Reconstruction of the distal third of the nose: case series and literature review
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The nose has great aesthetic and functional importance, with a high incidence of malignant lesions. There are several techniques for reconstructing the distal third of the nose, but there is no universal indication; it will depend on the characteristics of the injury. Surgical options vary between skin grafts and local, regional, and microsurgical flaps. The objective is to present a series of cases of reconstruction of the distal third of the nose using different surgical techniques, discussing the peculiarities and the results obtained.

Method: This is a retrospective study carried out at the Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual de São Paulo (HSPE), evaluating a series of eight patients diagnosed with non-melanoma skin cancer located in the distal third of the nose and who underwent reconstruction by the team of Plastic Surgery.

Results: Satisfactory results were obtained for all patients undergoing distal nose reconstruction, using total skin graft techniques (n=1) and local flaps (n=7), such as the bilobed, nasolabial, and dorsal nose flap.

Conclusion: Reconstruction of defects in the distal third of the nose is challenging and involves great technical variability. A careful assessment of the patient and the injury must be carried out, risks and benefits assessed and the decision shared with the patient.
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RESUMO

Introdução: O nariz apresenta grande importância estética e funcional, com alta incidência de lesões malignas. Existem várias técnicas de reconstrução do terço distal do nariz, não havendo uma indicação universal; irá depender das características da lesão. As opções cirúrgicas variam entre enxerto de pele, retalhos locais, regionais e microcirúrgicos. O objetivo é apresentar uma série de casos de reconstrução de terço distal do nariz com diferentes técnicas cirúrgicas, discutindo as peculiaridades e os resultados obtidos.

Método: Trata-se de estudo retrospectivo realizado no Hospital do Servidor Público Estadual de São Paulo (HSPE), avaliando uma série de oito pacientes com diagnóstico de câncer de pele não melanoma localizados no terço distal de nariz e que foram submetidos à reconstrução pela equipe de Cirurgia Plástica.

Resultados: Foram obtidos resultados satisfatórios para todos os pacientes submetidos a reconstrução distal do nariz, tendo sido utilizadas técnicas de enxerto de pele total (n=1) e retalhos locais (n=7), tais como o retalho bilobado, nasogeniano, dorsal da nariz, frontal paramediano, e transposição nasolabial.

Conclusão: A reconstrução de defeitos do terço distal do nariz é desafiadora e com grande variabilidade técnica. Deve-se realizar avaliação criteriosa do paciente e da lesão, avaliar riscos e benefícios e compartilhar a decisão com o paciente.

Descritores: Neoplasias nasais; Nariz; Retalhos cirúrgicos; Transplante de pele; Procedimentos cirúrgicos reconstrutivos.
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INTRODUCTION

The nose is a complex, unique, three-dimensional anatomical structure with great functional and aesthetic importance, located in the center of the face. Its prominence on the face favors sun exposure and, consequently, presents a high incidence of skin cancer, mainly basal cell carcinoma (BCC), followed by squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), the most common cancer in the world population.

Demands for nasal reconstruction due to malignant lesions are on the rise. The surgical technique is challenging, especially in the distal third of the nose, where the skin is thicker and adheres to the underlying cartilage, and there is a greater risk of distortion of the nasal margins.

It is important to note that deeper injuries may compromise the cartilaginous framework and the nasal mucosa, requiring an even more complex reconstruction, aiming to maintain the functionality of the nose. Another important aspect is the analysis of the anatomical units, first described by Millard, and the nasal aesthetic subunits, later described by Burget and Menick, to adjust the surgical sutures where the subunits meet, thus creating a natural contour and hiding the sutures in the natural creases of the skin.

There are several techniques for reconstructing the distal third of the nose, but there is no universal indication. The choice for each technique depends on the characteristics of the lesion, size, anatomical position, skin quality, patient comorbidities, and the surgeon’s experience. Surgical options vary between skin grafts, and local, regional, and microsurgical flaps.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this work is to present a series of cases of reconstruction of the distal third of the nose using different surgical techniques, discussing the peculiarities and the results obtained.

