirated. The cell viability rate was considerably higher in the samples submitted to more intense centrifugations. The samples that went through centrifugation at 3000rpm showed better results regarding cell viability in ATSCs submitted to the MTT assay (0.309±0.08A). Centrifuged lipoaspirated with 500 and 1000rpm showed relatively close ATSC viability rates (0.246±0.12B and 0.253±0.08B, respectively). While the sample washed with SF 0.9% and decanted for 30 minutes, followed by exposure to MTT, presented the lowest viability rate of ATSCs (0.219±0.18AB) (Figure 1).

At the end of cell culture, samples stained with Giemsa dye and observed under optical microscopy showed similar morphologies; no karyorrhexis, a significant amount of binucleated cells, and no DNA evidence fragmentation in the different samples were observed (Figure 2).
DISCUSSION

Adipose cells’ viability may be related to several factors: characteristics of the patient’s adipose tissue; extraction procedure; centrifuge methodology; injection form of lipoaspirated; use of anesthetic solutions; topography of the donor area; among others\textsuperscript{10,11,15}. There is no consensus on the most effective way to process fat (after aspiration of donor areas) for better graft viability; several factors can influence the cells’ viability that makes up liposuction\textsuperscript{5,16,17}. The centrifugation process makes it possible to concentrate fat and provides a more significant number of cells per milliliter, separating from liquefied fat and blood cell components\textsuperscript{19}. It was reported that the ATSCs present in the pellet (after washing the centrifuge) would have greater viability because there were no contaminants of the remains of the blood cells, which would be a constant in samples submitted only to decanting\textsuperscript{10}. Centrifugation with lower processing speeds and time may have the same cell compaction capacity compared to speeds and times greater\textsuperscript{20,21}. In our study, the sample submitted only to decanting, and those that were centrifuged at speeds of 500 rpm (43g) and 1000 rpm (173g) presented reduced cell viability values concerning the sample of 3000 rpm. The fact that the samples submitted to centrifugation at lower velocities (500 and 1000 rpm) showed similar results suggests that some factors such as cellular blood debris may have interfered in cell reproduction capacity. This was a limiter presented during the research; because, with the established methodology, it was not possible to identify the specific cause related to the lowest cell viability in samples that were not centrifuged at a speed of 3000 rpm.

Considering the variable cell morphology in an experimental study, no cellular changes were observed in optical microscopy examination at 3600 rpm centrifugation for 10 seconds\textsuperscript{9}. There was no change in another experiment with lipoaspirated residues of eight patients, centrifuged at velocities (400g, 700g, 1200g, 3000g, or 4200g) microscopic morphology of cells\textsuperscript{16}. While in another study, with similar methodology and centrifugation velocities of 1500 and 3000rpm for 3 minutes, no cell membrane changes were described, including the control sample (decanting)\textsuperscript{15}.

In the present study, after 24-hour cultivation, it was possible to analyze the morphology of cell samples stained with Giemsa, and no morphological changes were observed between decanted and centrifuged samples at different speeds, but the cell viability evaluated by the MTT method was higher in the centrifuged sample at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes. These findings suggest that both decanting and centrifugation velocities lower than 3000 rpm may not interfere with cells’ microscopic aspect, but the
higher speed would be related to maintaining cell multiplication capacity. On the other hand, in experimental studies that used cell culture in rats, there was an association of deleterious effects in cell samples submitted to higher velocities when compared to those submitted to centrifugations with lower velocities. This difference in results may be related to the form of cell culture methodology where most of the studies that associated better cell viability with higher centrifugation velocities, as in the present article, used cell culture in plates. In contrast, the other researchers used the murine model.

CONCLUSION

Fat grafting is widely applicable, especially in breast reconstruction, whose aesthetic and therapeutic effects are essential for the patient's self-esteem, contributing to the quality of life during treatment. In searching for perfecting the technique, studies are carried out with the ASCs, who are mainly responsible for the reconstructive potential. In this context, it was possible to verify in this study that centrifugations with 3000 rpm for three minutes results in maintaining the biological characteristics of the mesenchymal cells of adipose tissue, necessary for cell colonization in the graft recipient areas. This reinforces the Coleman technique's applicability, which can be performed in surgical treatment services for breast cancer, as long as the surgeon is used to the methodology.
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