A Variation of the Transverse Lumbosacral Flap in the Treatment of Sacral Pressure Sores
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ABSTRACT
The author presents a modification of the lumbosacral flap for treatment of sacral pressure sores that avoids the use of skin grafts at the donor area. The modified technique was performed on thirty-six paraplegic patients, whereas only three of them had complications: two cases of epidermolysis and one with necrosis of the distal third of the flap. These results show the surgical viability of the modified technique as an additional option in the treatment of this complex pathology.

INTRODUCTION
Sacral pressure sores are the second most common pressure sores in paraplegic patients due to spinal injury. The sore appears following loss of protective sensibility: prolonged pressure over a skin area, exceeding tissue blood pressure leads to tissue necrosis. The main difficulty in the treatment of pressure sores is that these sores are the result of spinal injury, and full treatment should include the correction of the neurological lesion. The number of flaps described in the literature reflects the high incidence of recurrent pressure sores during the lives of these patients, who are mainly young adults.

The following principles must be observed in the treatment of pressure sores: avoid suture lines in the pressure areas; design flaps without interfering with future flaps, so that a sequence of surgical techniques are reserved for every region which may develop a sore; treat one ulcer at a time; excise all affected tissue; and prepare the patient for the posture limitations necessary for healing of the surgical wound.

Sacral pressure sores are treated by various randomly-designed skin flaps. Gerard (1971) used muscle flaps of the gluteus maximus to treat sacral ulcers. Soon sev-
eral authors described variations of this revolutionary surgical technique. Long term studies showed that muscle flaps became atrophic, thereby no longer serving as a cushion. Nola and Vistnes’ (1980) studies, including the histological level, the different responses of skin and muscle to pressure. Minato et al. (1986) showed the superiority of skin over muscle when submitted to pressure, thereby establishing the fasciocutaneous flap as the preferred surgical treatment for pressure sores. In 1982, Daniel and Faibisoff demonstrated that bony prominence are not covered by muscle in weight-bearing positions.

In 1978, Hill described the transverse lumbosacral flap (TLF) and Lion and Rebello (1993) standardized sequences of flaps, with TLF as the first flap, that maximize surgical options in the treatment of sacral sores.

In this paper a modification of the lumbosacral flap is described for treatment of sacral pressure sores that avoids the use of skin grafts at the donor area and does not interfere with other flaps.

ANATOMY
The blood supply to the skin and subcutaneous tissue of the lumbosacral region is predominantly segmental. The primary vascular flow comes from perforators of the lumbar artery, through the lumbar triangle, and from perforators of the intercostal artery, through the latissimus dorsi. Additional vascular flow comes from myocutaneous perforators of the superior gluteal artery and perforators of the sacrospinalis muscles. The subdermal vascular plexus extends uninterruptedly across the midline, with the proximal one-third showing an axial pattern and the distal two-thirds a random pattern.

PATIENT AND METHODS
Treatment of sacral pressure sores were performed, from August 1997 to November 1999 at Professor Carvalho Luz Hospital, in 36 patients, 16.6% of which were tetraplegic and 83.4% paraplegic. The average age was 25.8 years, ranging from 12 to 45 years. Ninety-one point six percent were male, 8.4% female. The spinal injuries were caused by stab wound in 13.9% of the cases, diving in shallow waters in 25%, gunshot in 47.2%, and viral disease in 13.9%. The sores varied from 4 to 12 cm in diameter.

The treatment is initiated by removal of debris followed by waiting the organization of the wound. During this period the patient is evaluated by a multidisciplinary team composed of a psychologist, a nutritionist, a physiotherapist, a neurologist, a urologist and a plastic surgeon. The goals are to improve the nutrition condition, to teach prevention procedures and the correct posture postoperatively, and to improve the usually depressive or rebel psychological profile. In addition, neurological follow-up, control of urinary infections and the choice of the surgical procedure in closing the ulcer is made.

During surgery the patient with Foley cateter is submitted to peridural or general anesthesia. With the patient in ventral decubitus, the spine and the vascular pedicles are delineated. The inferior limit of the flap contours the gluteus maximus flap, and it may reach the posterior iliac crest. The base of the flap should not exceed 5 cm on the vertical axis. The superior limit is curved until it meets the inferior line, with the width of the flap compatible to that of the ulcer (Fig. 2). After removal of the bursa, the flap is undermined subfascia of the subcutaneous tissue beginning with the upper edge. Undermining should not exceed 2 to 3 cm beyond the midline so that the vascular pedicles are protected. The undermining stops once the flap can be rotated. The lower edge of the flap is undermined beginning 2 to 3 cm of the middle of the rotation point and proceeding upwards after transposition of the transverse lumbosacral flap. Buried points are placed at the borders of the lesion to reduce suture tension (Figs. 3 and 4). Postoperatively antibiotics are administered for 24 hours and a suction drain is put in place for 48 hours. The patient is advised to avoid the dorsal position for 60 days. (Cases 1, 2, 3 and 4).

RESULTS
Of the 36 patients that underwent surgery, three had complications. Two had epidermolysis at the end of the lower flap toward the base of the lumbosacral flap, but the final result was not compromised. One had necrosis of the end of the flap, which healed secondarily.

DISCUSSION
The transverse lumbosacral back flap presents an excellent axial vascularization through its subdermic plexus, which allows a narrow base and the under-
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Fig. 1 - Case 1. 20 y.o. patient with sacral ulcer before surgery.
Fig. 1 - Caso 1. Pré-operatório, paciente de 20 anos com úlcera sacra.

Fig. 2 - Case 1. Flap delineation.
Fig. 2 - Caso 1. Marcação do retalho.

Fig. 3 - Case 1. Flap elevation.
Fig. 3 - Caso 1. Elevação dos retalhos.

Fig. 4 - Case 1. Transposition of the flaps with anchorage points.
Fig. 4 - Caso 1. Transposição dos retalhos com pontos de ancoragem.

Fig. 5 - Case 1. Just after operation.
Fig. 5 - Caso 1. Pós-operatório imediato.

Fig. 6 - Case 1. Ninety days postoperatively.
Fig. 6 - Caso 1. Noventa dias de pós-operatório.
The first surgical option is based on low morbidity of the donor area and preservation of vascularization of muscular or myocutaneous flaps of the gluteus maximus, which will be important if there is recurrence of the ulcer.

One of the limitations of this technique appears when the horizontal axis of the ulcer exceeds the primary healing limit or when an atrophic skin has low elasticity, which then makes a skin graft at the donor area necessary. However, ulcers with up to 12 cm at the horizontal axis were treated without complications.

**CONCLUSION**

The surgical treatment of sacral ulcers is part of a multidisciplinary treatment of a complex pathology. We believe that the modification of lumbosacral flap herein presented will contribute to improve the treatment of pressure sores due to its technical ease, pri-
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Fig. 10 - Case 3. Before surgery.
Fig. 10 - Caso 3. Pré-operatório de paciente com 35 anos.

Fig. 12 - Case 4. 28 y.o. patient with 8cm sore before surgery.
Fig. 12 - Caso 4. Pré-operatório, paciente de 28 anos, com úlcera de 8 cm.

Mary healing of the donor area, reduced time of the procedure and absence of scar on pressure-bearing areas. The low incidence of complications, the non-interference with future flaps and the good esthetic result are good reasons to favor its utilization.
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