Table 1. Collected data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Size of the injury</th>
<th>Location of the injury</th>
<th>Histological type of pre-op/post-op lesion</th>
<th>Reconstruction carried out</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Aesthetic/functional result</th>
<th>Injury remission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Feminine</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.4cm</td>
<td>Left nasal tip</td>
<td>CEC “in situ”/ actinic keratosis</td>
<td>Bilobed flap</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Masculine</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.6cm</td>
<td>Left nasal wing</td>
<td>Nodular and micronodular BCC / nodular and superficial BCC</td>
<td>Auricular cartilage graft and flap bilobate</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Five women (62.5%) and 3 men (37.5%) were treated, with a mean age of 82.2 years. Seven patients were Caucasian, with a Fitzpatrick classification between 1 and 2. Five patients had comorbidities, such as isolated arterial hypertension or associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus, and one patient had, in addition to these, asthma and dyslipidemia. Other patient data are summarized in Table 1 and some cases will be described below.
Table 1. Collected data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age years</th>
<th>Size of the injury</th>
<th>Location of the injury</th>
<th>Histological type of pre-op/post-op lesion</th>
<th>Reconstruction carried out</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Aesthetic/functional result</th>
<th>Injury remission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 (Figure 2)</td>
<td>Feminine</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.0cm</td>
<td>Transition between back and nasal tip</td>
<td>Nodular BCC/nodular and micronodular BCC</td>
<td>Nasal dorsum flap</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Nasal tip deviation</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Feminine</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.0cm</td>
<td>Right nasal wing</td>
<td>Nodular BCC/micronodular and superficial BCC</td>
<td>Conch auricular cartilage graft and nasolabial flap pedicled superiorly</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (Figure 3)</td>
<td>Masculine</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>4.0cm</td>
<td>Nasal dorsum and right and left lateral wall</td>
<td>Nodular and micronodular BCC/Nodular, micronodular, superficial and sclerodermiform BCC</td>
<td>Pedicled paramedian frontal flap</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (Figure 4)</td>
<td>Masculine</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.5cm</td>
<td>Left nasal tip</td>
<td>Nodular BCC/nodular and infiltrative BCC</td>
<td>Tragal auricular cartilage graft and ear flap transpositionnasolabial</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Quite satisfactory</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3.5cm</td>
<td>Nasal dorsum</td>
<td>Nodular, micronodular and sclerodermiform BCC/Nodular and micronodular BCC</td>
<td>Total skin graft</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.5cm</td>
<td>Right nasal wing and nasal tip</td>
<td>Nodular, micronodular and superficial BCC/nodular and superficial BCC</td>
<td>Total skin graft</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caption: BCC = basal cell carcinoma; SCC = squamous cell carcinoma

Figure 1. Case 1 - Squamous cell carcinoma in situ on the left nasal tip measuring 4mm. A) Surgical demarcation of the tumor with a 4mm lateral margin and the flap; B) Bilobed flap prepared; C) Late postoperative period of 1 year.

Figure 2. Case 3 - Nodular basal cell carcinoma located at the transition between the dorsum and nasal tip, measuring 1.0cm. A) Surgical demarcation of the tumor with a 4mm lateral margin and the frontonasal flap; B) Frontonasal Marchac flap positioned, leading to deviation of the nasal tip; C) Late postoperative period of one year.
DISCUSSION

The oncological concept must be sovereign. The main objective is complete resection of the lesion, with evaluation of all margins before reconstruction. Mohs surgery, if available, is the gold standard for intraoperative margin assessment; Another form of evaluation is the frozen section examination, a method used in the patients in this study. If intraoperative evaluation is not possible, secondary intention healing, primary closure, and skin grafting should be chosen until postoperative evaluation is performed. Reconstruction should only be scheduled after pathological examination demonstrating margins free of involvement.

To define the best reconstruction approach, several aspects must be taken into consideration. First, consider the patient as a whole. If you have many comorbidities, a simpler single-stage technique is safer and more appropriate. Another factor to be analyzed is active smoking, where, when present, preference should be given to a single-stage technique. The patient’s active participation in the decision is important, especially in complex surgeries that require several approaches.

The nasal defect must be evaluated in its location, related to the aesthetic subunits. The concept of nasal aesthetic units was described by Millard, which improved surgical results. Later, Burget and Menick defended the concept of nasal aesthetic subunits, and if the defect affected more than 50% of the subunit, this entire region should be removed to camouflage the scar in the natural skin creases. However, this concept has been discussed in the literature, as the defect can become much larger and make reconstruction difficult.

The depth of the nasal defect must be assessed to determine the affected components. In addition to the superficial soft tissue, the cartilaginous structure below may require reconstruction, using grafts mainly from the auricular region and the nasal septum. The nasal mucosa is another structure that must be analyzed and reconstructed. The main objectives are the aesthetics and respiratory function of the nose, that is, maintenance of similar skin color, reconstruction of the internal lining and nasal structural support, and avoiding airway stenosis.

Local and regional flaps are preferred over skin grafts in terms of texture and color, but all types of reconstruction have their uses. Reconstructing the distal third of the nose is challenging, as the skin is thick and adheres to cartilaginous structures; There is no local skin redundancy, which makes flap mobilization difficult. Reconstruction can generate tension and retraction of the nasal ala, causing aesthetic...
and functional changes. Surgical planning must be meticulous for the best possible result.

**Bilobed flap**

The bilobed flap has excellent applicability for defects in the distal third of the nose. It is composed of two “lobes” respecting the design at right angles between the axes, which allows double transposition. The first “lobe” covers the defect, the second “lobe” covers the first donor area, and the second donor area is closed primarily. Because the donor area is limited, this flap is generally used for small defects of up to 1.5 cm, with excellent results. However, there are descriptions of its use for defects larger than 2.0 cm with good results based on wide detachment for adequate tissue advancement.

If there is a risk of nasal valve collapse, a cartilage graft can be associated with this technique. This reconstruction has the advantage of being a single-stage procedure, good viability of the flap, good cosmetic result with similar skin texture and color, and discreet scar. Among the disadvantages of this flap are the complex geometric lines of incision, requiring experience to not distort nasal symmetry, thus normally limiting it to minor defects.

**Dorsal nose flap**

The dorsal nose flap is based on the rotation of the skin of the proximal two-thirds of the nose and the glabellar region to cover distal defects, and closure of the donor area, which can be in VY, positioning the scar on the glabellar expression line. This technique was initially described by Gillies but became popular with Rieger, who described a flap with random vascularization and was later modified by Marchac and Toth, with axial vascularization of the angular artery close to the medial corner of the eye. This technique makes it possible to reconstruct defects in the supratip region measuring 1 to 2 cm.

The advantage of the flap is that it can be performed in a single procedure, with a well-positioned scar and a good aesthetic result. Among the disadvantages, we highlight the possible need for a disproportionately large flap to cover small defects and the possibility of traction of the tip of the nose upwards.

**Nasolabial flap**

The nasolabial flap is a widely used option in alar reconstruction. It can be designed based on an upper or lower pedicle, both with axial vascularization of branches of the facial artery, or V-Y. Ideally, some fibers of the common elevator muscle and nasal ala are elevated together, constituting the smallest frequently used musculocutaneous flap. Reconstruction can be performed in one or two surgical stages; the flap must be designed 1 to 2 mm larger, as it will shrink postoperatively; and the flap can serve as an internal nasal lining when necessary.

It presents reliable vascularization, discreet healing of the donor area and positioned in the pre-existing nasolabial fold, a good cosmetic result of the nasal ala, and the procedure can be performed in a single procedure. The disadvantages are the possibility of obliterating the concavity of the alar fold or even the need to perform a two-stage procedure.

**Paramedian flap**

The paramedian frontal flap is a reconstruction instrument widely used for larger defects located in the distal third of the nose. It is an interpolation flap with oblique skin from the forehead, with axial vascularization based on the supratrochlear artery. It is generally created in two stages, in the first stage it is elevated and positioned in the nasal defect, and in the second, three weeks later, the pedicle is sectioned and the flap can be thinned and adjusted. Additional steps may be necessary for refinements, as well as reconstruction of the bone-cartilaginous framework.

The distal portion of the flap can be thinned and folded to form nasal mucosa. The defect on the forehead can be closed by first or second intention, or grafted. The advantage of the flap is having a reliable axial vascular supply, having the capacity to reconstruct large nasal defects in the distal third, with the possibility of reconstructing even the nasal mucosa; presenting satisfactory cosmetic results, as the skin on the forehead is compatible in color, texture and flexibility with that of the nose.

The disadvantages are the limited use in smokers, due to the risk of necrosis, the need to use general anesthesia, the multi-stage procedure, and a transverse scar on the forehead. Other negative points are the thick flap at the nasal tip when folded to create the lining and, if it is thinned, there is the possibility of reduced perfusion and local suffering. Finally, the psychological aspect of long-term reconstruction is a point that must be clarified so that patients have realistic expectations.

**Nasolabial transposition flap**

The nasolabial transposition flap is a reconstruction option for larger defects located in the distal third of the nose and was described by Beustes-Stefanelli et al. It is designed using redundancy of nasolabial tissue to cover the nasal defect and a small,
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in inferiorly based residual dorsoalar flap to assist in tension-free closure of the inner corner of the ipsilateral eye associated with cheek advancement. The nasolabial flap has axial vascularization by branches of the facial artery in its proximal two-thirds and a random pattern in its distal third, and the dorsoalar residual flap has random vascularization. A cartilage graft can be associated for structuring, if necessary. The distal region of the nasolabial flap can be thinned and folded to form the lining of the nasal mucosa.

The advantages of the procedure are the possibility of reconstructing large nasal defects in a single procedure, reliable vascularization, without the need for general anesthesia, with good nasal aesthetic results, and a discreet scar in the pre-existing nasolabial fold. Disadvantages include the possibility of a thick flap at the nasal tip when folded to create the lining and, if it is thinned, there is the possibility of reduced perfusion and local suffering.

This flap was well indicated and performed in case 6 (Figure 4) of this study. The nasolabial flap was designed using tissue redundancy and a residual dorsoalar flap lateral to the defect. The nasolabial flap was transposed to the nasal dorsum and tip, in the distal region it was thinned and folded to create the nasal lining. The residual dorsoalar flap was transposed to the inner corner of the eye to close the area without tension. The structuring of the new nasal tip was carried out by collecting an auricular cartilage graft in a block from the region of the tragus blade, isthmus, and conchal cavity, as described by Pereira et al. This unique, curved-shaped cartilage is fixed to the left alar region previously removed with the tumor to structure the new nasal tip.

Grafts

Skin grafts are options for reconstruction of the distal third of the nose in specific situations, normally when the patient has a high surgical risk for more complex procedures when strict surveillance is required for recurrence of malignancy, or temporarily until the definitive result of the anatomopathological examination. A total skin graft is used because it has greater thickness, less primary retraction, and better aesthetic results when compared to partial skin; maintained with a fixed dressing for 5 to 7 days to neutralize shear forces and allow better integration. The pre- and post-auricular region, cervical, and clavicular region are used as graft donor areas.

The major disadvantage is the unfavorable aesthetic appearance due to the incompatibility of the skin in color, shape, and contour.

CONCLUSION

Reconstruction of defects in the distal third of the nose is challenging, and multiple techniques are possible. To decide on the reconstruction technique, a careful assessment of the patient and the characteristics of the defect must be carried out, risks and benefits assessed and the best options shared with the patient.
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